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Update on diagnosis and treatment of early signet-ring cell gastric carcinoma: A literature review

Yun-He Tang, Lin-Lin Ren, Tao Mao

Abstract

Gastric signet-ring cell gastric carcinoma (GSRC) is an unfavorable subtype of gastric cancer (GC) that presents with greater invasiveness and poorer prognosis in advanced stage than other types of GC. However, GSRC in early stage is often considered an indicator of less lymph node metastasis and more satisfying clinical outcome compared to poorly differentiated GC. Therefore, the detection and diagnosis of GSRC at early stage undoubtedly play a crucial role in the management of GSRC patients. In recent years, technological advancement in endoscopy including narrow-band imaging and magnifying endoscopy has significantly improved the accuracy and sensitivity of the diagnosis under endoscopy for GSRC patients. Researches have confirmed that early stage GSRC that meets the expanded criteria of endoscopic resection showed comparable outcomes to surgery after receiving endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), indicating that ESD could be considered standard treatment for GSRC after thorough selection and evaluation. This article summarizes the current knowledge and updates pertaining to the endoscopic diagnosis and treatment of early stage signet-ring cell gastric carcinoma.
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Core Tip: Gastric signet-ring cell gastric carcinoma (GSRC) represents a special subtype of gastric cancers with unique clinical and pathological characteristics. With the advancement of endoscopic technology, the diagnosis and curability of early GSRC have been substantially improved. This overview gives the latest update on the endoscopic diagnosis and treatment of early GSRC.
INTRODUCTION

Gastric signet ring cell carcinoma (GSRC) is a unique histological type of gastric cancer (GC). GSRC is mainly composed of more than 50% of signet ring cells (SRC) based on the World Health Organization (WHO) classification. The SRC is characterized by crescent-shaped nuclear distributed in the side of the cell and substantial cytoplasm filled with large mucin vacuole[1,2]. GSRC is associated with aggressive invasiveness and abdominal implantation metastasis due to E-cadherin down-regulation[3]. Most GSRC patients were diagnosed at an advanced stage in the past years due to the underdevelopment of screening technology, leading to poorer prognosis and decreased quality of life compared with patients with other types of GC. However, studies have shown that the clinical course of GSRC is significantly different between the early stage and advanced stage, indicating that treatment at an early stage can improve the prognosis of GSRC patients. Therefore, different treatment methods should be applied for early GSRC and advanced GSRC[4]. Conventional endoscopy may miss early GSRC due to its morphological traits. However, the diagnosis rate of GSRC has significantly increased due to the advancement of endoscopic imaging technology, including narrow-band imaging (NBI), magnifying endoscopy (ME), and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS). The margin and size of the tumor can be determined using NBI, while the invasion depth and lymph node metastasis (LNM) can be detected using EUS[5].

Endoscopic resection (ER) is the current standard treatment for early GC that meets the indication for ER. ER includes endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD)[6,7]. Nevertheless, ESD is the current standard treatment for early GC since it allows complete dissection of intramucosal lesions with better flexibility and effectiveness than EMR. Although some early GSRC patients undergoing ER experience local recurrence and LNM, they have better overall survival (OS) than patients with poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (PDC)[8,9]. This article reviews the progress related to the endoscopic diagnosis and treatment of early GSRC in recent years and offers a different perspective on managing early GSRC patients.

CLINICOPATHOLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF EARLY GSRC

GSRC is more common in women and young patients than non-SRCC (NSRC). Early-stage GSRC lacks typical clinical manifestations. Most patients with early GSRC complain of chronic gastritis-like symptoms, such as abdominal pain and abdominal distension, which can be misdianosed as gastritis and peptic ulcer. Although both SRC and PDC are grouped into the “undifferentiated type” (UD) based on Nakamura classification, the two subtypes may have different histological and clinical characteristics [6]. Unlike PDC which tends to infiltrate the submucosa, early GSRC is common in the mucus layer of the stomach, showing a comparably lower risk of LNM[4]. The intramucosal GSRC yields a more agreeable clinical course than PDC, possibly due to its subepithelial spreading pattern[10]. SRC spreads horizontally in the lamina propria without invading the gastric epithelium. As a result, it is difficult to accurately evaluate the size and margin of SRC since endoscopy may not detect the part of SRC hiding beneath the normal gastric epithelium.

Most intramucosal GSRCs have a double-layer structure (DLS)[11]. The upper layer of DLS is mainly composed of abundant mucins in the cytoplasm and an eccentric nucleus, while the lower layer mainly contains a few intracytoplasmic mucins and acidophilic cytoplasm. Proliferative cells disseminate between these two layers. DLS is defined if the lesion satisfies the following criteria in immunohistochemical staining: (1) MUC5AC is positive only in the superficial layer; (2) Ki-67 is positive only in the middle proliferative area; and (3) MUC6 is positive in the deep layer. The existence of DLS suggests that SRCs are in a low proliferative area; and the destruction or the absence of DLS indicates an activated phase of proliferation and invasion, resulting in a higher risk of LNM and submucosal infiltration.

ENDOSCOPIC DIAGNOSIS OF EARLY GSRC

White light imaging

Early GSRC has similar endoscopic features to other types of undifferentiated carcinoma. Early GSRC is characterized by flat or depressed lesions with discoloration under traditional white light imaging.
Early GSRC under magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow-band light imaging

Magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow-band light imaging (ME-NBI) is crucial for diagnosing GC. Endoscopists can capture the microsurface structure (MS) and microvascular architecture (MV) of early GC through NBI without chemical staining[14]. Yao et al[14] invented the “VS classification” based on the MS and MV pattern under ME-NBI and described the surface structure of early gastric cancer (EGC), and set the criteria for EGC that distinguishes it from noncancerous lesions. They found that most carcinomas under ME-NBI have either an irregular microvascular pattern or an irregular microsurface pattern with a demarcation line. Ok et al[15] showed that most early GSRC do not have MS, and have a corkscrew MV pattern similar to that of other undifferentiated carcinomas (Figure 1B). Phalanusitthepha et al[12] investigated the endoscopic features of SRC using ME-NBI and found that early GSRC has a specific “stretching sign” under NBI. The stretching sign indicates the stretching and dilatation of the irregular gastric glands and microvasculature that cannot be identified in non-SRC lesions (Figure 1C). However, further investigations with a larger sample are required to confirm the accuracy of this result. Meanwhile, ME-NBI can be used in the diagnosis and prediction of GSRC before the histological examination.

Early GSRC under NM-NBI

Although ME-NBI shows a clear demarcation line in most EGC patients that separates the lesion from the surrounding mucosa, studies have confirmed that ME-NBI cannot accurately identify the lateral extent of undifferentiated GC as in the case of differentiated GC[14,16,17]. Watari et al[18] found that non-magnifying NBI (NM-NBI) can also detect early GSRC. GSRCs, including early GSRC have well-defined whitish lesion differentiating them from the surrounding brown mucosa (Figure 1D). Therefore, the NBI combined with magnifying endoscopy may improve the diagnosis of early GSRC and the accurate delineation of such lesions.

EUS FOR EARLY GSRC

EUS is widely used to predict the depth of tumor invasion and LNM in GC patients. However, its efficacy in predicting the invasion depth of EGC is controversial (accuracy 76%-97%)[19,20]. Kuroki et al [19] reported that EUS has better sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in terms of diagnosis of infiltration depth for mucosal tumors than conventional endoscopy. However, they also showed that the accuracy of EUS in diagnosing invasion depth is less comparable for lesions larger than 20 mm, or those with ulcerations[21]. Pei et al[20] indicated that the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of preoperative EUS in lymph node staging are about 65%-95%, 0.82 and 0.68, respectively. Therefore, further investigations should be conducted to assess the value of EUS in diagnosing invasion depth and LNM in GSRC patients.

ENDOSCOPIC SUBMUCOSAL DISSECTION FOR EARLY GSRC

Early GSRC patients are eligible for ESD

ESD has become the optimal treatment for early GC due to the rapid development of endoscopic diagnosis and treatment. Besides, ESD is less invasive, less costly, and can maintain gastric function in patients[22]. Patients with undifferentiated cancer less than 2 cm, without ulcer, with a negative margin, and negative lymphovascular invasion (LVI) are included as the expanded indication of ESD based on the guidelines for GC treatment of Japanese Gastric Cancer Association (JGCA)[2]. Therefore, the clinicopathological features of the patients should be carefully evaluated before using ESD for the treatment of early GSRC. ESD could be a safe and effective treatment for patients with pure SRC who meet the absolute indications. Besides patients meeting the absolute indications, the LNM risk of intramucosal GSRC lesions between 20 mm and 40 mm is also relatively low[11,23]. The presence of DLS guarantees low LNM risk and prevents submucosal invasion. DLS can only serve as a predictive factor in curability evaluation after ESD since the determination of DLS presence depends on postoperative pathological specimens of ESD. Therefore, future studies should assess whether the presence of DLS can be identified based on preoperative pathology to extend the indication for early GSRC to lesions of 20-40 mm with positive DLS, without ulceration and without lymphovascular invasion. However, surgical gastrectomy and lymphectomy should be performed for early mixed GSRC with poorly differentiated components because of the higher LNM risk. Furthermore, a more strict surveillance strategy should be applied in such patients if they have been treated with ESD to prevent
Endoscopic images of gastric signet ring cell carcinoma. A: Endoscopy with white light imaging revealed a 0-IIc lesion with discoloration at the lesser curvature of the gastric body; B: Magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow-band light imaging revealed the irregular capillaries in a corkscrew pattern of a gastric signet ring cell carcinoma (GSRC) lesion; C: A GSRC demonstrating an elongated or “stretched” gastric gland (white circle) compared to the normal gastric glands (black circle); D: GSRC under Non-magnifying narrow-band imaging showed an isolated, clear area compared to the surrounding mucosa.

THE CURABILITY OF EARLY GSRC AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS

ESD curative resection (CR) is defined as the complete removal of the lesion with no evidence of tumor invasion on both horizontal and vertical margins of the resected specimen [24]. Studies have found that early GSRC patients undergoing ESD have an unfavorable CR rate. For example, Kim et al. [25] reported a CR rate of 70.7% for SRC patients, while Bang et al. [26] detected a CR rate of only 36.4% for patients receiving CR after ESD. Kim et al. [27] found that tumor size is a key risk factor for non-curative resection (NCR) in ESD patients. Among patients who received NCR, tumors in PDC patients had a higher tendency to infiltrate into the SM layer, creating a higher possibility of a positive vertical margin. In contrast, tumors in most early GSRC patients receiving NCR were positive at the horizontal margin [26].

This phenomenon could be due to the special growth pattern of GSRC. Tubular cervical dysplasia located in the lamina propria of the mucosa is the precursor of GSRC [28]. GSRC grows horizontally in the lamina propria, and its surface is covered by intact gastric foveolar epithelium. Therefore, the actual size of the tumor might be larger than the size measured by endoscopy, even if the boundary of GSRC can be clearly identified. Lee et al. [10] evaluated the pathological specimens and background mucosa of 86 GSRC patients and found that 75% had a subepithelial growth pattern, especially in lesions with discoloration. Kim et al. [13] discovered that subepithelial spreading is common in GSRCs surrounded by atrophic mucosa or intestinal metaplasia (IM). This growth pattern can make the tumor look small, leading to inaccurate delineation of the tumor, thus increasing the likelihood of tumor invasion on the horizontal margin and consequently resulting in NCR.

Kim et al. [29] compared the endoscopic size and pathological size of GSRC patients after ESD and found no statistical difference. However, the pathological size of the tumor was larger than the endoscopic size by 0.2 mm. The results further confirmed that the tumor size was underestimated in more than 30% of total patients due to the subepithelial growth pattern of GSRC. Furthermore, the maximum difference between endoscopic size and pathological size reached 6 mm. Multivariate analysis showed that tumor size and atrophy of the surrounding mucosa are the risk factors for size underestimation.

Therefore, accurate evaluation of tumor size and determination of the resection margin is crucial for complete resection. Besides, four-quadrant biopsies should be performed, followed by ESD if all the biopsies are negative for tumor involvement. ME-NBI and NM-NBI should be used to decide on the size measurement and delineation of GSRC. The horizontal margin of the tumor should be at least 1 cm from the margin of the tumor to reduce the possibility of tumor involvement and improve the curability of local recurrence.
GSRC, especially for patients with atrophic surrounding mucosa and discolored lesions or IM confirmed via endoscopic inspection.

**MANAGEMENT OF EARLY GSRC AFTER NON-CURATIVE RESECTION**

Although gastrectomy is recommended for GSRC patients receiving NCR after ESD, most studies have shown that only a few patients are exposed to LNM after NCR[30]. The curability evaluation algorithm proposed by JGCA is widely used in decision-making after non-curative resection of ESD[2]. Patients are divided into four grades based on their postoperative pathological results (A/B/C1/C2). The GSRC corresponds to eCura B based on the eCura grade, indicating tumors less than 2 cm, without ulcer, negative on both margins, and with negative LVI, and are not recommended for additional surgery. However, eCura C patients with tumors over 2 cm, without ulcer, negative on both margins, and negative LVI require additional treatment strategy if the presence of DLS has been detected through immunohistochemistry. Furthermore, curative gastrectomy can be avoided in DLS-positive patients. Additional gastrectomy and lymph node dissection should be performed if the patients do not have the above conditions. Although Hatta et al[31] showed that the eCura system that was designed for risk stratification after ESD can guide EGC patients in choosing the appropriate treatment strategy after NCR, most patients have differentiated GC. However, a multi-center, prospective study is needed to verify the value of eCura system for UDC, especially early GSRC. Therefore, GSRC patients should make careful decision-making when using eCura system after NCR.

**PROGNOSIS OF PATIENTS WITH EARLY GSRC AFTER ESD**

**Lymph node metastasis and associated factors of early GSRC**

The indication of ER for undifferentiated carcinoma (UDC) is limited to tumors less than 2 cm, with ulceration (-), and without lymphovascular invasion due to the higher risk of LNM[2,32]. However, LNM risk varies in different subtypes of UDC. Early GSRC has a relatively lower risk of LNM than PDC. Lee et al[33] conducted a retrospective analysis of 1837 surgical resected UDC patients and showed that only 2.2% of SRC patients had LNM. No LNM was detected in GSRC patients with intramucosal tumors smaller than 1 cm. Jin et al[9] also found that GSRC patients have LNM similar to that of differentiated adenocarcinoma, but much lower than that of PDC patients. Therefore, the expansion of indication for early GSRC in endoscopic resection should be further discussed. Submucosal infiltration, tumor size, ulcer, and lymphovascular invasion are the common independent risk factors for LNM in GSRC patients[34].

**LNM in early mixed GSRC**

Mixed GSRC is defined as GSRCs that contain less than 50% of the SRC component of the tumor. Notably, low risk of LNM does not apply to the mixed GSRC. Mixed GSRC is associated with increased invasiveness, increased risk of developing submucosal invasion, and larger tumors. Lee et al[33] showed that the LNM risk is higher (6.3%) in mixed-type GC than in both PDC and pure GSRC. Chen et al[35] divided mixed GC into four categories based on the predominant component of the tumor: differentiated-predominant type mixed with poorly differentiated component (MD-P), poorly differentiated-predominant type mixed with differentiated component (MP-D), differentiated-predominant type mixed with SRC component (MD-S), and poorly differentiated-predominant type mixed with SRC component (MP-S). The results showed that the LNM risk was higher in MP-S (24.5%) than in pure GSRC (11.3). The increased LNM risk is mainly due to the PD component of the tumor. The SRC component does not increase the LNM risk of mixed-type GC. The estimation of LNM risk and treatment strategies should be determined based on the predominant composition of mixed-type GSRC. The LNM risk is higher in MP-S than in other mixed types even if it is meeting the indication for ER, and thus should be carefully handled when deciding the treatment strategy.

**Correlation between double-layer structure and LNM in early GSRC**

The double-layer structure (DLS) of GSRC is closely related to LNM of GSRC. Takizawa et al[36] found that DLS serves as a protective factor against GSRC, indicating that its absence leads to an increased risk of submucosal invasion. Murai et al[11] showed that the absence of DLS, ulcers, tumors greater than 20 mm are independent risk factors for LNM in SRC. Yusuke Horiiuchi et al. reviewed and analyzed the survival data of 1425 patients with undifferentiated cancer who underwent surgery and found that tumors > 40 mm is an independent risk factor of LNM. Besides, there was no risk of LNM in patients with tumors smaller than 40 mm, negative resected margins, without ulcer, and without LVI[23]. Notably, LNM was not detected in early intramucosal GSRC with DLS, even for tumors larger than 20 mm, indicating that the indications of ESD treatment could be expanded for patients with early GSRC. Besides, future studies should include DLS in the stratification of early GSRC patients before ESD and make careful decision-making when using eCura system after NCR.
evaluation of ESD curability for a more personalized management strategy that improves the overall survival and quality of life.

**Survival outcomes for early GSRC after ESD**

The confirmative trial JCOG1009/1010 in Japan confirmed that the 5-year OS and RFS of patients with undifferentiated cancer after ESD resection are comparable to those of patients who received curative gastrectomy (98.6%, 98.5%)\(^{[36]}\). Ahn et al\(^{[37]}\) conducted a retrospective study and showed that OS was not significantly different between 328 patients who underwent ESD and 383 patients who underwent surgery. Furthermore, the early GSRC patients had better OS than PDC patients. A propensity score-matched analysis compared the long-term outcomes between ESD and surgery in UDC patients and showed that OS is not significantly different between early GSRC patients undergoing ESD and those undergoing surgery. JGCA later changed the expanded indications into the absolute indications of ESD due to the satisfying prognostic outcome of patients who received ESD\(^{[35]}\). Therefore, ESD is a reliable and safe treatment for early GSRC patients who meets the expanded indications.

**CONCLUSION**

Early GSRC is characterized by unique biological behavior and favorable prognosis. It appears as flat or depressed lesions with discoloration under WLI, NM-NBI, and ME-NBI, which could further consolidate the diagnosis and delineation of the tumor. The depth of tumor invasion and LNM can be predicted using EUS. Pure GSRC lesions that meet the expanded indications of ESD are associated with a low risk of submucosal invasion and LNM, thus have desirable prognostic outcomes. As a result, ESD is the optimal treatment for such lesions instead of traditional surgical resection. However, tumor size may be underestimated due to the subepithelial spreading of SRC, thus limiting curative resection. Therefore, the preoperative biopsies in the surrounding mucosa of the lesion should be used to help determine the safe resection margin of the tumor. The eCura grade could serve as a reliable reference for the management of patients with non-curable resection after ESD. Particularly, early mixed GSRC or DLS-negative GSRC should be closely followed-up to prevent LNM and local recurrence. Additionally, ESD should be considered for tumors larger than 20 mm if an effective method can be developed to predict the presence of DLS before ESD.
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