

Reviewer #1

This is a well-conducted and written study that follows and applies all PRISMA criteria. There are original findings in this manuscript since it is the first of its kind which are presented adequately with their limitations as well as its future perspective. Larger RCT's are required and more of them in order to somebody in the future to draw safer conclusions about the safety and the potential benefits of one method over the other, although there seems to be an advantage of EUS over ERCP.

Answer:

We thank the reviewer for evaluating our manuscript and for the recognition of its qualities.

We hope further research will become available in order to clarify the benefits of one method over the other.

Reviewer #2

Thanks you for considering, writing up this article, to address the gap in the knowledge of the value of EUS guided biliary drainage. Please find the attached suggestions to be consider, amending and resubmit it with a revised draft.

Answer:

We thank the reviewer for the time and patience in elaborating the suggestions in order to raise the quallity of our study. We did our best to comply with the suggested changes and reviewed the manuscript accordinally.