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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This letter “Risk prediction of common bile duct stone recurrence using new common bile duct morphological subtypes” is interesting and easy to read. The authors have read the study focusing on prediction of common bile duct (CBD) stone recurrence after endoscopic treatment by Ji X et al. They highly regarded the novelty and great potential of new CBD morphological subtypes as predictors, on the basis of which they put forward the supplementary opinions on stone recurrence evaluation and recommendations for the limitations of the study. However, the English language needs further polishing.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The current letter shows a good comprehension on the Ji's report on subtyping of CBD morphology related to stone recurrence.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**
The authors have submitted an interesting letter to the editor. The work is well-written but I would recommend authors run Grammarly a free tool before they submit the final version for publication.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have done well to highlight the role of MRCP and follow up in their manuscript
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Saito and Tada wrote a letter to the editor about the risk prediction of common bile duct stone recurrence using new common bile duct morphological subtypes. The title reflects the main subject. The style, language, and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described. Finally, the manuscript appropriately cites the latest references. A minor comment is just to be considered; abbreviations such as ERCP should be mentioned after their first spelling out.