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This type of fracture is indeed rare, furthermore the current reports are mostly case reports. There should be more details of the reduction and how to get the full view of the volar block. The dorsal insion for this type of fracture is maybe not a innovative.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. Abstract: The conclusion was drawn irrelevantly. Just focus on the approach. What "previously reported cases" are referred to? 2. The figure 5 should be zoomed out to provide better orientation. 3. The article is rather confusing, whether it was focused on rarity of the case, or the chosen approach. Please rearrange the manuscript.
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