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Kidney disease in non-kidney solid organ transplantation

Kurtis J Swanson

Abstract

Kidney disease after non-kidney solid organ transplantation (NKSOT) is a common post-transplant complication associated with deleterious outcomes. Kidney disease, both acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease (CKD) alike, emanates from multifactorial, summative pre-, peri- and post-transplant events. Several factors leading to kidney disease are shared amongst solid organ transplantation in addition to distinct mechanisms unique to individual transplant types. The aim of this review is to summarize the current literature describing kidney disease in NKSOT. We conducted a narrative review of pertinent studies on the subject, limiting our search to full text studies in the English language. Kidney disease after NKSOT is prevalent, particularly in intestinal and lung transplantation. Management strategies in the peri-operative and post-transplant periods including proteinuria management, calcineurin-inhibitor minimization/sparing approaches, and nephrology referral can counteract CKD progression and/or aid in subsequent kidney after solid organ transplantation. Kidney disease after SOT is an incipient condition demanding further inquiry. While some truths have been revealed about this chronic disease, as we have aimed to describe in this review, continued multidisciplinary efforts are needed more than ever to combat this threat to patient and allograft survival.
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Core Tip: Kidney disease in the non-kidney solid organ transplant population occurs at significantly higher rate than the general population. Pre-transplant morbidity as well as peri-/post-transplant events contribute to this prevalence. Management strategies throughout the journey of non-renal solid organ transplantation are being studied, including transplantation after native kidney failure to help offset the morbidity/mortality of chronic kidney disease and maximize the benefit of non-kidney solid organ transplantation.
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INTRODUCTION
Chronic kidney disease (CKD), most commonly defined as decreased glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m² or markers of kidney damage persistent at least 90 d per Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) criteria, is a frequently observed post-transplant complication for non-kidney solid organ transplantation (NKSOT) recipients and is associated with adverse outcomes[1-3]. While quantifying the prevalence of CKD in any population is daunting, several studies have noted an incidence of CKD in NKSOT ranging between 6%-21%[2,3]. Notably, this is derived via CKD definition as GFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m². In one study of liver transplant recipients, approximately 57% had a GFR between 30-59 mL/min/1.73 m²[2,3]. This is compared to the estimated CKD rate of 15% in the general population[1].

Intuitively, end-organ disease compelling transplantation often leads to impaired kidney function, stemming from recurrent acute kidney injury (AKI) and subsequent CKD. Furthermore, the post-transplant milieu portends CKD through injurious transient and persistent insults, leading to the well described disproportionately high burden of kidney disease in SOT recipients[2-4]. The goal of this review is to condense the current literature in this field to: (1) Illustrate the scope of the problem; (2) Examine mechanisms leading to CKD in this population; and (3) Identify potentially modifiable risk factors and discuss management/treatment of CKD after NKSOT. In the following sections, we will discuss common factors driving AKI and CKD and then describe kidney disease after NKSOT in the following distinct contexts: Pancreas, liver, heart, lung, and intestinal transplantation.

KEY DEFINITIONS
AKI
While several definitions exist, we will use those endorsed by the KDIGO work group whereby AKI is defined as at least a 0.3 mg/dL increase in creatinine within 48 h or at least 1.5-1.9 times baseline increase in creatinine within 1 wk or decrease in urine output of at least 0.5 mL/kg/h for at least 6 h[1].

CKD
As in AKI, KDIGO has defined CKD, which is identified by markers of kidney damage, estimated GFR (eGFR) < 60 mL/min/1.73 m², and degree of albuminuria given the well described relationship between proteinuric kidney disease and CKD progression[1]. Unless otherwise stated, we will use these criteria to define CKD.

SCOPE OF CKD AFTER NKSOT
How common is CKD after NKSOT? This is an important question many have sought to answer given the well documented deleterious impact CKD has on cardiovascular and survival outcomes[2]. As described by Bloom et al[3] in their landmark review, historically varied CKD definitions as well as the reliance of estimating equations based on serum creatinine (Scr), of which their distinct strengths/weaknesses/limitations has made the assessment of CKD prevalence enigmatic at best. An oft-cited key study by Ojo et al[2] notes the following rates of 5-year post-transplant CKD: 21.3% among intestinal transplant (IT) recipients, 18.1% among liver transplant recipients, 15.8% among lung transplant recipients, 10.9% among heart transplant recipients, and 6.9% among heart-lung transplant recipients. Whereas this study offers a reference point, they utilized a stringent definition of CKD [GFR < 30 mL/min per 1.73 m², via four variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study (MDRD)
equation]. While such conservative criteria lead to underestimation of CKD prevalence (as most patients with CKD fall in the eGFR 30-60 mL/min/1.73 m² range), shared patient characteristics of low muscle mass/malnutrition accentuate the already flawed estimating creatinine-based equations. Moreover, the paucity of proteinuria measurements performed clinically and/or analyzed in studies is a major contributor to the underestimation of CKD in NKSOT recipients.

Several studies have helped improve our understanding of CKD prevalence in NKSOT recipients which will be highlighted below. In their recent study, Shaffi et al[5] compared 26 eGFR equations in NKSOT recipients (n = 3622, including recipients of kidney (53%), liver (35%), and other or multiple organs (12%)) to measured GFR (mGFR) either via urinary iothalamate clearance or plasma iohexol clearance. They found that the proportion of absolute percent error < 30% (P<) and mean absolute error for the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration equation (CKD-EPI) and the MDRD Study equations were 78.9% [99.6%], 95% confidence interval (CI); 76.9%-80.8%) for both and 10.6 [99.6%, 95%CI: 10.1-11.1) vs 11.0 (99.6%, 95%CI: 10.5-11.5) mL/min/1.73 m². Compared to the other 24 estimating eGFR equations the authors examined, the CKD-EPI and MDRD equations were significantly more accurate (P < 0.001).

In their study examining 1135 pancreas transplant alone (PTA) recipients in Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR), Kim et al[6] observed that about 25% of the cohort had an eGFR below 61.3 mL/min/1.73 m². Conway et al[7] via prospective study serially measuring iothalamate clearance in 1447 liver transplant recipients observed the following. At 3 mo, 1 year, and 5 years post-transplant, the mean mGFR was 59.5 ± 27.1 mL/min, 62.7 ± 27.8 mL/min, and 55.3 ± 26.1 mL/min. Interestingly, the mean mGFR at the time of initial evaluation was 90.7 ± 40.5 mL/min. In their analysis of risk factors for CKD after heart transplantation, Hamour et al[8] observed that CKD post-heart transplant is common, noting probabilities of eGFR < 45 mL/min/1.73 m² were the following: 45% at year 1, 71% at year 5 and 83% at year 10. In their review which included 186 lung transplant recipients, Ishani et al[9] showed that CKD was commonly observed at 1 year post-transplant and progressed henceforth. From a mean pre-transplant SCr of 0.88 ± 0.19 mg/dL to 1.22 ± 0.82 mg/dL at 12 mo and to 1.98 ± 1.1 mg/dL at three years post-transplant. Kidney disease after NKSOT appears to be common, progressive and is likely substantially underestimated due to patient factors as well as understated albuminuria.

MECHANISMS LEADING TO CKD IN NON-KIDNEY SOT

Across NKSOT, both shared and organ-specific factors give rise to CKD onset and progression. Comorbidities directly related to primary end-organ failure e.g., diabetes mellitus, liver failure, heart failure, lung failure in addition to common baseline demographic characteristics (advancing age, female gender, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hepatitis C virus infection, drug-induced nephrotoxicity) as well as transplant specific factors, namely perioperative AKI, as well as calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) use, all contribute to the development of CKD[2-4].

The perioperative setting is a crucial shared risk factor impacting kidney function both short and long term. Hypotension, hypoperfusion, fluid shifts, nephrotoxic agents, sepsis in the perioperative period all spur AKI[3,10]. In a fashion similar to pre-transplant organ dysfunction leading to kidney impairment, marginal allograft function begets renal decompensation and vice versa[3,10]. CNI use and its impact on renal function after NKSOT is a controversial topic. While CNI use is an oft-implicated cited reason for post SOT kidney disease, it does not tell the entire story. Hypotension, hypoperfusion, fluid shifts, nephrotoxic agents, sepsis in the perioperative period all spur AKI[3,10]. In a fashion similar to pre-transplant organ dysfunction leading to kidney impairment, marginal allograft function begets renal decompensation and vice versa[3,10]. CNI use and its impact on renal function after NKSOT is a controversial topic. While CNI use is an oft-implicated cited reason for post SOT kidney disease, it does not tell the entire story[10]. In a recent study, Ojo et al[10] noted that CNI use constitutes the majority of histologic lesions observed on kidney biopsy, ranging from between 46%-60% of cases. Non-CNI related pathology, as illustrated in their description of orthotopic heart and liver transplant recipients in their cited figures, is also an important player and has been observed in 27%-40% of kidney biopsies. Importantly, histologic findings must be interpreted cautiously as these biopsies were subject to having multiple concurrent histologic patterns.

Kubal et al[11] expounded on this, conducting their own histologic study of 62 nonrenal SOT recipients with kidney biopsies, where they showed that only 35.5% (n = 22) of those biopsied had predominant features consistent with chronic CNI toxicity. Hypertensive nephropathy (43.5% (n = 27), not without its own disputes, was the most common diagnosis. Nearly 20% (n = 12) of the cohort had biopsies showing alternative pathologic including acute tubular necrosis (n = 5), mesangiocapillary glomerulonephritis (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 1), post infectious glomerulonephritis (n = 1), and membranous nephropathy (n = 1)[11].

In a recent review, Wiseman[12], as adapted from Schwarz et al[13], describes the clinical characteristics and histology of biopsy proven kidney disease after liver, lung and heart transplantation. Of note, primary glomerulonephritis was 26% in liver transplant recipients and acute tubular injury were the most commonly observed histologic patterns in lung and heart recipients. In addition to shared mechanisms leading to CKD, distinct factors inherent to the various subtypes of organ transplant exist. These have been suitably defined in the literature and will be discussed in the following sections[10]. Though SOT recipients may recover from these early post-transplant kidney perturbations, often AKI, irrespective of renal replacement therapy (RRT) need, in addition to a “pro-nephrotoxic” environment with ongoing insults (post-transplant diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, CNI use, transplant organ...
dysfunction, cardiovascular disease, infection, malignancy) in addition to pre-existing kidney dysfunction contribute to progressive CKD[2,3,14,15].

**KIDNEY DISEASE AFTER PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION**

PTA is a novel transplant option for non-uremic diabetic patients. Interestingly, there is evidence that PTA may be renoprotective via proteinuria reduction and reversal of diabetic kidney lesions[16,17]. Despite this, kidney disease often progresses for PTA recipients. The following studies detail some of the contributing factors leading to kidney disease.

Kim et al[6], in their study examining 1135 adult PTA recipients, showed that kidney function prior to transplantation is a strong predictor of end stage kidney disease (ESKD): PTA recipients with pre-transplant eGFR < 60 and 60-89.9 mL/min/1.73 m² were 7.74 (95%CI: 4.37-13.74) and 3.25 (95%CI: 1.77-5.97) times more likely to develop ESKD than patients with eGFR ≥ 90 mL/min/1.73 m². Small et al[18] also found that a pre-transplant eGFR < 60mL/min/1.73 m² was associated with an end stage renal disease (ESRD) incidence at 1, 3, 5 years of 0%, 28.6% and 61.9% compared to those with an eGFR > 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (P = 0.006). Younger age, female sex, and duration of diabetes predicted the development of ESRD (all P < 0.05). However, there was no difference in patient survival based on pre-transplant eGFR (P = 0.73). Greussner et al[19] examined 513 PTAs transplanted from 1966 to 2006. They observed a 5 year post-transplant ESKD rate of 13% and found that SCR > 1.5 mg/dL at time of transplant and age < 30 predicted kidney failure. They observed that pre-transplant eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² was associated with CKD progression. Fascinatingly, 67% PTA patients showed an increase (> 10%) in their Scr from baseline vs 34% PAK patients (P = 0.035). PTA transplantation was considered mildly protective in terms of progression of CKD, though this finding was not significant [hazard ratio (HR) = 0.29, 95%CI: 0.04-2.37, P = 0.182]. Chatzizacharias et al[21] in their risk analysis of progression to kidney failure after pancreas transplant found that tacrolimus levels > 12 mg/dL at 6 mo post-transplant were associated with declining kidney function (HR = 14.3, 95%CI: 1.3-161, P = 0.03). Surprisingly, pre-transplant proteinuria (urine protein creatinine ratio > 100 mg/mmol) and low eGFR, which they defined as ≤ 45 and ≤ 40 mL/min/1.73 m², were not significantly associated with worsening CKD. Marchetti et al[22] in their inquiry of 28 PTA recipients observed stable native kidney function comparing pre-transplant to post-transplant (0.95 ± 0.2 vs 0.96 ± 0.22, P > 0.05). However, this follow up was only at 3 mo post-transplant. Coppelli et al[17] showed that at 1 year follow up, 32 PTA recipients did not have significantly different creatinine pre-and post-transplant (0.95 ± 0.25 mg/dL vs 1.00 ± 0.19 mg/dL, P > 0.05). They observed improvement in lipid levels, blood pressure as well as albuminuria. Genzini et al[23] in their single center retrospective review followed 45 PTA recipients. After stratifying by 24 h creatinine clearance (CrCl) post PTA [group 1 = CrCl ≤ 70 mL/min; (n = 20); group 2 = CrCl > 70; (n = 25)], they observed significant decreases in native kidney function at 1 year in both groups [group 1 CrCl pre- vs post-transplantation = 57.3 ± 9 vs 34.8 ± 32 mL/min, P = 0.003]; [group 2 CrCl pre- vs post-transplantation = 107.1 ± 25 vs 81.0 ± 23 mL/min, P = 0.008]. In group 1, 10/20 patients (50%) ended up with a CrCl < 30 mL/min, 5/20 (25%) initiated on hemodialysis, and 3/20 (15%) underwent kidney after pancreas transplantation. No patients in group 2 ended up with significantly decreased kidney function. Scalea et al[24] looked at PTA recipients over 14 years retrospectively and saw that 88% of patients had eGFR decrease with a mean decrement of 32.1 mg/min/1.73 m². Mean eGFR pre-transplantation was 88.9 vs 55.6 post-transplantation (P < 0.0001) with mean follow-up of 3.68 years. Donor demographics, immunosuppression, human leukocyte antigen mismatch were not significantly associated with progressive CKD in their analysis.

Studies on kidney function after PTA are limited in terms of sample size and duration of follow up. However, it would appear that the presence of pre-transplant CKD with eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² tends to associate with cumulative CKD. While more robust studies are needed to better characterize kidney function in this population, it would appear that pre-transplant native kidney function is an important predictor of progressive CKD for pancreas transplant recipients and ought to inform organ allocation practices as well as evaluation for kidney after pancreas transplantation. These results are summarized in Table 1.

**KIDNEY DISEASE AFTER LIVER TRANSPLANTATION**

Kidney disease is common for patients with liver failure, due to hemodynamic changes associated with portal hypertension as well as disease processes impacting both organs e.g., viral hepatitis, hepatorenal syndrome, secondary immunoglobulin A nephropathy, oxalosis[2,3]. Although hepatitis C as a primary diagnosis of liver failure is declining, as described by the Organ Procurement Transplant Network/SRTR (OPTN/SRTR) 2019 annual data report, it still constitutes 12.6% of liver registrations[25]. In addition to its associations with glomerulonephritis, hepatitis C has been shown to increase the
Table 1 Kidney disease after pancreas transplant alone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Total number of patients, n</th>
<th>Risk factors associated with kidney disease</th>
<th>Study conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al[16]</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>Pre-transplant eGFR &lt; 60 mL/min/1.73 m(^2), Pre-transplant eGFR 60-89.9 mL/min/1.73 m(^2)</td>
<td>PTA recipients with pre-transplant eGFR &lt; 60 and 60-89.9 mL/min/1.73 m(^2) were 7.74 (95% CI: 4.37-13.74) and 3.25 (95% CI: 1.77-5.97) times more likely to develop ESKD than patients with eGFR ≥ 90 mL/min/1.73 m(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smail et al[18]</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Pre-transplant eGFR &lt; 60 mL/min/1.73 m(^2) associated with an ESRD incidence at 1, 3, 5 yr of 28.6% and 61.9% compared to those with an eGFR &gt; 60 mL/min/1.73 m(^2) 1, 3, 5 yr incidence of 0.82, and 12.5% (P = 0.006); age, female sex, duration of diabetes pre-PTA (all P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>The risk of progression to ESRD after PTA may be increased in patients with pretransplant eGFR below 60 mL/min/1.73 m(^2), younger patients and in women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruessner et al[19]</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>SCr &gt; 1.5 mg/dL at transplant, age &lt; 30</td>
<td>5 yr post-transplant ESKD rate of 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odorico et al[20]</td>
<td>27 PTA, 61 PAK</td>
<td>Pre-transplant eGFR &lt; 60 mL/min/1.73 m(^2)</td>
<td>67% PTA patients showed an increase (&gt; 10%) in their SCr from baseline vs 34% PAK patients (P = 0.035). PTA transplant was considered mildly renoprotective; this finding was not significant (HR = 0.29, 95% CI: 0.04-2.37, P = 0.182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatzizacharias et al[21]</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tacrolimus levels &gt; 12 mg/dL at 6 mo post-transplant</td>
<td>Tacrolimus levels, but not pre-transplant proteinuria or low eGFR &lt; 45 mL/min/1.73 m(^2) were associated with CKD progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchetti et al[22]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Stable native kidney function comparing pre-transplant to post-transplant (0.95 ± 0.2 vs 0.96 ± 0.22, P &gt; 0.05); limited follow up of 3 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coppelli et al[17]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>32 PTA recipients did not have significantly different creatinine pre- and post-transplant (0.95 ± 0.25 mg/dL vs 1.00 ± 0.19 mg/dL, P &gt; 0.05); PTA lead to improvement in lipids, BP, and albuminuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gennizi et al[23]</td>
<td>45, 20-group 1</td>
<td>CrCl &lt; 70 mL/min</td>
<td>Kidney function at 1-yr: Group 1 CrCl pre- vs post-transplantation = 57.3 ± 9 vs 34.8 ± 32 mL/min, P = 0.003; (group 2 CrCl pre- vs post-transplantation = 107.1 ± 25 vs 81.0 ± 23 mL/min, P = 0.008). In group 1, 10/20 patients (50%) ended up with a CrCl &lt; 30 mL/min, 5/20 (25%) initiated on hemodialysis, and 3/20 (15%) underwent kidney after pancreas transplantation. No patients in group 2 ended up with significantly decreased kidney function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalea et al[24]</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td>88% of patients had eGFR decrease with a mean decrement of 32.1 mg/min/1.73 m(^2). Mean eGFR pre-transplantation was 88.9 ± 55.6 mg/dL (P &lt; 0.0001) with mean follow-up of 3.68 yr. Donor demographics, immunosuppression, HLA mismatch were not significantly associated with progressive CKD in their analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTA: Pancreas transplant alone; ESKD: End stage kidney disease; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD: End stage renal disease; SCr: Serum creatinine; PAK: Pancreas after kidney transplant; HR: Hazard ratio; CI: Confidence interval; BP: Blood pressure; CrCl: Creatinine clearance; HLA: Human leukocyte antigen; CKD: Chronic kidney disease.

risk of developing diabetes mellitus[3]. As previously mentioned, CKD is often underreported in this group of NKSOT recipients due to liver failure mediated sarcopenia and malnutrition[26]. Here we will explore recent studies describing kidney function after liver transplantation. Ojo et al[2] utilizing SRTR data, observed that in 36849 liver transplant recipients at 1 year follow up, 8% had advanced CKD (CKD stage IV or V) and at 60 mo, 18.1% do. Key risk factors associated with chronic renal failure (CRF) after liver transplantation were pre-transplant GFR, particularly that of ≤ 29 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\) [relative risk (RR) = 3.78], post-operative renal failure (RR = 2.11), pre-transplant diabetes mellitus (RR = 1.39). Given the dilemmas associated with creatinine/eGFR interpretation in liver disease, several groups have attempted to evaluate kidney function after liver transplantation by serially following mGFR as summarized below. Cohen et al[27] looked at 353 liver transplant recipients with pre- and post-transplant mGFR via iothalamate clearance. Mean age at transplant was 50.3 years, with mean follow up of 6.8 years. 41% of their liver transplant recipients were transplanted due to cholestatic liver disease. Tacrolimus (51.7%) was the most common CNI used. At 3 years and 5 years in both the entire group (n = 353) and intensive follow-up group (n = 191), mean mGFR was ≥ 50 mL/min/body surface area at 3 (56.5 and 56.4) and 5 years (56.6 and 53.9). Although mGFR at listing did not correlate well with 3 year mGFR in the intensive follow up group (correlation coefficient, r = 0.35). 1 year mGFR correlated relatively well with 3 year mGFR (r = 0.72). The authors reported a near doubling of transplant recipients with mGFR < 40 at 3 years posttransplant (39/191, 20.4%) vs pre-transplant (10/191, 10.5%). In the entire cohort of 353 orthotopic liver transplant (OLT) recipients, 15 patients (4.2%) developed...
ESKD. Mean time to ESKD was 7.5 years after transplant (range = 2.5-11.3 years). In Kaplan-Meier analysis, the incidence of ESKD within 10 years was 10% ± 3%, 95% CI: 3%-15%.

In their study of 152 OLT recipients at least 5 years post-liver transplant, Herlenius et al [28] set out to describe the prevalence of CKD by linking early mGFR to late mGFR and to determine risk factors leading to CKD after liver transplant. At 5 years, 8% (5%) of the patients were on dialysis. GFR decreased by 36% at 5 years and 42% at 10 years. The authors observed that baseline mGFR had a weak correlation with 5-year mGFR (Pearson correlation coefficient, $R^2 = 0.27$). Stronger correlation was observed between 3 mo and 5 year mGFR ($R^2 = 0.46$ (2-tailed $P < 0.001$) and 1 year and 5 year mGFR ($R^2 = 0.52$ (2-tailed $P < 0.001$)). They also conducted a multivariate logistic regression analysis on risk factors for developing advanced kidney disease (CKD IV, V) at 5 years post-liver transplant and found that only mGFR 3 mo post-liver transplant below 30 mL/min/1.73 m² was predictive ($P = 0.03$).

The following studies describe kidney disease after liver transplantation using eGFR: Wilkinson and Pham [29] reported the following rates in terms of incidence and mortality rate from AKI and CKD: 17%-95% rate of AKI with a mortality rate of 25%-74% in those on RRT vs 52% not requiring RRT; 10%-20% incidence of CKD, 2%-8% rate of ESRD with a mortality rate between 25%-50%. AKI risk factors included delayed graft function, poor liver allograft function, body mass index, use of cyclosporine-A and pre-transplant AKI. CKD risk factors included the following: AKI, need for hemodialysis, hepatorenal syndrome, CNI use, diabetes mellitus, hepatitis C, and age. Conwa et al [30] inspected 834 liver transplant recipients which they stratified into 3 groups: Controls ($n = 748$), CRF [defined as sustained SCr > 2.5 mg/dL, ($n = 41$)], and ESRD ($n = 45$). They observed an incidence of “severe renal dysfunction”, CRF + ESRD in 18.1% of OLT recipients after 13 years of follow up. In multivariate stepwise logistic regression analysis, increased creatinine by 1 mg/dL above the average of the group conferred the following risk for CRF or ESRD: Creatinine at 4 wk (odds ratio (OR) = 1.98, 95% CI: 1.076-2.372), creatinine at 3 mo (OR = 2.254, 95% CI: 1.262-4.025), and 1 year at transpl (OR = 2.582, 95% CI: 1.633-4.083). Survival was markedly decreased at year 13 in the ESRD group (28.2%) compared to the control group without significant kidney disease (54.6%). The authors also noted decreased survival after ESRD onset for those who did not receive a subsequent kidney transplant: 6 years after the onset of ESRD, patients receiving HD without a transplant had a survival of only 27% compared with 71.4% in the kidney transplant group ($P = 0.04$). O’Riordan et al [26], in their study of 230 OLT recipients, observed that at 5 years post-liver transplant, 71% had CKD with GFR < 60 mL/min. Pre-transplant factors associated with progression to ESRD included age, female gender, liver transplant from cytomegalovirus (CMV) positive donor to CMV positive recipient, and pre-liver transplant diabetes in univariate analysis ($P < 0.05$). Though pre-OLT proteinuria was missing in 53% of patients, more than 40% of those with measurements had > 150 mg/L/d. Mean pre-transplant proteinuria = 0.21 ± 0.29 g/L (range = 0.00-2.09) and was significantly associated with CKD progression ($OR = 5.36, 95\% CI: 1.41-20.45, P = 0.01$). In multivariate analysis for factors impacting CKD progression to stage 5 disease, pre-OLT total urinary protein (OR = 7.48, 95% CI: 1.04-53.97) and female gender (OR = 7.84, 95% CI: 2.04-30.08, $P < 0.005$) were the most predictive. In multivariate Cox regression analysis, GFR < 30 mL/min (HR = 3.05, 95% CI: 1.21-7.70, $P = 0.02$) was meaningfully associated with reduced patient survival. Similarly, survival was significantly decreased for those with GFR < 30 mL/min compared to those with GFR > 30 mL/min in Kaplan-Meier analysis (log rank $P = 0.04$). Wyatt and Arons [31] observed significant mortality in 358 liver transplant recipients who sustained AKI, irrespective of whether they required RRT or not: AKI without RRT [adjusted OR (aOR) = 8.69, 95% CI: 3.25-23.19, $P < 0.0001$]; AKI requiring RRT (aOR = 12.07, 95% CI: 3.90-37.32, $P < 0.0001$). Bahirwani et al [32] retrospectively reviewed 40 OLT recipients with CKD prior to transplant, which they defined as SCr ≥ 2 mg/dL for 90 d. Notable demographics included median eGFR of 24 mL/min (range 16-33), mean age of 56.5 years [interquartile range (IQR) = 52-60.5], 21% ($n = 45$) of the group had liver failure from hepatitis C, median Model of End Stage Liver Disease (MELD) of 26 (range = 22-31) and 19 (48%) of the recipients had pre-transplant diabetes. Interestingly, they observed the following median eGFR at 1, 2, and 3 years post-transplant 35 mL/min (IQR = 27-47), 34 mL/min (IQR = 20-51), and 37 mL/min (IQR = 22-55). 53% of recipients developed CKD stage 4 at 3 years. At a median follow up of 1.21 years post-transplant, 12 (30%) of recipients were on RRT. On univariate analysis, pre-transplant diabetes (HR = 4.23, 95% CI: 1.12-15.93, $P = 0.03$) and African American race (HR = 3.44, 95% CI: 1.04-11.35, $P = 0.04$) significantly predicted post-transplant RRT. This association was not significant on multivariate analysis. Interestingly, hypertension, hepatitis C, pre-transplant RRT, MELD score, pre-transplant eGFR were not predictive of post-transplant RRT on univariate analysis ($P > 0.05$). Cabezuelo et al [33] analyzed 184 OLTs for both early postoperative acute renal failure (> 50% increase in SCr within 1 wk of transplant) and late postoperative acute renal failure (similar increase in creatinine two to four weeks post-transplant). 12% of the cohort required RRT. Predictors of early acute renal failure were pre-transplant acute renal failure (OR = 10.2, $P = 0.025$), serum albumin (OR = 0.3, $P = 0.001$), duration of dopamine treatment (OR = 1.6, $P = 0.001$), and grade II-IV dysfunction of the liver graft (OR = 5.6, $P = 0.002$). Late postoperative renal factors were: Re-operation (OR = 3.1, $P = 0.013$) and bacterial infection (OR = 2.9, $P = 0.017$). Pham et al [34] in their review of AKI in NKSOT refer to a study whereby renal recovery after liver transplantation in recipients who were on dialysis at transplant was related to pre-transplant dialysis vintage: The percentage of renal function recovery for those who were on dialysis for ≤ 30 d 31-60 d, and 61-90 d were 71%, 56%, and 24%. They also note that in an analysis of the Canadian Organ Replacement...
Register database by Al Riyami et al[35], despite a low incidence of ESRD (2.9%) in their cohort, the unadjusted mortality rate for those with AKI requiring dialysis compared to those who did not was 49.2% vs 26.8%, respectively (P < 0.001)[34,35].

A particularly interesting study by Kollmann et al[36] investigated whether donor type [donation after circulatory death (DCD) (n = 57) vs donation after brain death (DBD) (n = 446) or living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) (n = 178)] impacted AKI rates. They observed that perioperative AKI (defined as AKI within the first 7 postoperative days) was observed more often in the DCD group (61%; DBD, 40%; and LDLT, 44%; P = 0.01) and was associated with significantly higher peak aspartate aminotransferase levels (P < 0.001). DCD patients also had a significantly higher peak SCr (P < 0.001) and a trend toward higher rates of AKI stage 3 per Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function and End-stage kidney disease criteria (DCD, 33%; DBD, 21%; LDLT, 21%; P = 0.11). AKI recovery (DCD, 77%; DBD, 72%; LDLT, 78%; P = 0.45) and progression to CKD (DCD, 33%; DBD, 32%; LDLT, 32%; P = 0.99) were similar across groups. Patient survival was significantly lower in OLT recipients who received DCD or DBD organs and required perioperative RRT in multivariate analysis (HR = 7.90; 95%CI: 4.51-13.83; P < 0.001).

While a plethora of studies exist examining kidney function after liver transplantation exist, this appears to be representative of the body of work, including both studies using measured and eGFR to assess kidney function. As is the case of longitudinal studies, impaired kidney function definitions and immunosuppression eras have changed over time, rendering comparison difficult. Clearly AKI and CKD are adverse outcomes that lead to adverse outcomes including ESKD and patient mortality. While some risk factors are unmodifiable (age, sex, ethnicity), potentially modifiable risk factors, such as diabetes, hypalbuminemia, proteinuria, and donor type were observed in these studies. Perhaps these modifiable risk factors can be diagnosed and managed as part of pre-transplant care to optimize before transplantation, especially in those with lower baseline kidney function. Moreover, these studies support the use of mGFR in select candidates and recipients both in the pre- and post-transplant contexts to better identify kidney disease. These studies are abbreviated in Table 2.

KIDNEY DISEASE AFTER HEART TRANSPLANTATION

With kidney and heart function intricately related, disease in one organ precipitates disease in the other; the same comorbidities (hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, etc) lead to kidney and heart disease[2,10,37]. While heart failure can arise from kidney-sparing, acute conditions, de novo heart failure in CKD is a common occurrence, with rates cited between 17%-21%[38]. Estimating pre-heart transplant kidney disease can be challenging in waitlisted heart transplant candidates due to underestimated eGFR stemming from cardiac cachexia/poor nutrition. Moreover, thoracic transplantations (heart and lung) are complex, high-risk surgeries with high rates of AKI due to aortic cross-clamping, cardiopulmonary bypass, aggressive diuresis and fluid shifts[3]. The following studies describe kidney disease after heart transplantation: Ojo et al[2] described a perioperative acute renal failure rate of 20%-30% of heart transplant recipients with a 10.9% CKD IV/V rate at 60 mo post-transplant. In addition to shared mechanisms, they noted systemic atherosclerosis, renal hypertrophy from cardiorenal disease as organ specific risk factors leading to kidney dysfunction[10].

In their retrospective cohort study of 233 orthotopic heart transplant (OHT) recipients, Cantarovich et al[39] observed that early renal dysfunction predicts poor long-term kidney function: A 30% decline in CrCl between 1 mo and 3 mo independently predicted the need for chronic dialysis (P = 0.04) and time to first CrCl < 30 mL/min at > 1 year after transplant (P = 0.01). Rubel et al[40] studied 370 OHT recipients with up to 10 year follow up looking for early GFR decline as well as ESKD. They found mean eGFR fell 24% at year one, 23% of patients developed a 50% reduction in GFR by year 3, and that 20% of the cohort developed ESRD at 10 years post-transplant. Significant predictors of post-transplant ESRD in Cox multivariate analysis included the following: GFR < 50 mL/min (HR = 3.69, P = 0.024); high mean cyclosporine trough in the first 6 mo (HR = 5.10, P = 0.0059); and presence of diabetes (HR = 3.53, P = 0.021). Lindelow et al[37] investigated kidney outcomes in 151 of their OHT recipients with 9 year follow up. The average preoperative GFR (66 ± 17 mL/min per 1.73 m²) declined to 52 ± 19 (P < 0.0001) at 1 year. From 2 years to 9 years after heart transplantation, overall kidney function remained fairly stable (all P > 0.05). There was no significant correlation between the preoperative GFR and postoperative renal function or survival. Recipient age predicted post heart transplant renal function. Boyle et al[14] set out to determine risks and consequences of post-heart transplant AKI in their study of 756 OHT recipients. They observed an AKI rate of 5.8% (44 of 756). Significant AKI risk factors were insulin dependent diabetes (P = 0.019) and prior cardiac surgery (P = 0.014). OHTs with AKI had higher preoperative SCr, lower preoperative GFR, lower preoperative albumin, lower preoperative hematocrit, increased cardiopulmonary bypass time, and increased blood transfusion needs compared to those without AKI (all P < 0.01). They observed a 50% (22/44) mortality rate in OHTs with AKI requiring dialysis compared to those who did not have AKI (1.4%, 10/712).

In their analysis of CKD risk factors after heart transplantation, Hamour et al[8] evaluated 352 OHT recipients. They found that the cumulative probability of eGFR < 45 mL/min/1.73 m² over time was the
Table 2 Kidney disease after liver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Total number of patients, n</th>
<th>Risk factors associated with kidney disease</th>
<th>Study conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ojo et al[2]</td>
<td>36849</td>
<td>Pre-transplant GFR ≤ 29 ml/min/1.73 m² (RR = 3.78), post-operative renal failure (RR = 2.11), pre-transplant dialysis (RR = 1.45), hepatitis C (RR = 1.22), and pre-transplant diabetes mellitus (RR = 1.39)</td>
<td>8% with CKD IV/V at 1 yr; 18.1% at 5 yr. Pre-transplant GFR, particularly that of ≤ 29 ml/min/1.73 m², post-operative renal failure, pre-transplant dialysis, hepatitis C, and pre-transplant diabetes mellitus associated with CKD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen et al [27]</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1 yr mGFR correlated with 3 yr mGFR (r = 0.72)</td>
<td>At 3 and 5 yr in both the entire group (n = 353) and intensive follow-up group (n = 191), mean mGFR was &gt; 50 ml/min/BSA at 3 (56.5 and 56.4) and 5 yr (56.6 and 53.9). Near doubling of transplant recipients with mGFR &lt; 40 at 3 yr post-transplant (39/191, 20.4%) vs pre-transplant (10/191, 10.5%). 15 patients (4.2%) developed ESKD. Mean time to ESKD was 7.5 yr after transplant (range = 2.5-11.3 yr). The incidence of ESKD within 10 yr was 10% ± 3%, 95% CI: 3%-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herlenius et al[28]</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>mGFR 3 mo post-liver transplant below 30 mLN/1.75 m² predicted CKD IV, V (P = 0.03)</td>
<td>At 5 yr, 8% of the patients were on dialysis. GFR decreased by 36% at 5 yr and 42% at 10 yr. mGFR 3 mo post-liver transplant below 30 mLN/1.75 m² predicted CKD IV, V (P = 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkinson and Pham [29]</td>
<td></td>
<td>AKI risk factors: Delayed graft function, poor liver allograft function, BMI, use of cyclosporine-A and pre-transplant AKI; CKD risk factors: Acute kidney injury, need for hemodialysis, hepatorenal syndrome, calcineurin inhibitor use, diabetes mellitus, hepatitis C, and age</td>
<td>17%-95% rate of AKI with a mortality rate of 25%-74% in those on RRT vs 52% not requiring RRT; 10%-20% incidence of CKD, 2%-8% rate of ESRD with a mortality rate between 25%-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenwa et al[30]</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>Cr by 1 mg/dl, above the average of the group conferred the following risk for CFR or ESRD: Cr at 4 wk (OR = 1.598, 95% CI: 1.076-2.372), Cr at 3 mo (OR = 2.254, 95% CI: 1.262-4.025), and 1 yr Cr (OR = 2.582, 95% CI: 1.633-4.083)</td>
<td>“severe renal dysfunction”, CFR + ESRD in 18.1% of (OLTx) recipients after 13 yr of follow up; 6 yr after the onset of ESRD, patients receiving HD without a transplant had a survival of only 27% compared with 71.4% in the kidney transplant group (P = 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Riordan et al[36]</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Univariate: Age, female gender, liver transplant from CMV positive donor to CMV positive recipient, and-pre-liver transplant diabetes, pre-transplant proteinuria. Multivariate: Pre-OLT total urinary protein (OR = 7.48, 95% CI: 1.04-53.97) and female gender (OR = 7.84, 95% CI: 2.04-30.08, P &lt; 0.005) were the most predictive</td>
<td>5 yr post-liver transplant, 71% had CKD; pre-OLT total urinary protein (OR = 7.48, 95% CI: 1.04-53.97) and female gender (OR = 7.84, 95% CI: 2.04-30.08, P &lt; 0.005) were the most predictive of CKD progression. In multivariate Cox regression analysis, GFR &lt; 30 ml/min (HR = 3.05, 95% CI: 1.21-7.70, P = 0.02) was associated with patient survival. Similarly, survival was significantly for those with GFR &lt; 30 ml/min compared to those with GFR &gt; 30 ml/min in Kaplan-Meier analysis (log rank P = 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyatt and Arons[31]</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>Mortality in 358 liver transplant recipients who sustained AKI, irrespective of whether they required RRT or not; AKI without RRT (aOR = 8.69, 95% CI: 3.25-23.19, P &lt; 0.0001); AKI requiring RRT (aOR = 12.07, 95% CI: 3.90-37.32, P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>53% of recipients developed CKD stage 4 at 3 yr. At a median follow up of 1.21 yr post-transplant, 12 (30%) of recipients were on RRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babirwani et al[32]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Univariate: Pre-transplant diabetes (HR = 4.23, 95% CI: 1.12-15.93, P = 0.03) and African American race (HR = 3.44, 95% CI: 1.04-11.35, P = 0.04). Multivariate: No significant predictors of CKD</td>
<td>12% of the cohort required RRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabezuelo et al[33]</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>Early acute renal failure: Pretransplant acute renal failure (OR = 10.2, P = 0.025), serum albumin (OR = 0.3, P = 0.001), duration of dopamine treatment (OR = 1.16, P = 0.001), and grade II-IV dysfunction of the liver graft (OR = 5.6, P = 0.002). Late postoperative risk factors: Reoperation (OR = 3.1, P = 0.013) and bacterial infection (OR = 2.9, P = 0.017)</td>
<td>The percentage of renal function recovery for those who were on dialysis for ≤ 30 d, 31-60 d, and 61-90 d were 71%, 56%, and 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pham et al [34]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The percentage of renal function recovery for those who were on dialysis for ≤ 30 d, 31-60 d, and 61-90 d were 71%, 56%, and 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Riyami et al[35]</td>
<td>4186</td>
<td></td>
<td>Despite a low incidence of ESRD (2.9%) in their cohort, the unadjusted mortality rate for those with AKI requiring dialysis compared to those who did not was not 49.2% vs 26.8%, respectively (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollman et al[36]</td>
<td>681, 57</td>
<td>Perioperative AKI (defined as AKI within the first 7 postoperative days) was observed more often in the DCD group (61%; DBD, 40%; and LDLT, 44%; P = 0.01)</td>
<td>Perioperative AKI associated with DCDLT. No significant differences in stage 3 AKI per RIFLE, AKI recovery, and progression to CKD. Patient survival was significantly lower in OLTx recipients who received DCD or DBD organs and required periperooperative RRT in multivariate analysis (HR = 7.90; 95% CI: 4.51-13.83, P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; RR: Relative risk; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; mGFR: Measured glomerular filtration rate; BSA: Body surface area; ESKD: End stage kidney disease; CI: Confidence interval; AKI: Acute kidney injury; BMI: Body mass index; RRT: Renal replacement therapy; ESRD: End stage renal disease; Cr: Creatinine; CRF: Chronic renal failure; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; OLTx: Orthotopic liver transplant; CMV:
Cytomegalovirus; HR: Hazard ratio; aOR: Adjusted odds ratio; DCD: Donation after circulatory death; DBD: Donation after brain death; LDLT: Living donor liver transplantation; DCDLT: Donation after circulatory death liver transplantation; RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function and End-stage kidney disease.

following: 45% at year 1, 71% at year 5 and 83% at year 10. In their multivariable logistic regression model for decrease in eGFR to < 45 mL/min/1.73 m² at 3 years, they found the following significant risk factors: Post-operative RRT for AKI, \( P < 0.001 \); pre-transplant diabetes (\( P = 0.005 \)); increasing recipient age, \( P < 0.001 \); female recipient (\( P = 0.029 \)) and female donor (\( P = 0.04 \)). Interestingly cyclosporine regimen was not significantly associated with CKD development progression. In their analysis of the Planning and Research Cooperative database, which included 141 OHTs, Wyatt and Arons[31] observed that postoperative AKI, especially that requiring RRT, was associated with increased mortality (aOR = 8.96, 95%CI: 1.75-45.80, \( P = 0.008 \)).

As previously described, progressive CKD is common after heart transplantation. Similar to other NKSOT, perioperative/early AKI incites CKD and increased mortality. Modifiable risk factors exist in addition to those inherent to heart failure and subsequent transplantation. Though studies have mixed results, recipient age (as modified by selection/organ allocation), pre-transplant diabetes, as well as elevated CNI levels are potentially modifiable. Moreover, several of the risk factors described by Boyle et al[13] such as low pre-transplant albumin, lower preoperative hematocrit are perhaps biomarkers of frailty, malnutrition and may suggest a role for “pre-habilitation” to bolster nutrition, frailty, anemia preoperatively in hopes of abating AKI and future adverse renal and patient outcomes in heart transplantation. These studies are abridged in Table 3.

**KIDNEY DISEASE AFTER LUNG TRANSPLANTATION**

Lung transplantation shares many parallels with heart transplantation in terms of kidney disease. For one, end stage lung disease is a debilitating, profound state of illness rendering GFR estimations difficult due to the toll chronic lung disease exerts. As described previously, characteristics inherent to thoracic transplantation predispose lung transplant recipients to AKI[3]. Below are studies chronicling kidney disease after lung transplantation.

In their examination of SRTR, Ojo et al[2] observed a 2.9% incidence of CKD IV/V at 12 mo and 15.8% incidence of GFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² at 5 years post lung transplant. Rocha et al[41] examined 296 lung transplant recipients whereby they observed an overall AKI rate of 56% (\( n = 166 \)). 8% of those with AKI required RRT (\( n = 23 \)). AKI predictors included the following in multivariate analysis: Baseline GFR (OR = 0.98, 95%CI: 0.96-0.99, \( P = 0.012 \)), pulmonary diagnosis other than chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (OR = 6.80, 95%CI: 1.5-30.89, \( P = 0.013 \)), mechanical ventilation > 1 d (OR = 6.16, 95%CI: 1.70-22.24, \( P = 0.006 \)) and parenteral amphotericin B use (OR = 3.04, 95%CI: 1.03-8.98, \( P = 0.045 \)). Patient survival was significantly impacted both by AKI and AKI requiring RRT with one-year patient survival of 92.3%, 81.8% and 21.7% in the no AKI, AKI sans RRT and AKI requiring RRT subgroups, respectively (\( P < 0.0001 \)). This relationship was observed at 5 (61%, 58% and 13%) and 10 years (59%, 55% and 13%) as well. Single lung transplant (HR = 1.78, 95%CI: 1.24-2.55, \( P = 0.0018 \)) and AKI requiring RRT (HR = 6.77, 95%CI: 4.00-11.44, \( P < 0.0001 \)) were independent variables associated with increased mortality in multivariate Cox proportional-hazards regression. In their prospective trial examining mGFRs in lung transplant recipients, Broekroelofs et al[42] identified an association between pulmonary diagnosis and GFR loss. A nearly 50% decrease in mGFR at 36 mo post transplantation (100 mL/min pre-transplant vs 51 mL/min at 36 mo post-transplant) was observed in lung transplant recipients. The highest median loss of GFR occurred in cystic fibrosis (CF) recipients (-10 mL/min/year, range -14 to -6 mL/min/year), compared to those who were transplanted for emphysema (-6 mL/min/year, range -27 to +12 mL/min/year) and pulmonary hypertension (-1 mL/min/year, range -6 to +7 mL/min/year). This is a relatively consistent finding as described in other studies with CF lung transplant recipients having more severe kidney complications than lung transplant recipients with lung failure from pulmonary hypertension[34,43].

Mason et al[44] retrospectively reviewed their 425 lung transplant recipients to describe dialysis after transplantation. In examining need for dialysis, they determined a prevalence 0.6%, 4%, 9%, 13%, 16% and 19%, at 30 d and 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 years post-transplant. Significant risk factors associated with dialysis were the following: Lower creatinine clearance (\( P = 0.03 \)) and greater recipient height (\( P = 0.002 \)). Notably, donor blood type O (\( P = 0.001 \)) and head trauma as donor cause of death (\( P = 0.01 \)) decreased risk for dialysis need. Mortality risk after ESRD was 100%, 17% and 3.1% per year at 3 mo, 1 year and 3 years, respectively. Median survival after starting dialysis was 5 mo. In their single center retrospective study, Canales et al[45] examined 186 lung transplant recipients (plus 33 heart-lung transplant recipients), looking for predictors of time to doubling Scr and ESKD. A major takeaway observed from their trial was the prevalence of CKD, particularly advanced CKD at 1 and 7 years compared to the NHANES III cohort. At 1 and 7 years, the prevalence of CKD IV (81 and 95 times) and
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Total number of patients, n</th>
<th>Risk factors associated with kidney disease</th>
<th>Study conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ojo et al[2]</td>
<td>24024</td>
<td>Systemic atherosclerosis, renal hypoperfusion from cardio renal disease</td>
<td>Perioperative acute renal failure rate of 20%-30% of heart transplant recipients with a 10.9% CKD IV/V rate at 60 mo post-transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantarovich et al[39]</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>30% in CrCl between 1 mo and 3 mo independently predicted the need for chronic dialysis (P = 0.04) and time to first CrCl &lt; 30 mL/min at &gt; 1 yr after transplant (P = 0.01)</td>
<td>Early renal dysfunction predicts poor long term kidney outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubel et al[40]</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>Multivariate analysis: GFR &lt; 50 mL/min (HR = 3.69, P = 0.024); high mean cyclosporine trough in the first 6 mo (HR = 5.10, P = 0.0059); and presence of diabetes (HR = 3.53, P = 0.021)</td>
<td>Mean eGFR fell 24% at year one, 23% of patients developed a 50% reduction in GFR by year 3, and that 20% of the cohort developed ESRD at 10 yr post-transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindelow et al [37]</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>The average preoperative GFR of 66 ± 17 mL/min per 1.73 m² declined to 52 ± 19 (P &lt; 0.0001) at 1 yr. From 2 yr to 9 yr after heart transplantation, overall kidney function remained fairly stable (all P &gt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyle et al[14]</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>Insulin dependent diabetes (P = 0.019) and prior cardiac surgery (P = 0.014)</td>
<td>AKI rate of 5.8% (44 of 756); they observed a 50% (22/44) mortality rate in OHTs with AKI requiring dialysis compared to those who did not have AKI (1.4%, 10/712)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamour et al [9]</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>Post-operative RRT for AKI, P &lt; 0.001; pretransplant diabetes (P = 0.005); increasing recipient age, (P &lt; 0.001); female recipient, (P = 0.029) and female donor (P = 0.04) associated for progression to eGFR &lt; 45. CSA not associated</td>
<td>Cumulative probability of eGFR &lt; 45 mL/min/1.73 m² over time was the following: 45% at year 1, 71% at year 5 and 83% at year 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyatt and Arons[31]</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postoperative AKI, especially that requiring RRT, was associated with increased mortality (aOR = 8.96, 95%CI: 1.75-45.80, P = 0.008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CKD: Chronic kidney disease; CrCl: Creatinine clearance; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; HR: Hazard ratio; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD: End stage renal disease; AKI: Acute kidney injury; OHT: Orthotopic heart transplant; RRT: Renal replacement therapy; CSA: Cyclosporine; CI: Confidence interval; aOR: Adjusted odds ratio.

V (10 and 20 times) were substantially higher in the lung, heart-lung transplant recipients than the general population as described by NHANES III. In their multivariate step model, older age, lower 1 mo GFR and CSA use in the first 6 mo were associated with faster doubling of Scr (all P < 0.05). AKI episodes (RR = 1.6, 95%CI: 1.2-2.0, P < 0.001), and older age at transplant (RR = 1.02, 95%CI: 1.008-1.04, P = 0.004) were significant predictors of death. Ishani et al[9] in their study of lung, heart-lung transplant recipients found that diastolic blood pressure greater than 90 mmHg (RR = 1.30, 95%CI: 1.05-1.60, P = 0.02), 1 mo post-transplant creatinine (RR = 1.28, 95%CI: 1.02-1.70, P = 0.03) were associated with increased risk to time to doubling baseline Scr. Cause of lung failure, age at transplant, nor rejection were significantly associated. Tacrolimus use in the first 6 mo after transplant was associated with a decreased in the risk for doubling time of Scr (RR = 0.38, 95%CI: 0.19-0.79, P = 0.009). Paradela de la Morena et al[46] retrospectively evaluated 161 lung transplant recipients at their center. They found that 68.6% of the cohort developed CKD. On multivariate analysis, older age (OR = 2.0, P < 0.001) and CMV infection (OR = 2.2; P = 0.045) were associated with CKD development. CKD at 1 year was associated with increased mortality compared to those without CKD (P = 0.001).

Kidney disease, both in terms of AKI and CKD, is common in lung transplant recipients. There appear to be certain risk factors associated with CKD development, namely lower pre- and early post-transplant creatinine, AKI, end stage lung disease from CF, and older recipient age. There appears to be a subset of lung transplant recipients at higher risk for progressive CKD. Early transplant nephrology referral may be of benefit for these patients. Despite CKD commonly manifesting post-lung transplant, modifiable/preventable risk factors including diastolic blood pressure and CMV infection are potential targets in terms of blood pressure optimization and prophylaxis strategies to mitigate CKD development. In summary, early multidisciplinary care and co-management from transplant pulmonology and nephrology is vital for appropriate patient selection and continued management of kidney disease in lung transplant recipients. These studies are summarized in Table 4.

KIDNEY DISEASE AFTER INTESTINAL TRANSPLANTATION

Kidney disease after IT is understudied due to the rarity of IT. As described in OPTN/SRTR annual report, 104 ITs were performed in 2018[47]. We will highlight pertinent studies in the field of intestinal
transplantation discussing kidney disease. Huard et al[48] in their evaluation of SRTR data of 843 IT recipients, assessed incidence, risk factors, and impact on survival of severe CKD, which they defined as GFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² in IT recipients. They observed a cumulative incidence of severe CKD of 3.2%, 25.1%, and 54.1% at 1, 5, and 10 years after IT, respectively. Female sex (HR = 1.34), older age (HR = 1.38/10 year increment), catheter-related sepsis (HR = 1.58), steroid maintenance immunosuppression (HR = 1.50), graft failure (HR = 1.76), acute cellular rejection (HR = 1.64), prolonged requirement for IV fluids (HR = 2.12) or total parenteral nutrition (HR = 1.94), and diabetes (HR = 1.54) were associated with severe CKD. Individuals with higher GFR at the time of IT (HR = 0.92 for each 10 mL/min/1.73 m² increment), and those receiving induction therapies (HR = 0.47) or tacrolimus (HR = 0.52) showed lower hazards of severe CKD. In adjusted analysis, severe CKD was associated with a significantly higher hazard of death (HR = 6.20). Herlenius et al[28] studied 10 patients after IT via serial measurements of GFR. They performed measurements at baseline, 3 mo post transplantation, and yearly thereafter. Median follow-up time for the cohort was 1.5 years (0.5-7.8 years). Tacrolimus was discontinued in four patients because of impaired renal function. These four patients were switched to sirolimus at 11, 18, 24, and 40 mo post transplantation. Median baseline GFR was 67 (22-114) mL/min/1.73 m² (22-114). In the adult patients, GFR 3 mo post transplantation had decreased to 50% of the baseline. At 1 year, median GFR in the adult patients was reduced by 72% (n = 5). Two patients developed renal failure within the first year and required hemodialysis. Notably, eGFR via MDRD formula consistently overestimated GFR by approximately 30% compared with the mGFR. Ueno et al[49] examined 24 adult IT recipients with at least 2 years survival in the tacrolimus-based era. They measured kidney function via 6 mo averages of Scr along with calculating creatinine clearance per the Cockcroft-Gault formula. Post-transplant mean CrCl was significantly lower at 2 years compared to baseline (49.6 mL/min/1.73 m² vs 114 mL/min/1.73 m², P < 0.0001). The authors also evaluated the role of tacrolimus by cumulative level, which they defined as the sum of weekly average tacrolimus levels (ng/day/mL). They found that

### Table 4 Kidney disease after lung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Total number of patients, n</th>
<th>Risk factors associated with kidney disease</th>
<th>Study conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ojo et al[2]</td>
<td>7644</td>
<td>AKI: Baseline GFR (OR = 0.98, 95%CI: 0.96-0.99, P = 0.012), pulmonary diagnosis other than COPD (OR = 6.80, 95%CI: 1.5-30.89, P = 0.013), mechanical ventilation &gt; 1 d (OR = 6.16, 95%CI: 1.70-22.24, P = 0.006) and parenteral amphotericin B use (OR = 3.04, 95%CI: 1.03-8.98, P = 0.045)</td>
<td>2.9% incidence of CKD IV/V at 12 mo and 15.8% incidence of GFR &lt; 30 mL/min/1.73 m² at 5 yr post lung transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocha et al[41]</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>AKI rate of 56% (n = 166). Patient survival by AKI and AKI requiring RRT with one-year survival no AKI = 92.3%, AKI w/o RRT = 81.8% and AKI w/RRT 21.7% (P &lt; 0.0001). At 5 (61, 58% and 13%) and 10 yr (59%, 55% and 13%). Single lung transplant (HR = 1.78, 95%CI: 1.24-2.55, P = 0.0018) and AKI requiring RRT (HR = 6.77, 95%CI: 4.00-11.44, P &lt; 0.0001) associated with mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broekroeofs et al[42]</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Highest median GFR in the CF recipients (&lt;10 mL/min/year, range -14 to -6 mL/min/year), compared to those w/emphysema (&gt;6 mL/min/year, range -27 to +12 mL/min/year) and pHTN (&lt;1 mL/min/year, range -6 to +7 mL/min/year)</td>
<td>Nearly 50% decrease in mGFR at 36 mo post transplantation (100 mL/min pre-transplant vs 51 mL/min at 36 mo post-transplant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason et al[44]</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>Lower creatinine clearance (P = 0.03) and greater recipient height (P = 0.002)</td>
<td>HD prevalence = 0.6%, 4%, 9%, 13%, 16% and 19%, at 30 d and 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 yr post-transplant. Mortality risk after ESRD was 100%, 17% and 3.1% per year at 3 mo, 1 yr and 3 yr, respectively. In other words, median survival after starting dialysis was 5 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canales et al[45]</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>Older age, lower 1 mo GFR and CSA use in the first 6 mo were associated with faster doubling of serum creatinine (all P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>At 1 and 7 yr, the prevalence of CKD IV (81 and 95 times) and V (10 and 20 times) were substantially higher in the lung, heart-lung transplant recipients than the general population as described by NHANES III; AKI episodes (RR = 1.6, 95%CI: 1.2-2.0, P &lt; 0.001), and older age at transplant (RR = 1.02, 95%CI: 1.008-1.04, P = 0.004) were significant predictors of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishani et al[46]</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>DBP than 90 mmHg (RR = 1.30, 95%CI: 1.05-1.60, P = 0.02), 1 mo post-transplant Cr (RR = 1.28, 95%CI: 1.02-1.70, P = 0.03) were associated with increased risk to doubling baseline SCr</td>
<td>Cause of lung failure, age at transplant, nor rejection were significantly associated with doubling of Cr. Tacrolimus use in the first 6 mo after transplant was associated with a decreased in the risk for doubling time of SCr (RR = 0.38, 95%CI: 0.19-0.79, P = 0.0009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradela de la Morena et al[47]</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>Older age (OR = 2.0, P &lt; 0.001) and CMV infection (OR = 2.2; P = 0.045)</td>
<td>68.6% of the cohort developed CKD; CKD at 1 yr was associated with increased mortality compared to those without CKD (P = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CKD: Chronic kidney disease; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; Cr: Creatinine; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; AKI: Acute kidney injury; RRT: Renal replacement therapy; HR: Hazard ratio; CF: Cystic fibrosis; pHTN: Portal hypertension; mGFR: Measured glomerular filtration rate; ESRD: End stage renal disease; CSA: Cyclosporine; AKI: Acute kidney injury; RR: Relative risk; CI: Confidence interval; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; Scr: Serum creatinine; CMV: Cytomegalovirus.
recipients with cumulative tacrolimus levels > 4500 ng ng-day/mL had significantly decreased CrCl at 2 years compared to those with cumulative tacrolimus levels less than 4500 ng ng-day/mL \((P = 0.006)\).

Kidney disease after IT is understudied. Even so, there are key takeaways that can be derived from the data to date. In this moribund population, perhaps mGFR and/or cystatin C could be used adjunctively with typical estimating equations to better characterize kidney function and guide nephrology referral/management. One can surmise that a subset of patients i.e., older, diabetic IT recipients, with persistent IV fluid needs could benefit from early transplant nephrology care. These results are described in Table 5.

**DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF CKD POST NON-KIDNEY SOT**

Uncertainty regarding kidney function is an overarching theme surrounding kidney disease in NKSOT. While mGFR would be the ideal, most accurate/precise test of function, it is impractical, expensive, and not widely available. As previously described, CKD-EPI and MDRD in some contexts appear to be acceptable eGFR equations that can aid in screening for and diagnosis of CKD. Bloom et al\[^3\]^ endorse using MDRD, acknowledging that it is conservative i.e., would be sensitive in that it has better capture of SOT recipients with permissible false-positivity. As with any test, patient selection is of utmost importance, in both a macro and micro sense i.e., a test primarily based on clearance of a muscle waste product will be flawed in those with significant malnutrition, sarcopenia.

Nephrologists are aptly suited to manage kidney disease in NKSOT as the modifiable risk factors leading to progressive CKD are shared across SOT recipients and the general public alike. As is well described in Bloom et al’s seminal work, CKD management after NKSOT is founded on the same tenets of CKD management generally\[^3\]. Fundamentally, CKD after NKSOT is CKD management + CNI considerations. In other words, the same diseases processes that effect native kidney function remain relevant after SOT. The literature/guidelines describing CKD management are well described and summarizing them is beyond the scope of this review\[^1,12,50\]. The impact of therapies and management strategies for risk factors leading to CKD in NKSOT is understudied. In the following sections, we will highlight salient points on CKD management.

**Proteinuria**

Renin angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) blockade for proteinuria management in transplant recipients is extrapolated from the non-transplant CKD literature with limited direct evidence. Most research in this domain has occurred in kidney transplant. Knoll et al\[^51\] attempted to answer this question in the context of kidney transplant with a randomized controlled trial. However, as aptly put by Toto\[^52\] in his comment from Nature Reviews Nephrology, this study did not “settle the controversy surrounding the use of RAAS blockade in the renal transplant population”. Though proteinuria management in non-kidney SOT is understudied, RAAS blockade appears to be a reasonable approach not only for treating proteinuria, but also for those with significant risk factors for heart disease given their cardioprotective benefit\[^53,54\].

**CNI use/minimization strategies**

With CNIs as possible potentiators of CKD, CNI-sparing/minimizing maintenance immunosuppression regimens have been proposed as a renoprotective management strategy. There is a large body of evidence examining CNI minimization in NKSOT, which we will discuss below. With the advent of tacrolimus and results of ELITE-SYMPHONY, tacrolimus has ousted cyclosporine CNI-wise, as tacrolimus appears to have a less nephrotoxic profile\[^35\]. Mechanistically, this may be due to less renal vasoconstriction as has been demonstrated in both in vivo and in vitro studies\[^3,56,57\]. Pancreas transplant wise, limited evidence exists supporting CNI minimization or sparing. While Kandula et al\[^58\] compared tacrolimus-sirolimus based regimen to tacrolimus-mycophenolate immunosuppression in PTA recipients, mean tacrolimus levels were similar across groups at all points.

In the context of liver transplantation, there is an expansive body of literature supporting the use of CNI-sparing or minimization therapy with sirolimus and mycophenolate\[^59-64\]. For heart transplant recipients, CNI minimization/sparing has been shown as a viable immunosuppression approach. Cornu et al\[^65\] in their systematic review and meta-analysis of eight studies on CNI minimization showed that creatinine clearance was preserved in individuals with impaired renal function, which they defined as eGFR < 60 mL/min, at 6 mo \([+12.23 (+5.26, +18.82) \text{ mL-min}^{-1}, P = 0.0003]\). Although longer term benefit was not shown in this study, CNI minimization strategies were not associated with increased rejection, mortality or adverse events compared to the standard CNI regimen approach (all \(P > 0.05\)). As is aptly described by Zuckermann et al\[^66\], the use of induction in OHT recipients has “provided immunosuppressive cover” to allow for the following approaches: CNI minimization and delayed CNI introduction whilst kidney function is recovering post- heart transplantation\[^66-70\].

In lung transplant recipients, evidence exists supporting the use of CNI sparing/minimization regimens. Högerle et al\[^71\] in their recent review describe a following approaches including basiliximab induction, which showed favorable short term renal outcomes. They also noted CNI minimization
Cumulative tacrolimus levels > 4500 ng ng∙day/mL associated with volume 12.

In the adult patients, GFR 3 mo post transplantation had decreased to 50% of the baseline. At 1 yr, median GFR in the adult patients was reduced by 72% \((n = 5)\). Two patients developed renal failure within the first year and required hemodialysis.

Table 5 Kidney disease after intestinal transplant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Total number of patients, n</th>
<th>Risk factors associated with kidney disease</th>
<th>Study conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haard et al [48]</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>Female sex (HR = 1.34), older age (HR = 1.38/10 yr increment), catheter-related sepsis (HR = 1.50), graft failure (HR = 1.76), ACR (HR = 1.64), prolonged requirement for IV fluids (HR = 2.12) or TPN (HR = 1.94), and diabetes (HR = 1.54)</td>
<td>Cumulative incidence of severe CKD of 3.2%, 25.1%, and 54.1% 1, 5 and 10 yr after intestinal transplant; in adjusted analysis, severe CKD was associated with a significantly higher hazard of death (HR = 6.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herlenius et al [76]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>In the adult patients, GFR 3 mo post transplantation had decreased to 50% of the baseline. At 1 yr, median GFR in the adult patients was reduced by 72% ((n = 5)). Two patients developed renal failure within the first year and required hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ueno et al [59]</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Cumulative tacrolimus levels &gt; 4500 ng day/mL associated with significantly decreased creatinine clearance at 2 yr (P = 0.006)</td>
<td>Post-transplant mean creatinine clearance was significantly lower at 2 yr compared to baseline ((49.6 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2 \text{ vs } 114 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2, P &lt; 0.0001))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: Hazard ratio; ACR: Acute cellular rejection; TPN: Total parenteral nutrition; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate.

Appropriate, sufficient CNI minimizing immunosuppression tailored to preserve renal function while also staving off rejection is achievable via multidisciplinary collaboration and dialogue between transplant experts across nonrenal organ systems and transplant nephrology.
Swanson KJ. Kidney disease in NKSOT

Hypoalbuminemia
Low serum albumin appears to impact kidney function in NKSOT recipients. As described in their review, Kim et al.[86] note that hypoalbuminemia may indicate poor nutritional state, impact pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, and/or represent an increased inflammatory state. As a relatively inexpensive, trackable biomarker, perhaps albumin and a goal albumin e.g., greater than 3.0 g/dL could be a pre-transplant goal for the multi-disciplinary team including nutritionist/dieticians to help patients with pre-transplant CKD with high risk for progression.

Nephrology referral/management considerations
The integration of nephrology care into dedicated NKSOT care throughout various stages of pre-, peri-, and post-transplantation is critical for diagnosis and management of kidney disease. Wiseman[12], in his recent review, provides substantive recommendations on timing/appropriateness of nephrology referral, based on KDIGO guidelines, and management considerations across transplant timepoints in tabular form. As has been described throughout this study, SOT recipients are a unique subset of patients with CKD that often progresses to ESKD necessitating RRT. This has led to the growing demand for kidney transplantation (KT) after solid organ transplantation which will be discussed subsequently.

KIDNEY AFTER SOLID ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION
Kidney after NKSOT is an emerging RRT for the SOT community[87]. Though this is a relatively comorbid population, they have: (1) Overcome perioperative risks associated organ transplantation; and (2) Tolerated prior induction/maintenance immunosuppression. For patients deemed candidates, KT is a viable therapy for advanced kidney disease after solid organ transplantation. Cassuto et al.[88], in their study examining the survival benefit of KT for kidney after heart (KAH), kidney after lung (KALu), and kidney after liver (KALi) in addition to repeat KT recipients. While they observed a survival benefit for kidney after SOT compared to the waitlist population as whole for prior heart, liver recipients, this was not the case for KALu recipients who had a 61% greater risk of death vs those on the waitlist for KT generally (HR = 1.61, 95%CI: 1.09-2.38, P = 0.017)[86]. El-Husseini et al[89] examined outcomes in their 15 year analysis of national data from the United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS) database whereby they showed inferior median graft survival (7.8 years, 95%CI: 7.3-8.2) and patient survival (8.3 years, 95%CI: 7.9-8.3) compared to primary kidney (graft survival 10.7, 95%CI: 10.6-10.8; patient survival 12.2, 95%CI: 12.1-12.3) and repeat kidney (graft survival 10.5, 95%CI: 10.2-10.7; patient survival 13.2 years, 95%CI: 12.9-13.5) (P < 0.001). In subgroup analysis, the graft, and graft and patient median survival time and 1, 5, and 10 year survival rates for KALi, KAH, and KALu were comparable. After adjustment, KALu transplant was associated with increased risk of graft loss compared to primary KT (HR = 2.123, 95%CI: 1.516-2.974, P < 0.001) and increased risk of death (HR = 3.309, 95%CI: 2.395-4.572, P < 0.001) compared to the other kidney after SOT subgroups[87]. Lonze et al[90] looked at outcomes in KAH or KALu transplant recipients reported to UNOS and found that 5-year graft survival however was lower than for primary KT recipients (61% KAH vs 73.8% primary kidney, P < 0.001; 62.6% KALu vs 82.9% primary kidney, P < 0.001). Notably, death-censored graft survival (DCGS) was comparable to primary kidney transplant (84.9% KAH vs 88.2% primary kidney, P = 0.1; 87.6% KALu vs 91.8% primary kidney, P = 0.6). Moreover, renal transplantation incurred a survival benefit compared to dialysis after heart transplantation (HR = 0.57, 95%CI: 0.45-0.74, P < 0.001) and lung transplantation (HR = 0.46, 95%CI: 0.30-0.71, P < 0.001). Haugen et al[91] sought to answer if the survival benefit of kidney after non-kidney SOT extended to older recipients (≥ 65 years of age). In their analysis of the SRTR, they found that while DCGS was comparable to older kidney transplant recipients [adjusted HR (aHR) = 1.13, 95%CI: 0.93-1.37, P = 0.2], mortality was increased (aHR = 1.40, 95%CI: 1.28-1.54, P < 0.001). KT relative to no transplant lead to a survival benefit for NKSOT recipients (aHR = 0.47, 95%CI: 0.42-0.54, P < 0.001).

DISCUSSION
In this review, we abridged current literature describing kidney disease in NKSOT describing kidney disease in pancreas, heart, lung, liver, and IT recipients. We also discussed diagnosis, management and described the emerging RRT of kidney after NKSOT. Kidney disease after NKSOT is not one size fits all; although shared risk factors inherent to solid organ failure and the perioperative period exist, these are heterogeneous populations that experience AKI and CKD at varying degrees and rates. Chronic renal dysfunction after SOT is a nascent area of study due to prolonged survival after NKSOT being a relatively recent development in the field. More questions than answers persist on crucial management aspects: At what level of kidney impairment should we consider combined kidney-nonrenal SOT? What is the role of mGFR? Kidney biopsy? Cystatin C? Should the degree of kidney impairment influence maintenance immunosuppression i.e., CNI use? What is the best way to manage proteinuria in this
population? Are their roles for novel biomarkers for predicting AKI recovery or CKD progression? Ought sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors be used in this population?

The allocation dilemma weighs heavier in the broader context of the entire waitlist. Decisions regarding kidney after solid organ transplantation or even combined kidney-SOT with the knowledge that maximization of a limited resource, based on years of survival gained from KT, is not in this population presents serious ethical challenges in terms of justice, defying a utilitarian approach. Clinicians and researchers alike spanning multiple disciplines including physician-scientists, primary care providers, general nephrologists, transplant surgeons, non-kidney transplant specialists, as well as transplant nephrologists are tasked and capable of ushering in a new era of kidney disease prevention, diagnosis, management, preservation of kidney function, and when possible subsequent KT. With these efforts promoting robust, well-designed, multi-center prospective randomized controlled trials, hope exists towards deciphering the ever-present ambiguities surrounding kidney disease in non-renal organ transplantation and improving future patient, kidney, and allograft outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Kidney disease after SOT is an incipient condition demanding further inquiry. While some truths have been revealed about this chronic disease, as we have aimed to describe in this review, continued multidisciplinary efforts are needed more than ever to combat this threat to patient and allograft survival.
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