Reviewer Remarks

Reviewer#1:
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (High priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: The symptoms of IBD are diversified, so it is necessary to differentiate and diagnose various diseases clinically. Symptoms of GIH can be similar to THOSE of IBD, but GIH has not been classified as a routine disease type for IBD that requires differential diagnosis. With the increase of GIH cases, it is of good clinical significance to classify GIH as a routine differential diagnosis disease of IBD in GIH endemic areas. The author's article has high clinical practical value.

Team Response: The team would like to thank you for a comprehensive review of the manuscript.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: This manuscript ‘’ Histoplasmosis and Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD): A Case Report and Brief Review’’ should be published at WJG. It is an interesting case. Thanks

Team Response: The team would like to thank you for a comprehensive review of the manuscript.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE’S COMMENTS
Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office’s comments and suggestions, which are listed below:
(1) ***Science editor:*** 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the histoplasmosis and inflammatory bowel disease. The topic is within the scope of the WJGE. (1) Classification: Grade C and Grade A; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors presented an interesting case. It is of good clinical significance to classify gastrointestinal histoplasmosis (GIH) as a routine differential diagnosis disease of inflammatory bowel disease in GIH endemic areas. The author’s article has high clinical practical value; and (3) Format: There are no tables or figures. The authors need to add some figures or tables. A total of 30 references are cited, including 1 reference published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade A. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent and the CARE Checklist-2016. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJGE. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG.

5 Issues raised: (1) I found the authors did not provide the original figures or tables. Please provide the original figure/table documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (2) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author’s name, with no spaces; (3) please provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement; and (4) please provide an audio record of the core tip content in the manuscript. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

Team Response:
1. Thank for taking the time out to review our manuscript. An additional table has been submitted with this manuscript.

2. Thank you for pointing that out. All the references have been changed to the desired format.

3. A signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement has been submitted with the manuscript.

4. An audio record of the core tip content in the manuscript has been submitted with the manuscript.

(2) ***Editorial office director:*** I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

Team Response: The team would like to thank you for your remarks.

(3) ***Company editor-in-chief:*** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report and the full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major revisions. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, authors need to correct the issues raised by the editor to meet the publishing requirements.

Team Response: The team would like to thank you for your remarks. Additional changes have been made to the manuscript as per the editor's remarks.