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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Well written and supported case report. The discussion regarding possible risk factors for the patient in the development of the giant pseudomeningoceles was novel and adds to the literature on this topic. Best treatment is still undetermined and so the details regarding the repair also greatly add to the literature.