



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26212

**Title:** Enhanced recovery pathways in pancreatic surgery: State of the art

**Reviewer's code:** 02445477

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-05 17:21

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-21 21:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Introduction and next para could have been deleted or shoetened.more focuss on general parameters leading prolonged recovcery.Too lengthy topic , oiverall , wqith repetitive details. needs shortening before acceptance



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26212

**Title:** Enhanced recovery pathways in pancreatic surgery: State of the art

**Reviewer’s code:** 03529724

**Reviewer’s country:** United States

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-05 17:21

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-27 10:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a very thorough review of the literature on Enhanced Recovery Pathways (ERPs) in pancreatic surgery. The structure of the manuscript is friendly to the reader. All elements of contemporary ERP including patient education, perioperative optimization, analgesia and post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) prevention are addressed in detail in this manuscript. The tables are very helpful in collecting evidence of various levels to explain the trends in pancreatic surgery. My comments for the authors are: 1) In the introduction section, line 5, the authors mention “high-volume centers”. In an era of centralization of specialized care in tertiary centers, it would be prudent to discuss what defines a high volume center 2) PONV is a very important aspect of enhanced recovery. Therefore the authors could discuss a bit more about the agents used perioperatively. There is abundant research in the anesthesiology literature and would be appropriate to mention them in one line 3) Page 10, section of “perianastomotic drain”, line 6. The authors mention the lack of literature on drain placement and this statement is followed by a randomized trial as a level 1 evidence. I would just omit this sentence 4) The authors very appropriately refer to delayed gastric emptying (DGE) as this is the most common complication after a Whipple. Would encourage the authors to briefly discuss



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

the literature on ante-colic versus retro-colic positioning of the jejunal limb and it's effect on DGE (just one liner) 5) Laparoscopic and nowadays robotic pancreatic surgery is gaining. Therefore I would suggest that the authors spend more space to discuss about laparoscopic (mainly, robotic is far less) pancreatic surgery and whether any differences are expected (especially in high volume centers where laparoscopic Whipple for example is the standard approach) Thank you for the opportunity to review this thorough review.