

The editorial office of the World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Dear Editor In Chief, Science Editor, and reviewers

We deeply appreciate for your (Editor In Chief, Section Editor, and reviewers) kindest and valuable suggestions and comments.

We have carefully revised the manuscript with careful following to comments, and are sending the point-to-point response herewith a revised manuscript. It would be so grateful if you can consider again our manuscript for publication.

Yours sincerely,

Yoichiro Okubo, MD, PhD

Department of Pathology, Kanagawa Cancer Center

2-3-2, Nakao, Asahi-Ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 241-8515, Japan

Tel: +81-45-520-2222 (extension: 5118) Fax: +81-45-520-2202

Mail: yoichiro0207@hotmail.com

Reviewer 1's comment

The manuscript by Yoichiro Okubo makes a general appraisal of how the similarities between gangliocytic paraganglioma (GP) and neuroendocrine tumors grade 1 (NET G1) may lead to overtreatment of GP. Specifically, they evaluate previous meta-analyses from the author's group and suggest that the immunohistochemistry aspects may help to differentiate these two entities, and therefore, less aggressive treatment should be employed for GP. This is a well-written and timely editorial of a neglected topic. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript as well as the abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The editorial adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the study and it interprets the literature adequately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. My concern is that the author describes a whole range of differences between GP and NET G1, many of which may be unfamiliar to the general readership of World Journal of Clinical Oncology, which is the target audience. The authors could enhance the manuscript by including a summary figure or table that recapitulates the major differences, as it would pull together the conceptual framework of the editorial convey.

My response

I would like to appreciate your kindest comments. According to your comments, I added summary table (table 1) to readers of journal easily understand my editorial.

Reviewer 2's comment

The editorial manuscript is on Gangliocytic paraganglioma. The content has guiding significance for clinical practice.

My response

I deeply appreciate reviewer 2's academic work (reviewing my manuscript) and kind recommendation that absolutely encouraged us.

My response to editorial team

I deeply appreciate for your comments. Following to your comments, I revised my manuscript to formal style of World Journal of Clinical Oncology.