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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Acute kidney injury (AKI) after surgery appears to increase the risk of death in patients with liver cancer. In recent years, machine learning algorithms have been shown to offer higher discriminative efficiency than classical statistical analysis.

AIM
To develop prediction models for AKI after liver cancer resection using machine learning techniques.

METHODS
We screened a total of 2450 patients who had undergone primary hepatocellular carcinoma resection at Changzheng Hospital, Shanghai City, China, from January 1, 2015 to August 31, 2020. The AKI definition used was consistent with the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes. We included in our analysis preoperative data such as demographic characteristics, laboratory findings, comorbidities, and medication, as well as peroperative data such as duration of surgery. Computerized algorithms used for model development included logistic regression (LR), support vector machine (SVM), random forest (RF), extreme gradient boosting (XGboost), and decision tree (DT). Feature importance was also ranked according to its contribution to model development.

RESULTS
AKI events occurred in 296 patients (12.1%) within 7 d after surgery. Among the original models based on machine learning techniques, the RF algorithm had...
INTRODUCTION

Liver surgery associated acute kidney injury (LSA-AKI) is a relatively common postoperative complication in patients with liver cancer. LSA-AKI has a negative impact on the postoperative recovery and increases long-term patient mortality[1]. The incidence of AKI has been reported to be between 15% and 50% in patients with liver cancer that undergo surgery[2]. However, in clinical practice, AKI events are often underdiagnosed[3]. Many studies have investigated AKI-associated risk factors, and several classical scoring systems for AKI have emerged[4,5]. Nevertheless, the potential non-linear relationship between variables and variable-outcome can compromise the predictive performance of the model. Moreover, the traditional multiple linear analysis methods limit the number of relevant variables that may be clinically significant[6]. In contrast, machine learning techniques are not limited to linear relationships nor to the number of variables included in the analysis, and therefore may offer a better predictive performance.

Machine learning includes computer algorithm-based technology that can efficiently process clinical data to solve classification or regression problems[7,8]. With the continuous expansion of artificial intelligence (AI) techniques, machine learning and clinical medicine are gradually overlapping, as illustrated by numerous studies performed on both[9]. In clinical medicine, machine learning has demonstrated its value in analyzing postoperative complications and long-term outcomes due to its powerful data processing capabilities[10-13]. For example, in contrast to traditional regression models, machine learning performed better at screening patients at high-risk of sepsis[14]. Moreover, in prior prospective evaluations of the AKI events, the machine-learning-based AKI predictor outperformed physician predictive performance[15].

Machine learning has also made progress in critical care medicine[16], and was shown to be valuable in the emergency department[17], and iconography[18]. In the era of big data, the combination of machine learning and electronic medical records can provide more advanced technical support for clinical management of AKI patients[19]. AKI predictive models based on big data and artificial intelligence are potentially

The optimal discrimination with an area under the curve value of 0.92, compared to 0.87 for XGBoost, 0.90 for DT, 0.90 for SVM, and 0.85 for LR. The RF algorithm also had the highest concordance-index (0.86) and the lowest Brier score (0.076). The variable that contributed the most in the RF algorithm was age, followed by cholesterol, and surgery time.

CONCLUSION

Machine learning algorithms are highly effective in discriminating patients at high risk of developing AKI. The successful application of machine learning models may help guide clinical decisions and help improve the long-term prognosis of patients.

Key Words: Machine learning; Liver cancer; Surgery; Acute kidney injury; Prediction
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Core Tip: Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a relatively common complication after liver surgery and has a negative impact on long-term patient prognosis. Early detection and timely intervention are key in order to minimize the negative impact of AKI. Machine learning has become increasingly better integrated with clinical medicine. In our retrospective study, we established a real-time prediction model based on machine learning algorithms. The final models showed high power to discriminate AKI events.
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Figure 1 Patient selection and analysis. The 3218 patients who underwent liver cancer resection were initially included. 768 patients were excluded based on exclusion criteria, and a total of 2450 patients were included in the study (data set). The data set was divided into a training set and test set. First, the model was applied to the training set for the modeling process and the parameters were debugged. Then, the model was validated in the test set.

Figure 1 Patient selection and analysis. The 3218 patients who underwent liver cancer resection were initially included. 768 patients were excluded based on exclusion criteria, and a total of 2450 patients were included in the study (data set). The data set was divided into a training set and test set. First, the model was applied to the training set for the modeling process and the parameters were debugged. Then, the model was validated in the test set.

reliable tools to individually and prospectively monitor the condition of each patient and help support clinical decisions accordingly[20,21]. In our research, machine learning algorithms were used to develop the LSA-AKI models, with appropriate validation and evaluation of the model’s performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population
A total of 2450 patients who had undergone primary hepatocellular carcinoma resection at Changzheng Hospital, Shanghai City, China, from January 1, 2015 to August 31, 2020 were screened (Figure 1). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Navy Medical University, with an exemption from the informed consent.

Data collection
The AKI standard used was the 2012 KDIGO criteria, which is defined as: (1) An increase in serum creatinine of more than 50% within 7 d after surgery; and (2) An increase in serum creatinine of more than 0.3 mg/dL within 48 h after surgery. The preoperative serum creatinine was measured as a baseline value. We included in our analysis preoperative data such as demographic characteristics, laboratory findings, comorbidities, and medication, as well as perioperative data such as duration of surgery. The baseline characteristics included age, gender, and dyslipidemia. Data on tumor characteristics such as alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and tumor size were also collected. Laboratory measurements included hemoglobin, serum creatinine, and cholesterol. Perioperative variables included the use of blood products and surgery duration.

Statistical analysis
Python version 3.6 and Scikit-learn package (https://github.com/scikit-learn/scikit-learn) were used for development of the model. Patients were randomly assigned to the training and the test sets at a ratio of 7:3. The training set was used for model development and optimization, while the test set was used for model validation and evaluation.

Machine learning techniques
We used several mature machine learning algorithms for modelling: the logistic regression (LR), the support vector machine (SVM), the random forest (RF), the extreme gradient propulsion (XGBoost), and the decision tree (DT). The operating principle of the LR model is to calculate the regression coefficient through the maximum likelihood ratio, and therefore to calculate the occurrence probability of the observing endpoint. The DT, RF, and XGBoost techniques adopted the tree-based
algorithm, which is a tree-like modelling which can synthesize the analysis to reach the best prediction decision (Figure 2). Feature importance was ranked according to the mean decrease in the Gini index[22]. SVM, a binary program introduced by Vapnik [23], was able to place the tagged targets to their belonged hyperplane partitions according to the inputted variable characteristics[24]. In this study, we used the five machine learning algorithms described above to predict whether a patient developed AKI within 7 d after liver cancer resection.

**Performance evaluation**

The area under the curve (AUC) in the receiver operating characteristic curve was applied to show the RF model performance. The greater the AUC, the better the predictive performance. Additionally, the concordance index (C-index)[25] and the Brier score (BS)[26] were measured to gauge the model’s discriminatory ability. A high C-index and a low BS suggest superior predictive performance. The optimal hyperparameters were identified in a 10-fold cross-validation to avoid the overfitting pitfall during model development.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

A total of 2450 cases were included in our analysis. The age of the population was 54 ± 10.5 (mean ± SD). The majority were men, accounting for 81.3% (1992/2450) of the population. Tumor-associated information included: the tumor size (ranging from 0.8 cm to 8.3 cm); specific tumor markers of liver cancer (AFP fluctuated between 483 and 43203). 23.9% (586/2450) of the patients had dyslipidemia, 7.8% (190/2450) had diabetes mellitus, 7.8% (190/2450) had liver cancer with dyslipidemia, 48.4% (92/190) of which were currently receiving insulin. 13.2% (324/2450) of the patients had been prescribed oral beta blockers, and 8.1% (198/2450) were on aspirin. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics in the training and the test sets, and confirms that there were no statistically significant differences between the two sets.

**AKI morbidity**

Serum creatinine fluctuations were continuously monitored after the operation, and were compared with the preoperative baseline values. Our results indicate that a LSA-AKI event occurred in 296 patients (12.1%) within 7 days after surgery. The incidence of AKI in the training set and test set was 11.5% (198/1715) and 13.3% (98/735), respectively.

**Measures of effectiveness**

The LR, SVM, RF, XGboost, and DT models were developed to predict postoperative AKI events. Table 2 and Figure 3 show the performance of the five machine learning models used. The RF technique had the largest evaluated AUC (0.92) in contrast to the LR technique which had the minimum evaluated AUC (0.85). Table 2 shows the C-index and the BS of the five models. The models developed from machine learning were, as expected, shown to have a great C-index and small BS for the interest outcomes of AKI. In particular, the RF model performed better than the other prediction models with a higher C-index and lower BS (C-index: 0.86, BS: 0.076).

**Tree structure**

Figure 2 depicts a tree-like algorithm processing variables to classify the sample. Each variable flowed through the tree and showed the importance of its value. Samples in the training set continue to branch out according to the classification results. Variables were given an entropy value and Gini index in the decision tree. In the random forest, the final prediction result was determined according to the majority votes of the final decision trees, with the importance of each variable ranked according to the Gini index.

**Importance rank**

The ranked variable value of the RF algorithm is shown in Figure 4, revealing the 18 foremost variables. Variables were ranked according to the mean decreases in the Gini index. The top five contributing variables to the model were age, cholesterol, surgery time, serum creatinine, and platelet counts.
Early detection and timely intervention are key to efficient treatment of AKI events [27]. Therefore, it is a clinical priority to develop risk assessment systems to screen the high-risk population so that timely and effective interventions can be conducted. However, due to the multifactorial nature and the multilinear relationships of LSA-AKI, previous risk scores have been inefficient in predicting AKI episodes [28]. In addition, development of such risk scores commonly used a small set of preoperative clinical variables. Nevertheless, other factors, including intraoperative events such as surgery duration and body fluid loss may also actively impact the development of LSA-AKI.

With the advent of big data, machine learning holds great potential in the field of AKI research due to its unparalleled ability in data processing [19]. Therefore, machine learning models may be powerful tools for AKI risk stratification and prediction [20]. A clinical decision support system based on the machine learning technique has many advantages, such as helping save clinicians' time and energy, increasing the efficiency of diagnosis and treatment, and improving real-time monitoring of patients'
Table 2 Model performance (Concordance-index, Brier score, and area under the curve)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine learning models</th>
<th>Concordance-index</th>
<th>Brier score</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Logistic regression</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme gradient boosting</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision tree</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC: Area under the curve.

Figure 2 Tree-like algorithm. Tree-like modelling can help analysis to reach the best prediction decision. Classification results for acute kidney injury (AKI) and non-AKI are shown in blue and orange, respectively. The smaller the Gini index, the darker the color. BMI: Body mass index; WBC: White blood cell; HGB: Hemoglobin.
0.85 to 0.92. The RF classifier had the largest evaluated AUC (0.92), in contrast to the LR classifier which had the minimum evaluated AUC (0.85). These models, derived from machine learning algorithms, showed an apparent improvement in LSA-AKI discrimination ability compared with that of the Kalisvaart and the Park Scores.

The first report of machine learning on LSA-AKI indicated that XGBoost had a high obtained AUC score for predicting LSA-AKI events [0.90, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.86-0.93], whereas the AUC of LR analysis was 0.61 (95%CI: 0.56-0.66)[6]. These results suggest that the traditional regression model does not perform better than machine learning models in predictive analysis, which may result from its linear assumption during data analysis[6].
Figure 4 lists the factors involved in the development of the RF model and the contribution ranking of the related variables. These ranked variables may be potential risk factors for the development of LSA-AKI events. It is worth noting that the rank of the relevant variables did not include some previously known risk factors, such as intraoperative urine output. In addition, several factors previously thought to be unrelated to AKI development, such as AFP, appear to be relevant. These findings might prompt new research ideas and better understanding of AKI events.

There are also some limitations in our study. First, this was a single-center retrospective study. Due to the relatively small sample size and the lack of external validation, our results may not be generalizable. Second, including all variables in the process of data collection is a very challenging task, and therefore some potentially relevant factors may have been ignored. Finally, most of the inputted features were implemented manually. We are still working on developing a real-time automated electronic health record algorithm that could collect perioperative patient information from a variety of data sources. With these new technologies, predictive models based on machine learning may have the potential to change clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

LSA-AKI is a postoperative complication with high incidence in patients with liver cancer. LSA-AKI has a negative impact on the postoperative recovery of patients and results in increased long-term mortality. As LSA-AKI is associated with a variety of factors, and given the complex nonlinear relationship among variables and outcomes, it is challenging for traditional regression analysis to predict its occurrence. In recent years, the intersection of machine learning and clinical medicine has allowed early detection of AKI. Our model, based on machine learning approaches, may be helpful for screening patients at high risk of AKI, ultimately helping to guide clinical decisions and facilitate prospective interventions for high-risk individuals. Future research should attempt to further improve the predictive performance of LSA-AKI by combining AKI biomarkers such as IL-18, NGAL, and KIM1 [32] with machine learning.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Recently, machine learning has proven helpful in the interpretation of medical results and has potential for helping guide diagnosis and treatment, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Research motivation
Machine learning methods to predict acute kidney injury (AKI) events remain largely unexplored.

Research objectives
We aimed to develop prediction models for AKI after liver cancer resection based on machine learning techniques.

Research methods
A total of 2450 patients who had undergone primary hepatocellular carcinoma resection at Changzheng Hospital, Shanghai City, China, from January 1, 2015 to August 31, 2020 were screened. Patients were randomly assigned to the training and the test sets at a ratio of 7:3. The training set was used for model development and optimization, while the test set was used for model validation and evaluation.

Research results
AKI events occurred in 296 patients (12.1%) after surgery. Among the original models based on machine learning techniques, the random forest (RF) algorithm had optimal discrimination with an area under the curve value of 0.92, compared to 0.87 for extreme gradient boosting, 0.90 for decision tree, 0.90 for support vector machine, and 0.85 for logistic regression. The RF algorithm also had the highest concordance-index (0.86) and the lowest Brier score (0.076). The variables that contributed the most in the RF algorithm were age, cholesterol, and surgery time.
Research conclusions
Machine learning technology can accurately predict AKI after hepatectomy.

Research perspectives
In the era of personalized medicine, our model based on machine learning can discriminate patients at high risk for AKI, thus helping guide clinical decisions and facilitating prospective interventions for high-risk individuals.
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