World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024 November 16; 16(11): 581-626





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscomu

Contents

Monthly Volume 16 Number 11 November 16, 2024

EDITORIAL

581 Motorized spiral enteroscopy: A cautious step forward in technological innovation

Xiao SP, Lin H, Chen HB

587 Treatment of choice for malignant gastric outlet obstruction: More than clearing the road Jiang L, Chen XP

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study

595 Role of macroscopic on-site evaluation of endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration/biopsy: Results of a multicentric prospective study

Okasha HH, Hussein HA, Ragab KM, Abdallah O, Rouibaa F, Mohamed B, Ghalim F, Farouk M, Lasheen M, Elbasiony MA, Alzamzamy AE, El Deeb A, Atalla H, El-Ansary M, Mohamed S, Elshair M, Khannoussi W, Abu-Amer MZ, Elmekkaoui A, Naguib MS, Ait Errami A, El-Meligui A, El-Habashi AH, Ameen MG, Abdelfatah D, Kaddah M, Delsa H

Prospective Study

607 Limited validity of Mayo endoscopic subscore in ulcerative colitis with concomitant primary sclerosing cholangitis

Wohl P, Krausova A, Wohl P, Fabian O, Bajer L, Brezina J, Drastich P, Hlavaty M, Novotna P, Kahle M, Spicak J, Gregor M

CASE REPORT

617 Systemic air embolism associated with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: A case report Li JH, Luo ZK, Zhang Y, Lu TT, Deng Y, Shu RT, Yu H

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

623 Postprandial gastrin-17 level is a useful dynamic marker for atrophic gastritis Tan HJ, Tan EZY



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Monthly Volume 16 Number 11 November 16, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, Rashid N Lui, MBChB (CUHK), MRCP (UK), FRCP (Lond), FHKCP, FHKAM (Medicine), Clinical Assistant Professor (Honorary) and Head (Clinical Service), Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. rashidlui@cuhk.edu.hk

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (WJGE, World J Gastrointest Endosc) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal endoscopy with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WIGE mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal endoscopy and covering a wide range of topics including capsule endoscopy, colonoscopy, double-balloon enteroscopy, duodenoscopy, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, endosonography, esophagoscopy, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastroscopy, laparoscopy, natural orifice endoscopic surgery, proctoscopy, and sigmoidoscopy.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGE is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJGE as 1.4; JIF without journal self cites: 1.4; 5-year JIF: 1.7; JIF Rank: 111/143 in gastroenterology and hepatology; JIF Quartile: Q4; and 5-year JIF Quartile: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Cover Editor: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5190 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 15, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Bing Hu, JooYoung Cho	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
November 16, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE
Digestive Endoscopy Center of West China Hospital, SCU	http://www.cd120.com/index.html

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



F WÛ

World Journal of **Gastrointestinal** Endoscopy

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024 November 16; 16(11): 587-594

DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v16.i11.587

ISSN 1948-5190 (online)

EDITORIAL

Treatment of choice for malignant gastric outlet obstruction: More than clearing the road

Li Jiang, Xiao-Ping Chen

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification Scientific Quality: Grade C Novelty: Grade B Creativity or Innovation: Grade B Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Cassell III AK

Received: August 10, 2024 Revised: October 2, 2024 Accepted: October 20, 2024 Published online: November 16, 2024 Processing time: 80 Days and 10.9

Hours

Li Jiang, Department of Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, Hubei Province, China

Xiao-Ping Chen, Hepatic Surgery Center, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430000, Hubei Province, China

Corresponding author: Xiao-Ping Chen, MD, PhD, Chief, Chief Doctor, Dean, Director, Professor, Hepatic Surgery Center, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No. 1095 Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430000, Hubei Province, China. chenxpchenxp@163.com

Abstract

In this editorial, we comment on the in-press article in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy concerning the treatment of malignant gastric outlet obstruction (mGOO). The original theory of treatment involves bypassing the obstruction or reenabling the patency of the passage. Conventional surgical gastroenterostomy provides long-term relief of symptoms in selected patients, with substantial morbidity and a considerable rate of delayed gastric emptying. Endoscopic stenting was introduced as an alternative minimally invasive procedure with less procedural morbidity and rapid clinical improvement; however, it presented a high rate of long-term recurrence. Therefore, challenges remain in the treatment of mGOO patients to improve clinical outcomes. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy has recently emerged as a promising method because of the combined effects of surgery and endoscopy, whereas stomachpartitioning gastrojejunostomy has been reported as a modified surgical procedure to reduce the rate of delayed gastric emptying. In decision-making regarding the treatment of choice, it should be taken into account that mGOO might be accompanied by a variety of pathological conditions, including cancer cachexia, anorexia, malabsorption, and etc., all of which can also lead to the characteristic symptoms and poor nutritional status of mGOO. The treatment plan should consider comprehensive aspects of patients to achieve practical improve-ments in prognosis and the quality of life.

Key Words: Malignant gastric outlet obstruction; Surgical gastroenterostomy; Endoscopic stenting; Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy; Stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy; Anorexia-cachexia syndrome

WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: The original idea for malignant gastric outlet obstruction (mGOO) treatment involves bypassing the obstruction via surgical gastroenterostomy or reopening the passage via endoscopic stenting. Substantial morbidity and only partial relief from conventional procedures have prompted modified procedures, such as endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy and stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy, for which there is limited evidence for synthesis. Notably, mGOO is usually accompanied by a variety of pathological conditions that can result in presentations similar to or the same as those of mGOO. A multidisciplinary approach should be adopted for decision-making in treatment plans.

Citation: Jiang L, Chen XP. Treatment of choice for malignant gastric outlet obstruction: More than clearing the road. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024; 16(11): 587-594

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v16/i11/587.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v16.i11.587

INTRODUCTION

Gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) is a mechanical obstruction caused by pyloric and/or duodenal stenosis. Peptic ulcer disease was historically the primary cause of GOO; however, malignancies currently account for 50% to 80% of cases because of increased use of acid suppression therapy. Malignant GOO (mGOO) results primarily from gastrointestinal and periampullary cancers[1]. Among them, pancreatic cancer is the most common cause of mGOO in Western countries, whereas gastric cancer is the leading cause of mGOO in Asia[2]. The incidence of mGOO reportedly ranges from 15% to 20% in patients with pancreatic cancer^[3].

As a late complication of advanced malignancies, mGOO is believed to aggravate symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and weight loss and exacerbate poor nutritional status. Thus, mGOO significantly affects patient survival because therapeutic treatment is compromised[4].

The treatment of patients with mGOO has long been discussed in terms of the adoption of distinct procedures, with significant progress made in techniques in recent years. In the current issue of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal *Endoscopy*, Vilas-Boas *et al* [5] suggested in their mini-review that studies should focus on improving quality-adjusted survival instead of technical success while evaluating mGOO treatment modalities. In this editorial, we provide a review on the development of various mGOO treatment methods and noteworthy points in the evaluation of mGOO treatment modalities.

PRIMARY THEORY

As patients with mGOO mostly present with nausea, vomiting, dehydration, and malnutrition, the primary theory is to address the obstruction by creating a new gastrointestinal pathway or reenabling the passage of food and liquid. Therefore, traditional treatment for GOO has long been surgical gastroenterostomy (SGE)[6-8] or endoscopic enteral stenting[9-11].

SGE was first proposed decades ago as the classical treatment for mGOO when the anastomosis is positioned on the anterior or posterior side of the stomach. With the exact position away from the tumor location, an antecolic or a retrocolic side-to-side gastrojejunostomy is performed[12]. The procedure was reported to yield long-lasting relief of GOO symptoms in up to 72% of patients by reestablishing the continuity of food passage; however, SGE is associated with substantial postoperative morbidity that leads to prolonged hospitalization and delayed chemotherapy[13]. Patients with mGOO are usually elderly and have advanced malignancies, as well as other medical illnesses, and surgery morbidity rates are higher than 70% in the early period [14]. In recent years, with extensively developed techniques and surgical skills, the associated morbidity (13%–55%) and mortality (2%–36%) rates have still been considerable[13,15,16].

As most patients with mGOO have a limited survival period, surgical complications that preclude normal activities outside the hospital account for a significant fraction of their remaining lifetime. Treatment that shortens the hospital stay and has lower morbidity and mortality rates is preferable.

In 1992, Topazian et al[17] reported the first use of a self-expandable metallic stent for treating mGOO. During the procedure, a covered or uncovered self-expanding metal stent is sent across the stricture over the wire or through the endoscope. With the worldwide application and development of this procedure in the following years, endoscopic stenting (ES) has been proven to be a less invasive effective modality for treating malignant GOO, with reduced morbidity and shorter hospital stays than those of SGE, especially for patients who may not be surgical candidates[18]. The ESMO and Korean clinical practice guidelines for pancreatic cancer both favor ES over gastrojejunostomy to treat mGOO, as ES has a lower complication rate and results in shorter hospitalization than does gastrojejunostomy [19,20].

However, tissue ingrowth and/or overgrowth over time may result in stent occlusion and recurrent mGOO, which explains the higher rates of long-term luminal obstruction. Recently, Reijm et al[21] reported the clinical outcomes of duodenal stent placement for the palliation of mGOO symptoms in a series of patients over a period of 20 years, with the



WJGE https://www.wjgnet.com

data showing that more than half of the patients (59%) experienced recurrent mGOO after a median time of 28 days. Other complications of endoscopic treatment are stent migration, hemorrhage and perforation, all of which require multiple endoscopic or surgical reinterventions[13,16,22,23]. Tamura *et al*[24] reported a propensity score-matched analysis in 2023 with a mean follow-up period of 129.2 days. Although the short-term outcomes were significantly more favorable in the matched ES group than in the SGE-matched group, long-term adverse events were rated as high as 25.5% in the matched ES group, and Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that overall survival after the procedure was significantly longer in the matched SGE group than in the matched ES group. Therefore, although ES has been proposed as an alternative to SGE owing to rapid clinical improvement, its major drawback is a high rate of stent malfunction, which requires frequent reinterventions, especially in patients with prolonged survival of more than 6-12 months[11,18,25-28]. As mGOO generally portends a poor prognosis[29], avoiding repeated interventions and/or hospitalizations might be highly important for patients with advanced malignancy and a limited lifetime expectancy.

In light of these concerns, life expectancy was proposed as a criterion for decision-making. The NCCN guidelines for treating pancreatic cancer and gastric cancer advocate for gastrojejunostomy over ES for patients who are fit for surgery and have an overall survival expectancy of more than 3–6 months[30,31]. The American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) recommends that surgical gastrojejunostomy should be considered for patients with GOO that have a life expectancy greater than 2 months, who are surgically fit and have good functional status. Enteral stenting can be reserved for patients with a limited life expectancy[32].

MODIFIED PROCEDURES

When palliative surgical gastrojejunostomy has been accepted as the standard treatment for patients with a prolonged survival expectancy, postoperative adverse events occurred in up to 82.9% of SGE patients, even according to the latest report by Martinet *et al*[33]. To achieve tangible improvement in quality of life during the limited survival period, it is necessary to make technical progress in the treatment of mGOO.

Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE) for mGOO was first reported by Khashab *et al*[34] in 2015. They developed a novel EUS-guided technique for creating an anastomosis between the stomach and an adjacent jejunal loop *via* the placement of a lumen-apposing metal stent to achieve adequate positioning and deployment of the stent, as determined by endoscopy and radiology. The procedure is believed to combine the minimal invasiveness of an endoscopic procedure and the long-lasting effect of SGE[35-40]. It could also be used to treat patients in whom ES failed in the first place[36]. According to two recent meta-analyses, EUS-GE has a technical success rate higher than 90% and a clinical success rate of up to 90%[41,42]. A multicenter comparative study reported this year revealed that EUS-GE provided a long-term clinical success rate (91.1%) and a technical success rate (87.5%) comparable to those of SGE for GOO[33]. A few months later, Cobb *et al*[43]. reported a systematic review of the long-term effectiveness of endoscopic gastrojejunostomy upon mGOO and found that EUS-GE had an overall complication rate of 10.5% and a rate of symptom recurrence of 5.9% at up to 5 months of follow-up. The results indicated that EUS-GE may provide additional benefits, as the procedure could be applied in patients who are not SGE candidates.

Nevertheless, a learning curve of 12 to 25 procedures has been reported for EUS-GE because the procedure requires advanced endoscopic skills and techniques[44,45]. Thus, the AGA recommends, with caution, that EUS-GE should be considered on the basis of local experience[32]. Given that EUS-GE has been applied in clinical practice for less than a decade and that the procedure requires advanced endoscopic experience, comparative studies of EUS-GE and other treatments for GOO are limited, with some conflicting results[42,46-49]. To date, there are no consistent guidelines recommending this technique over other methods for the treatment of malignant GOO[50].

As progress in the technique might lead to impressions of clinical procedures, some inherent issues should not be overlooked. Long-term clinical experience and many previous studies have indicated that conventional side-to-side gastrojejunostomy may provide only partial palliation in numerous patients, as the retention of food may still remain after surgery because of impaired gastric emptying[51,52] or because the gastric contents always preferentially flow to the pylorus rather than the anastomosis[53]. In fact, the rate of delayed gastric emptying (DGE) after conventional gastrojejunostomy can reach 50%, as reported in the literature[54,55]. As this results in poor quality of life, the efficacy of conventional gastrojejunostomy for palliation has been questioned.

The technique of stomach partitioning was originally described by Devine[56] in 1925 for the treatment of duodenal ulcers and was later applied in the treatment of unresectable distal gastric cancer by Maingot[57]. The original method completely separates the distal stomach from the proximal body, and an anastomosis is made between the proximal stomach and the adjacent jejunum; therefore, food emptying directly through the anastomosis passage is ensured. The disadvantage of this procedure is that the distal gastric remnant is left to a practically confined compartment and exposed to the risk of potential bleeding from the tumor; thus, decompression of the gastric antrum may be necessary in some cases. In light of this concern, Kaminishi *et al*[58] reported partial stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy (PGJ) in 1997, also called the modified Devine[56] exclusion method, which separates the lower part of the stomach and performs anastomosis between the jejunum and the proximal part of the stomach, which is conducive to food emptying and effectively reduces food stimulation of the tumor to lower the risk for gastric remnant rupture. The additional advantage is the retention of the possibility of postoperative endoscopic cancer surveillance and intervention or access to bile ducts [58,59]. In recent years, promising outcomes of PGJ, compared with those of conventional gastrojejunostomy, have been reported in terms of lower rates of DGE and enhanced postoperative recovery[53,59-61].

Zaishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

A network meta-analysis reported this year suggested that PGJ and EUS-GE were more advantageous than conventional gastrojejunostomy and ES in terms of combined safety and efficacy [62]. Nonetheless, the unpopularity of PGJ has resulted in limited supporting evidence; therefore, this procedure has rarely been performed to date because of the lack of evidence from prospective studies and RCTs[60]. Most recently, a propensity score-matched cohort study reported by Hai et al[63] compared the long-term outcomes between stomach-partitioning and conventional gastrojejunostomy. They failed to demonstrate differences in the incidence of mGOO recurrence and survival outcomes between the two groups, whereas earlier retrospective studies reported that the SPGJ approach improved survival in patients with mGOO by increasing the tolerance of chemotherapy [64]. Further controlled studies with longer follow-up periods are needed to address this debate.

BENEFIT AS EXPECTED OR NOT

Malnutrition is a common and principal problem among advanced cancer patients and negatively impacts their quality of life and clinical outcomes. mGOO increases the difficulty of oral intake, which can lead to severe malnutrition. Therefore, mGOO treatment was believed to be crucial for cancer patients by removing the obstruction so that patients can tolerate oral nutrition to improve their nutritional status and quality of life or receive radiochemotherapy[65,66]. However, nausea and vomiting have long been reported in 30%-45% of patients with pancreatic cancer, whereas actual gastric outflow obstruction occurs in only 5% of patients at the time of diagnosis[8]. There is growing recognition that the etiology of impaired nutritional status is multifactorial and includes anorexia, elevated resting energy expenditure, gastric/biliary obstruction, malabsorption, treatment side effects, tumor cytokines, etc[67]. It takes much more than addressing GOO to improve the nutritional status of advanced cancer patients.

mGOO usually occurs in patients with advanced malignancies, who may experience anorexia-cachexia syndrome, which is accompanied by a variety of symptoms, including intestinal malabsorption, nausea, anorexia and depression [68-70]. The typical mGOO symptoms are similar to those of anorexia-cachexia syndrome, including early satiety, nausea, postprandial vomiting, weight loss, and poor nutritional status^[71]. In light of this concern, questions regarding the treatment of GOO were raised as early as the previous century, and further prospective studies may be needed to determine whether any true palliation of symptoms can be achieved in patients treated for obstruction[14]. Cachexia is accompanied by a set of functional and behavioral disorders, such as anorexia and depression[70]. Anorexia, a key component of cancer cachexia syndrome, is characterized by a diminished desire to eat[70,72]. While depression in cancer patients can exacerbate appetite and reduce food intake [73], it is associated with increased morbidity, including anorexia and anxiety, which further aggravates reduced food intake and impairs patients' quality of life [74,75]. These aspects of anorexia-cachexia syndrome continue to exist after the treatment of GOO, which might hinder the evaluation of the effectiveness of the treatment procedure. Moreover, how many patients benefit from GOO treatment in terms of the characteristic presentations of GOO; i.e., nausea and vomiting, which are both common symptoms in advanced cancer patients and severely affect their quality of life, remains to be determined. Nausea and vomiting can be multifactorial in origin that include elevated intracranial pressure, infections, opioid use, ascites, hepatomegaly, dyspepsia or gastritis, and malignant bowel obstruction [76]. Therefore, addressing all these intricately linked conditions in treatment plan selection is critical for patients with GOO to improve their clinical outcomes, manage their disease more effectively, and enhance their quality of life.

CONCLUSION

The outcome of mGOO treatment in advanced cancer patients can be influenced by a variety of factors. Classical treatment procedures include surgical gastrojejunostomy and ES, which have either considerable perioperative morbidity or high long-term recurrence rates. As patients with GOO have a median survival of only 2-10 months, doctors face intense challenges when selecting treatment modalities. Compared with conventional procedures, the recent introduction of EUS-GE and PGJ has resulted in promising outcomes, with limited evidence for synthesis. Moreover, mGOO may be merely one of the multiple factors underlying typical presentations, such as nausea and vomiting, as well as malnutrition. As most guidelines suggest, a multidisciplinary approach should be adopted to help patients decide on the choice of treatment, with implications for health care resource optimization. To date, most of the data have been derived from retrospective and heterogeneous studies that were prone to selection and detection bias. Future prospective studies and randomized controlled trials could provide stronger evidence for clinical decision-making.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Chen XP designed the study, reviewed and edited the manuscript; Jiang L performed the research and wrote the original draft.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to



distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: China

ORCID number: Li Jiang 0000-0003-2777-1203; Xiao-Ping Chen 0000-0001-8636-0493.

S-Editor: Liu H L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wang WB

REFERENCES

- 1 Tringali A, Giannetti A, Adler DG. Endoscopic management of gastric outlet obstruction disease. Ann Gastroenterol 2019; 32: 330-337 [PMID: 31263354 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2019.0390]
- 2 Troncone E, Fugazza A, Cappello A, Del Vecchio Blanco G, Monteleone G, Repici A, Teoh AYB, Anderloni A. Malignant gastric outlet obstruction: Which is the best therapeutic option? World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 1847-1860 [PMID: 32390697 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i16.1847]
- 3 Carbajo AY, Kahaleh M, Tyberg A. Clinical Review of EUS-guided Gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE). J Clin Gastroenterol 2020; 54: 1-7 [PMID: 31567785 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.00000000001262]
- Khashab M, Alawad AS, Shin EJ, Kim K, Bourdel N, Singh VK, Lennon AM, Hutfless S, Sharaiha RZ, Amateau S, Okolo PI, Makary MA, 4 Wolfgang C, Canto MI, Kalloo AN. Enteral stenting versus gastrojejunostomy for palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Surg Endosc 2013; 27: 2068-2075 [PMID: 23299137 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-012-2712-7]
- Vilas-Boas F, Rizzo GEM, De Ponthaud C, Robinson S, Gaujoux S, Capurso G, Vanella G, Bozkırlı B. Unveiling hidden outcomes in 5 malignant gastric outlet obstruction research - insights from a "Pancreas 2000" review. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024; 16: 451-461 [PMID: 39155997 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v16.i8.451]
- Ly J, O'Grady G, Mittal A, Plank L, Windsor JA. A systematic review of methods to palliate malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Surg Endosc 6 2010; 24: 290-297 [PMID: 19551436 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0577-1]
- 7 Wilson RG, Varma JS. Laparoscopic gastroenterostomy for malignant duodenal obstruction. Br J Surg 1992; 79: 1348 [PMID: 1486437 DOI: 10.1002/bis.1800791235
- Watanapa P, Williamson RC. Surgical palliation for pancreatic cancer: developments during the past two decades. Br J Surg 1992; 79: 8-20 8 [PMID: 1371087 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800790105]
- Johnsson E, Thune A, Liedman B. Palliation of malignant gastroduodenal obstruction with open surgical bypass or endoscopic stenting: 9 clinical outcome and health economic evaluation. World J Surg 2004; 28: 812-817 [PMID: 15457364 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-004-7329-0]
- van Hooft JE, Uitdehaag MJ, Bruno MJ, Timmer R, Siersema PD, Dijkgraaf MG, Fockens P. Efficacy and safety of the new WallFlex enteral 10 stent in palliative treatment of malignant gastric outlet obstruction (DUOFLEX study): a prospective multicenter study. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 69: 1059-1066 [PMID: 19152912 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2008.07.026]
- Lee H, Min BH, Lee JH, Shin CM, Kim Y, Chung H, Lee SH. Covered metallic stents with an anti-migration design vs. uncovered stents for 11 the palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction: a multicenter, randomized trial. Am J Gastroenterol 2015; 110: 1440-1449 [PMID: 26372507 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2015.286]
- Kastelijn JB, van de Pavert YL, Besselink MG, Fockens P, Voermans RP, van Wanrooij RLJ, de Wijkerslooth TR, Curvers WL, de Hingh 12 IHJT, Bruno MJ, Koerkamp BG, Patijn GA, Poen AC, van Hooft JE, Inderson A, Mieog JSD, Poley JW, Bijlsma A, Lips DJ, Venneman NG, Verdonk RC, van Dullemen HM, Hoogwater FJH, Frederix GWJ, Molenaar IQ, Welsing PMJ, Moons LMG, van Santvoort HC, Vleggaar FP; Dutch Pancreatic Cancer Group. Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastroenterostomy versus surgical gastrojejunostomy for palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction (ENDURO): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2023; 24: 608 [PMID: 37749590 DOI: 10.1186/s13063-023-07522-7
- Jeurnink SM, Steyerberg EW, van Hooft JE, van Eijck CH, Schwartz MP, Vleggaar FP, Kuipers EJ, Siersema PD; Dutch SUSTENT Study 13 Group. Surgical gastrojejunostomy or endoscopic stent placement for the palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction (SUSTENT study): a multicenter randomized trial. Gastrointest Endosc 2010; 71: 490-499 [PMID: 20003966 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2009.09.042]
- Weaver DW, Wiencek RG, Bouwman DL, Walt AJ. Gastrojejunostomy: is it helpful for patients with pancreatic cancer? Surgery 1987; 102: 14 608-613 [PMID: 2443991]
- Uemura S, Iwashita T, Iwata K, Mukai T, Osada S, Sekino T, Adachi T, Kawai M, Yasuda I, Shimizu M. Endoscopic duodenal stent versus 15 surgical gastrojejunostomy for gastric outlet obstruction in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Pancreatology 2018; 18: 601-607 [PMID: 29753623 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2018.04.015]
- Yoshida Y, Fukutomi A, Tanaka M, Sugiura T, Kawata N, Kawai S, Kito Y, Hamauchi S, Tsushima T, Yokota T, Todaka A, Machida N, 16 Yamazaki K, Onozawa Y, Yasui H. Gastrojejunostomy versus duodenal stent placement for gastric outlet obstruction in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. Pancreatology 2017; 17: 983-989 [PMID: 29066391 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2017.09.011]
- 17 Topazian M, Ring E, Grendell J. Palliation of obstructing gastric cancer with steel mesh, self-expanding endoprostheses. Gastrointest Endosc 1992; **38**: 58-60 [PMID: 1377147 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5107(92)70334-4]
- van Halsema EE, Rauws EA, Fockens P, van Hooft JE. Self-expandable metal stents for malignant gastric outlet obstruction: A pooled 18 analysis of prospective literature. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 12468-12481 [PMID: 26604654 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i43.12468]
- Conroy T, Pfeiffer P, Vilgrain V, Lamarca A, Seufferlein T, O'Reilly EM, Hackert T, Golan T, Prager G, Haustermans K, Vogel A, Ducreux 19 M; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Pancreatic cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2023; 34: 987-1002 [PMID: 37678671 DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2023.08.009]
- 20 Committee of the Korean clinical practice guideline for pancreatic cancer and National Cancer Center, Korean clinical practice guideline for pancreatic cancer 2021: A summary of evidence-based, multi-disciplinary diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Pancreatology



2021; 21: 1326-1341 [PMID: 34148794 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2021.05.004]

- Reijm AN, Zellenrath PA, van der Bogt RD, van Driel LMJW, Siersema PD, Bruno MJ, Spaander MCW. Self-expandable duodenal metal 21 stent placement for the palliation of gastric outlet obstruction over the past 20 years. Endoscopy 2022; 54: 1139-1146 [PMID: 35483885 DOI: 10.1055/a-1838-5642]
- 22 Jang S, Stevens T, Lopez R, Bhatt A, Vargo JJ. Superiority of Gastrojejunostomy Over Endoscopic Stenting for Palliation of Malignant Gastric Outlet Obstruction. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 17: 1295-1302.e1 [PMID: 30391433 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.10.042]
- Yukimoto T, Morisaki T, Komukai S, Yoshida H, Yamaguchi D, Tsuruoka N, Miyahara K, Sakata Y, Shibasaki S, Tsunada S, Noda T, 23 Yunotani S, Fujimoto K. The Palliative Effect of Endoscopic Uncovered Self-expandable Metallic Stent Placement Versus Gastrojejunostomy on Malignant Gastric Outlet Obstruction: A Pilot Study with a Retrospective Chart Review in Saga, Japan. Intern Med 2018; 57: 1517-1521 [PMID: 29434131 DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.0171-17]
- 24 Tamura T, Mamoru T, Terai T, Ogura T, Tani M, Shimokawa T, Kitahata Y, Matsumoto I, Mitoro A, Asakuma M, Inatomi O, Omoto S, Sho M, Ueno S, Maehira H, Kitano M. Gastrojejunostomy versus endoscopic duodenal stent placement for gastric outlet obstruction in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. Surg Endosc 2023; 37: 1890-1900 [PMID: 36258002 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-022-09685-x]
- Nagaraja V, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Endoscopic stenting versus operative gastrojejunostomy for malignant gastric outlet obstruction-a 25 systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized trials. J Gastrointest Oncol 2014; 5: 92-98 [PMID: 24772336 DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2078-6891.2014.016]
- Jeurnink SM, van Eijck CH, Steyerberg EW, Kuipers EJ, Siersema PD. Stent versus gastrojejunostomy for the palliation of gastric outlet 26 obstruction: a systematic review. BMC Gastroenterol 2007; 7: 18 [PMID: 17559659 DOI: 10.1186/1471-230X-7-18]
- Dormann A, Meisner S, Verin N, Wenk Lang A. Self-expanding metal stents for gastroduodenal malignancies: systematic review of their 27 clinical effectiveness. Endoscopy 2004; 36: 543-550 [PMID: 15202052 DOI: 10.1055/s-2004-814434]
- Costamagna G, Tringali A, Spicak J, Mutignani M, Shaw J, Roy A, Johnsson E, De Moura EG, Cheng S, Ponchon T, Bittinger M, Messmann 28 H, Neuhaus H, Schumacher B, Laugier R, Saarnio J, Ariqueta FI. Treatment of malignant gastroduodenal obstruction with a nitinol selfexpanding metal stent: an international prospective multicentre registry. Dig Liver Dis 2012; 44: 37-43 [PMID: 21937292 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2011.08.012]
- Goldberg EM. Palliative treatment of gastric outlet obstruction in terminal patients: SEMS. Stent every malignant stricture! Gastrointest 29 Endosc 2014; 79: 76-78 [PMID: 24342588 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2013.07.056]
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Guidelines. Available from: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines/detail?category=1& 30 id=1455
- 31 National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Guidelines. Available from: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1& id=1434
- Ahmed O, Lee JH, Thompson CC, Faulx A. AGA Clinical Practice Update on the Optimal Management of the Malignant Alimentary Tract 32 Obstruction: Expert Review. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 19: 1780-1788 [PMID: 33813072 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2021.03.046]
- Martinet E, Gonzalez JM, Thobois M, Hamouda I, Hardwigsen J, Chopinet S, Pauleau G, Vanbiervliet G, Onana P, Moutardier V, Gasmi M, 33 Barthet M, Birnbaum DJ. Surgical versus endoscopic gastroenterostomy for gastric outlet obstruction: a retrospective multicentric comparative study of technical and clinical success. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2024; 409: 192 [PMID: 38900214 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-024-03365-1]
- Khashab MA, Kumbhari V, Grimm IS, Ngamruengphong S, Aguila G, El Zein M, Kalloo AN, Baron TH. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy: the 34 first U.S. clinical experience (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 82: 932-938 [PMID: 26215646 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.06.017]
- 35 Itoi T, Ishii K, Ikeuchi N, Sofuni A, Gotoda T, Moriyasu F, Dhir V, Teoh AY, Binmoeller KF. Prospective evaluation of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided double-balloon-occluded gastrojejunostomy bypass (EPASS) for malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Gut 2016; 65: 193-195 [PMID: 26282674 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310348]
- Tyberg A, Kumta N, Karia K, Zerbo S, Sharaiha RZ, Kahaleh M. EUS-guided gastrojejunostomy after failed enteral stenting. Gastrointest 36 Endosc 2015; 81: 1011-1012 [PMID: 25680897 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.10.018]
- Khashab MA, Tieu AH, Azola A, Ngamruengphong S, El Zein MH, Kumbhari V. EUS-guided gastrojejunostomy for management of 37 complete gastric outlet obstruction. Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 82: 745 [PMID: 26092615 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.05.017]
- Itoi T, Ishii K, Tanaka R, Umeda J, Tonozuka R. Current status and perspective of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastrojejunostomy: 38 endoscopic ultrasonography-guided double-balloon-occluded gastrojejunostomy (with videos). J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2015; 22: 3-11 [PMID: 25155270 DOI: 10.1002/jhbp.148]
- Barthet M, Binmoeller KF, Vanbiervliet G, Gonzalez JM, Baron TH, Berdah S. Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery 39 gastroenterostomy with a biflanged lumen-apposing stent: first clinical experience (with videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 81: 215-218 [PMID: 25527056 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.09.039]
- Tyberg A, Perez-Miranda M, Sanchez-Ocaña R, Peñas I, de la Serna C, Shah J, Binmoeller K, Gaidhane M, Grimm I, Baron T, Kahaleh M. 40 Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastrojejunostomy with a lumen-apposing metal stent: a multicenter, international experience. Endosc Int Open 2016; 4: E276-E281 [PMID: 27004243 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-101789]
- Fan W, Tan S, Wang J, Wang C, Xu H, Zhang L, Liu L, Fan Z, Tang X. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy 41 for gastric outlet obstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol 2022; 31: 159-167 [PMID: 32672479 DOI: 10.1080/13645706.2020.1792500]
- Iqbal U, Khara HS, Hu Y, Kumar V, Tufail K, Confer B, Diehl DL. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy for the management of gastric outlet 42 obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Endosc Ultrasound 2020; 9: 16-23 [PMID: 31898587 DOI: 10.4103/eus.eus_70_19]
- Cobb W, Harris S, Xavier J, de la Fuente SG. Systematic review of long-term effectiveness of endoscopic gastrojejunostomy in patients 43 presenting with gastric outlet obstruction from periampullary malignancies. Surg Endosc 2024; 38: 4680-4685 [PMID: 38886233 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-024-10943-3]
- 44 Wannhoff A, Ruh N, Meier B, Riecken B, Caca K. Endoscopic gastrointestinal anastomoses with lumen-apposing metal stents: predictors of technical success. Surg Endosc 2021; 35: 1997-2004 [PMID: 32358692 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-020-07594-5]
- Jovani M, Ichkhanian Y, Parsa N, Singh S, Brewer Gutierrez OI, Keane MG, Al Ghamdi SS, Ngamruengphong S, Kumbhari V, Khashab MA. 45 Assessment of the learning curve for EUS-guided gastroenterostomy for a single operator. Gastrointest Endosc 2021; 93: 1088-1093 [PMID: 32991868 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2020.09.041]
- Kouanda A, Binmoeller K, Hamerski C, Nett A, Bernabe J, Watson R. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy versus open surgical 46 gastrojejunostomy: clinical outcomes and cost effectiveness analysis. Surg Endosc 2021; 35: 7058-7067 [PMID: 33479837 DOI:



10.1007/s00464-020-08221-z]

- 47 Khashab MA, Bukhari M, Baron TH, Nieto J, El Zein M, Chen YI, Chavez YH, Ngamruengphong S, Alawad AS, Kumbhari V, Itoi T. International multicenter comparative trial of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastroenterostomy versus surgical gastrojejunostomy for the treatment of malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Endosc Int Open 2017; 5: E275-E281 [PMID: 28382326 DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-101695]
- Perez-Miranda M, Tyberg A, Poletto D, Toscano E, Gaidhane M, Desai AP, Kumta NA, Fayad L, Nieto J, Barthet M, Shah R, Brauer BC, 48 Sharaiha RZ, Kahaleh M. EUS-guided Gastrojejunostomy Versus Laparoscopic Gastrojejunostomy: An International Collaborative Study. J Clin Gastroenterol 2017; 51: 896-899 [PMID: 28697151 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000887]
- 49 Bronswijk M, Vanella G, van Malenstein H, Laleman W, Jaekers J, Topal B, Daams F, Besselink MG, Arcidiacono PG, Voermans RP, Fockens P, Larghi A, van Wanrooij RLJ, Van der Merwe SW. Laparoscopic versus EUS-guided gastroenterostomy for gastric outlet obstruction: an international multicenter propensity score-matched comparison (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2021; 94: 526-536.e2 [PMID: 33852900 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2021.04.006]
- Boghossian MB, Funari MP, De Moura DTH, McCarty TR, Sagae VMT, Chen YI, Mendieta PJO, Neto FLP, Bernardo WM, Dos Santos 50 MEL, Chaves FT, Khashab MA, de Moura EGH. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy versus duodenal stent placement and surgical gastrojejunostomy for the palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2021; 406: 1803-1817 [PMID: 34121130 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-021-02215-8]
- Arciero CA, Joseph N, Watson JC, Hoffman JP. Partial stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy for malignant duodenal obstruction. Am J 51 Surg 2006; 191: 428-432 [PMID: 16490561 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2005.10.053]
- Van Heek NT, De Castro SM, van Eijck CH, van Geenen RC, Hesselink EJ, Breslau PJ, Tran TC, Kazemier G, Visser MR, Busch OR, 52 Obertop H, Gouma DJ. The need for a prophylactic gastrojejunostomy for unresectable periampullary cancer: a prospective randomized multicenter trial with special focus on assessment of quality of life. Ann Surg 2003; 238: 894-902; discussion 902 [PMID: 14631226 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000098617.21801.95]
- Zhang H, Xu F, Zheng Z, Liu X, Yin J, Fan Z, Zhang J. Gastric emptying performance of stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy versus 53 conventional gastrojejunostomy for treating gastric outlet obstruction: A retrospective clinical and numerical simulation study. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2023; 11: 1109295 [PMID: 36873355 DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2023.1109295]
- 54 Oida T, Mimatsu K, Kawasaki A, Kano H, Kuboi Y, Amano S. Modified Devine exclusion with vertical stomach reconstruction for gastric outlet obstruction: a novel technique. J Gastrointest Surg 2009; 13: 1226-1232 [PMID: 19333659 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-009-0874-y]
- Usuba T, Misawa T, Toyama Y, Ishida Y, Ishii Y, Yanagisawa S, Kobayashi S, Yanaga K. Is modified Devine exclusion necessary for 55 gastrojejunostomy in patients with unresectable pancreatobiliary cancer? Surg Today 2011; 41: 97-100 [PMID: 21191698 DOI: 10.1007/s00595-009-4246-8]
- Devine H. Basic principle and supreme difficulties in gastric surgery. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1925; 40: 1-16 56
- Maingot R. The surgical treatment of irremovable cancer of the pyloric segment of the stomach. Ann Surg 1936; 104: 161-166 [PMID: 57 17856810 DOI: 10.1097/00000658-193608000-00001]
- 58 Kaminishi M, Yamaguchi H, Shimizu N, Nomura S, Yoshikawa A, Hashimoto M, Sakai S, Oohara T. Stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy for unresectable gastric carcinoma. Arch Surg 1997; 132: 184-187 [PMID: 9041924 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1997.01430260082018]
- 59 Lorusso D, Giliberti A, Bianco M, Lantone G, Leandro G. Stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy is better than conventional gastrojejunostomy in palliative care of gastric outlet obstruction for gastric or pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Oncol 2019; 10: 283-291 [PMID: 31032096 DOI: 10.21037/jgo.2018.10.10]
- Kumagai K, Rouvelas I, Ernberg A, Persson S, Analatos A, Mariosa D, Lindblad M, Nilsson M, Ye W, Lundell L, Tsai JA. A systematic 60 review and meta-analysis comparing partial stomach partitioning gastrojejunostomy versus conventional gastrojejunostomy for malignant gastroduodenal obstruction. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2016; 401: 777-785 [PMID: 27339200 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-016-1470-8]
- Abdel-lah-Fernández O, Parreño-Manchado FC, García-Plaza A, Álvarez-Delgado A. [Partial stomach partitioning gastrojejunostomy in the 61 treatment of the malignant gastric outlet obstruction]. Cir Cir 2015; 83: 386-392 [PMID: 26141110 DOI: 10.1016/j.circir.2015.05.034]
- Tran KV, Vo NP, Nguyen HS, Vo NT, Thai TBT, Pham VA, Loh EW, Tam KW. Palliative procedures for malignant gastric outlet 62 obstruction: a network meta-analysis. Endoscopy 2024; 56: 780-789 [PMID: 38641337 DOI: 10.1055/a-2309-7683]
- Hai NV, Thong DQ, Dat TQ, Nguyen DT, Quoc HLM, Minh TA, Anh NVT, Vuong NL, Trung TT, Bac NH, Long VD. Stomach-partitioning 63 versus conventional gastrojejunostomy for unresectable gastric cancer with gastric outlet obstruction: A propensity score matched cohort study. Am J Surg 2024; 228: 206-212 [PMID: 37827868 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2023.09.044]
- Tanaka T, Suda K, Satoh S, Kawamura Y, Inaba K, Ishida Y, Uyama I. Effectiveness of laparoscopic stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy 64 for patients with gastric outlet obstruction caused by advanced gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2017; 31: 359-367 [PMID: 27287913 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-016-4980-0]
- van Heek NT, van Geenen RC, Busch OR, Gouma DJ. Palliative treatment in "peri"-pancreatic carcinoma: stenting or surgical therapy? Acta 65 Gastroenterol Belg 2002; 65: 171-175 [PMID: 12420610]
- Schmidt C, Gerdes H, Hawkins W, Zucker E, Zhou Q, Riedel E, Jaques D, Markowitz A, Coit D, Schattner M. A prospective observational 66 study examining quality of life in patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Am J Surg 2009; 198: 92-99 [PMID: 19482259 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2008.09.030]
- Sreedharan L, Kumar B, Jewell A, Banim P, Koulouris A, Hart AR. Bridging clinic: The initial medical management of patients with newly 67 diagnosed pancreatic cancer. Frontline Gastroenterol 2019; 10: 261-268 [PMID: 31288251 DOI: 10.1136/flgastro-2018-101002]
- Baracos VE, Martin L, Korc M, Guttridge DC, Fearon KCH. Cancer-associated cachexia. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018; 4: 17105 [PMID: 68 29345251 DOI: 10.1038/nrdp.2017.105]
- Ferrer M, Anthony TG, Ayres JS, Biffi G, Brown JC, Caan BJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Coll AP, Dunne RF, Goncalves MD, Grethlein J, 69 Heymsfield SB, Hui S, Jamal-Hanjani M, Lam JM, Lewis DY, McCandlish D, Mustian KM, O'Rahilly S, Perrimon N, White EP, Janowitz T. Cachexia: A systemic consequence of progressive, unresolved disease. Cell 2023; 186: 1824-1845 [PMID: 37116469 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2023.03.028]
- Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, Bosaeus I, Bruera E, Fainsinger RL, Jatoi A, Loprinzi C, MacDonald N, Mantovani G, Davis M, Muscaritoli 70 M, Ottery F, Radbruch L, Ravasco P, Walsh D, Wilcock A, Kaasa S, Baracos VE. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. Lancet Oncol 2011; 12: 489-495 [PMID: 21296615 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70218-7]
- Papanikolaou IS, Siersema PD. Gastric Outlet Obstruction: Current Status and Future Directions. Gut Liver 2022; 16: 667-675 [PMID: 71 35314520 DOI: 10.5009/gnl210327]
- 72 Ni J, Zhang L. Cancer Cachexia: Definition, Staging, and Emerging Treatments. Cancer Manag Res 2020; 12: 5597-5605 [PMID: 32753972



WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S261585]

- Del Fabbro E, Orr TA, Stella SM. Practical approaches to managing cancer patients with weight loss. Curr Opin Support Palliat Care 2017; 73
- Sheibani-Rad S, Velanovich V. Effects of depression on the survival of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Pancreas 2006; 32: 58-61 [PMID: 74 16340745 DOI: 10.1097/01.mpa.0000191643.17173.d3]
- Jia L, Jiang SM, Shang YY, Huang YX, Li YJ, Xie DR, Huang KH, Zhi FC. Investigation of the incidence of pancreatic cancer-related 75 depression and its relationship with the quality of life of patients. Digestion 2010; 82: 4-9 [PMID: 20145402 DOI: 10.1159/000253864]
- Walsh D, Davis M, Ripamonti C, Bruera E, Davies A, Molassiotis A. 2016 Updated MASCC/ESMO consensus recommendations: 76 Management of nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer. Support Care Cancer 2017; 25: 333-340 [PMID: 27534961 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-016-3371-3]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

