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### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study revealed the potential role of coagulation markers including prothrombin time as potential predictors for screening bone metastasis of gastric cancer. Patient characteristics may be revised to describe the time point of the data collected, since it is important to know whether the coagulation markers were elevated before or after the onset of bone metastasis.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

well-conducted study with clinical valid points for use on everyday clinical practise