PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Experimental Medicine
Manuscript NO: 34117
Title: Multifunctional biomimetic spinal cord: New approach to repair spinal cord injuries
Reviewer's code: 00504611
Reviewer's country: United Kingdom
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2017-03-29
Date reviewed: 2017-03-30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting concept / hypothesis being described within a minireview which proposes a potential repair approach to spinal cord injury.   The hypothesis / concepts being proposed are reasonable but I was hoping for more experimental data e.g. in rat studies to provide some stronger support. Is there further published / unpublished data available that can be described? There is mention of preliminary experiments and there are some comments about experimental studies, but the experimental data seems very limited.   Minor comment: There is repetition of a paragraph between the Introduction and Hypothesis sections.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Experimental Medicine
Manuscript NO: 34117
Title: Multifunctional biomimetic spinal cord: New approach to repair spinal cord injuries
Reviewer's code: 00504436
Reviewer's country: Serbia
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2017-03-29
Date reviewed: 2017-04-05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor remarks: Grammar and style should have minor revision. Some of the references are inadequate, and some new should be added. Technical errors in the text.