

Answers for Reviewer

Dear reviewer,

thank you for all suggestions.

We made all changes required. All conceptual changes and additions are written in red.

1. We have revised the English language, all changes are highlighted in green;
2. The abstract has been entirely modified, much more concise;
3. A section on the objectives of the work has been added to the introduction;
4. the mechanisms by which IL-10 acts and the concept of low dose medicine with other scientific evidence have been better explained;
5. A section on the Limits of the work has been added;
6. we have provided the motivation why it is not necessary, in this specific case, the approval of the Ethics Committee;
7. Full name of all acronyms/abbreviations used on their first appearance in the text of the manuscript have been entered;
- 8 The full name of the acronyms/abbreviations has been entered in the figure caption;
9. Even though we decided to present the work as an Editorial, we followed the suggestion of a greater division into chapters: Introduction, Observations, Conclusion, Limits, Footnote, References.

Also as suggested by the editor we have inserted the "core tip" session and introduced a new keyword.

Thank you once again for your time spent to review.

Best Regards

For the Editor(s)

We have seen that in the Edit references by the Auto-Analyser, there are 3 references (number 7,8,9) that present 4 red balls and 4 green balls and therefore considered potentially at "high risk", despite there being a strong majority of green balls in all references. In our opinion, they are instead very important and pertinent to justify what we have written in that portion of the manuscript: the use of non-pharmacological therapies for canker sores (number 7) and the use of the exact same type of supplement recommended by us, in recent clinical trials on autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases (also common with canker sores). We have not found other works that report the use of the same type of supplement in drops proposed by us, so for us they are highly pertinent articles. Furthermore, the reviewer did not find any improper self-citations in our manuscript. We would like to submit the manuscript without eliminating these references and then, if the reviewers or the Editor deem it necessary to change them, we are willing to modify them.

Thank you a lot