Here are some answers for peer-review report. Thank you for your services

Peer review – 1st

1. In paragraph one of CASE STUDY PART, more details of clinical information should be illuminated, such as past medical history, the time of main complainant, admission time, vital signs, physical examinations of general condition and cardiopulmonary.

: We added more details of clinical information of the patient, including vital signs and physical examinations, past medical history, and admission date, etc.

2. In paragraph two of CASE STUDY PART, some common lab findings also should be illuminated so that readers can comprehensively know about the patient’s condition.

: We mentioned results of laboratory tests on diagnostic procedure part to illuminate patient’s general condition.

3. In paragraph three of CASE STUDY PART, more information about the specific medicine therapies should be demonstrated. Since the case report was presented about KTWS with ischemic stroke, was there any special treatment for ischemic stroke?

: Medicine therapies were focused on symptomatic treatments. And there was no specific treatment for stroke itself, like anticoagulantion, as we mentioned on discussion part.

4. About the patient’s condition, follow-up should be stated.

: Thankfully, we were able to follow up the patient’s recent status. His current status
and reassessment data were added on re-assessment part.

5. In DISCUSSION PART, more recent references about KTWS with ischemic stroke should be added and discussed.

: Thanks for your advice, we updated more recent prospective of etiology of KTWS syndrome.

6. What is the significant novelty of this case report?

: Our case gives the informations of successful rehabilitation program which includes resistance and aerobic exercises for a KTWS patient with a cerebrovascular event. We revised the title and switched the general focus of the paper to emphasize it.

Peer review – 2nd

Did the authors perform contrast-transcranial doppler with the Valsalva maneuver to exclude a right-to-left shunt and contrast-transesophageal echocardiography to exclude patent foramen ovale? Routine echocardiography might have not been enough to exclude cardiac pathology to call this stroke "cryptogenic" or so.

: Transesophageal echocardiography and transcranial doppler were not done on this study. We admit the faulty initial diagnostic procedures, so we added limitation section to mention it. Thanks for your insightful advice.

Although I am not a native English speaker I believe the article needs some revision of language so that it is more accessible for the English-speaking audience. I also suggest changing the title (including information on the rehabilitation program as mentioned above) and possible give small sub-titles throughout the body of the
manuscript dividing it into the sections such as "history, clinical findings, instrumental diagnostic procedures, findings, limitations) :

We revised some awkward expressions and grammatic errors. Thanks for your advice, we changed the title to include the information about rehabilitation program. Also we supplemented subtitles including history, physical examination, diagnostic procedures, etc.