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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
In this article, the authors have reported the rare case of gallbladder bleeding. A lot of papers were published regarding this clinical case. The description of context is immature and should be revised to become instructive to readers. Questions and comments to authors: Comments; 1. What is the main point or novelty of this manuscript? It is clear that gallbladder bleeding is fatal disease, however, the other information such as treatment strategy is hard to understand. Please describe the point of suspicion, diagnosis, and treatment strategy in more detail. 2. As the authors state, early diagnosis of this potentially fatal pathology is definitely necessary, however, the authors state that an initial evaluation can be done with ultrasound, however, the image was not showed in this manuscript. 2. It is uncertain that gallbladder bleeding is needed emergency surgery. After all, which is the best treatment, upfront surgery or conservative treatment or interventional radiology? Please indicate what should make these select by summarizing the other reports. 3. The symptoms of biliary hemorrhage are jaundice, biliary colic (abdominal pain), and gastrointestinal hemorrhage, and Grove WJ1 identified these as the three main symptoms of biliary hemorrhage. How is the gastrointestinal hemorrhage in this case? 4. When did the gallbladder bleeding occur after using Fondaparinux? 5. Define the term “NSAIDs” in the Abstract on first use. 6. In abstract, CASE SUMMARY, “Gallbladders walls” is typo? Is “Gallbladder walls”? Reference 1. GROVE W J. Biliary tract hemorrhage as a cause of hematemesis. Arch Surg. 1961; 83: 67-72.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have reported a case of gallbladder bleeding. Although I can understand the clinical implication of the present case, many similar case reports have been previously reported. Therefore, the priority for publication of present manuscript is not so high. I recommend revising the discussion section with more detailed review based on previous literature, especially focusing the diagnosis and treatment for gallbladder bleeding.
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Comments to Author  Thank you for your response to my comments on the peer
review. I have some comments. 1. Thank you for your adding the imaging of ultrasonography for gallbladder. However, imaging is messy and very confusing. It's hard to tell which structure shows. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. 2. The synthesis table of the studies on the subject is useful. However, there is little consideration of the Table. For example, about half of the patients developed the disease even though they were not receiving anti-coagulant therapy. What do you think about this? I cannot see the significance of this article unless the novelty of it compared to previous reports is stated in the discussion. Minor comments Key words; “hemmorhage” is typo.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have amended the manuscript loosely according to the reviewer’s
comments. However, many grammatical errors and spell miss are remained. The quality of provided Figure of ultrasonography (67308-Image-File-revision) is too poor to publish. Although the list of previous reports is provided, detailed discussion is not done. The overall quality of revised version of manuscript is not reach to the publication.