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Dear author, Greetings of the day, you have a nice opinion paper which deserve to be published. best wishes
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The idea of the manuscript is interesting. However, it is missing the main idea throughout the description. The general description is about pain without exploring psychiatric comorbidity. Only the last part is about the title and abstract. If possible, the reviewer suggests the inclusion of another paragraph exclusive to the theme “Psychiatric disorders and pain”. How was pain assessed in these individuals throughout time? Could pain lead to psychiatric comorbidities and vice-versa?
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This is an opinion paper about psychiatric disorder and pain. The study is read-worthy but I think that it is too long, particularly the part about the historical approach. Also, it does not add anything new to the existing literature, the abstract do not reflect the work described in the manuscript and it seems to be just a "philosophical" discussion about pain. Instead of extensively discussing all the historical aspects of pain, the author should focus on the interesting point in the manuscript (firstly, the necessity to not separate the presence of the pain from the background mental disorders); this point deserve extensive discussion, but other aspects should be just summarized.