Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Thank you to the authors for a very well written and illuminating case report regarding the limitations of NIPT in rare cases such as with CPM. Relevant for all clinicians who are handling prenatal diagnostics to ensure that NIPT is still only used as a screening tool and that the relevant diagnostic approaches are taken in cases of a positive NIPT, or in cases of a negative NIPT but a high risk score based on blood samples and 1st trimester nuchal scan.

Response:
Thank you for the careful review. The manuscript has been language edited (certificate of language editing was uploaded) and the language errors has been corrected. We hope it will be much easier to read and understand.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Very well written a case report. Your paper highlights "NIPT is just a screening test, and any positive result should be confirmed with invasive testing ". Good work.

Response:
Thank you for your careful review and affirmation.