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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The manuscript titled as 'Endoscopic management of difficult common bile duct stones: where are we now? A comprehensive review' was reviewed. The authors presented management of bile duct stones for complex situations such as patients has a history of B-2 Reconstruction. All subtitles appropriately summarized the subject. The authors should add a subtitle for liver transplant patients.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is a nicely written review of an interesting topic with minor typos and misspellings that need to be corrected. Although it tackles an interesting topic, it seems to only reassure and confirm what has been recently published in several guidelines and other authors, placing its originality and novelty as borderline. Figueres and tables are well used and achieved.