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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This study aims to use atherogenic index of plasma (AIP) combined with waist circumference (WC) and body mass index (BMI) to predict metabolically associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) in obesity patients. The results showed that AIP was as good as other predictors such as WC and BMI, and it was even better to combined with these two factors. The MAFLD diagnosis was completed with MRI as the diagnostic gold standard. Overall, it was a well written and designed study, however, the result was not surprised since these three factors were all demonstrated to be associated other morbidities of obesity. There were few points needed to be clarified: 1. Would it possible to provide a cut point of AIP to suggest that the patient with this AIP or above may have a higher risk of MAFLD and we need to pay more attention of the liver condition? 2. The author developed a A-W-B model (The regression equation was logit (A-W-B) = -8.782+2.560*AIP+0.049*WC+0.170*BMI ) to predict MAFLD, but it was not clear how to use it in clinic? 3. MAFLD was commonly clinically diagnosed by abdominal ultrasonography, not MRI. The degree of fatty change of liver by ultrasonography could be divided as mild, moderate and severe, but this difference was not mentioned in the draft. Were there any relationships between AIP, A-W-B model and the severity of fatty change by ultrasonography?
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. AIP value showed non-homogeneous distribution in this study. It should be given as the median (range). However, some data, such as SUA, showed homogeneous distribution. They should be presented as mean ± SD. Authors should reconsider statistical tests.  
2. It is unclear whether hyperlipidemia and diabetic patients were excluded from the study.  
3. It should be stated whether there is a use of lipid-lowering drugs or drugs that affect lipid metabolism.