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It’s a well summarized review. Author has done a dramatic task of presenting such topic in easy and understandable language.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Author described various extrinsic inducements, diagnostic evaluation, mechanical ventilation and treatment of AE-ILD. But, author’s title was AE-ILD in ICU. Author should show special part of ICU in their manuscript. 2 Author compared mortality of MV and NIV. However, non-invasive ventilation (NIV) was a type of mechanical ventilation (MV). 3 There were so many mistakes in manuscript, such as fibrotic LD, proning and so on. The present tense and past tense were used in the same section. 4 Some special terminology should be more precise, such as high-resolution computed tomography (CT), connective tissue disease ILD and so on. 5 Author didn’t understand the format of review, the background and searching method were absent in this manuscript.