



ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21536

Title: Allograft tissue irradiation and failure rate after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Quanjun (Trey) Cui

Country: United States

Editorial Director: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2016-01-31 11:50

Date reviewed: 2016-01-31 23:21

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ESPS Manuscript NO: 21536 This review tried to address an interesting question but poorly organized, not focused. The manuscript is not well organized. The context is not consistent with title. It cannot be accepted for publication in its current form. The Materials and Methods section needs to follow the Introduction section. The sections under subtitles "ACL reconstruction", "Outcomes of ACL reconstruction", "Autograft vs allograft choices" are not needed for the study (or may be used in the discussion section). The sections "Irradiation of allograft tissue" and "Biomechanics of allograft" (associated with irradiation?) should be shortened and then included in either introduction or discussion section. The authors need to provide a Results Section to present their findings from the "systemic review" including failure rate with different doses of irradiation, and also edit the abstract to include this same finding/result. The current discuss section seemed to discuss the findings from the review but not organized as "Results" ! This needs to be rewritten and to be presented as results. The paragraphs from the aforementioned subtitles may serve discuss section better. The figure 1 showed two similar non-irradiated grafts, very confusing and does not provide any value to the study. The tables described the study but did not provide outcomes (not show on my downloaded manuscript), therefore they do not provide adequate information regarding the reviewed studies. Please add functional data or failure rate of the graft material. Please review the final manuscripts to make sure no grammar errors and typos.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21536

Title: Allograft tissue irradiation and failure rate after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Quanjun (Trey) Cui

Country: United States

Editorial Director: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2016-03-16 11:50

Date reviewed: 2016-03-17 23:21

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have reviewed the revised manuscript and I am pleased with the current version of the manuscript. I agree to accept for publication.

Thanks,