



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 95327

Title: Survey on hospice care attitude of family members of advanced cancer patients at different ages

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 07916789

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Senior Researcher

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Japan

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-04-30 14:57

Reviewer performed review: 2024-05-13 12:33

Review time: 12 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear editor, thank you for inviting me to review this manuscript. The benefits of hospice care in alleviating physical discomfort and improving the end-of-life experience are well acknowledged, yet the penetration of such knowledge remains low, particularly among older age groups. The study effectively highlights significant age-related disparities in the attitudes towards hospice care among family members of advanced cancer patients. By improving awareness and addressing misconceptions, healthcare providers can enhance hospice care adoption, ultimately aiding in more dignified and comfortable end-of-life care for patients. However, I have three recommendations: 1 I recommend the authors provide a more in-depth interpretation of the results and compare them to previous studies to highlight the innovation and importance of this study (increase the number of reference). 2 Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 3 Future research should focus on larger and more diverse sample sizes to validate these findings and explore other demographic factors (like socioeconomic status, urban vs. rural settings) that might influence attitudes towards hospice care.