Dear Editors and Reviewers,

We are very grateful to the editors and reviewers for your timely comments on the manuscript. The two reviewers did not propose specific suggestions for revision. Appended to this letter is comments of two reviewers and editorial office’s.

We have revised manuscripts, charts and references according to publication requirements.

Sincerely,

Reviewer #1:  
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)  
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)  
Conclusion: Accept (High priority)  
Specific Comments to Authors: The authors described the case of a patient diagnosed with CML whose disease underwent a sudden transformation to ALCL following partial cytogenetic remission and early molecular response with 7 months of imatinib treatment. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, their patient is the first reported case of Philadelphia-positive ALCL transformed from CML. The manuscript is well-written and the novelty of the case report is high. I have no suggestions for revision, this is an excellent paper. Congratulations.

Reviewer #2:  
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)  
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)  
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)  
Specific Comments to Authors: The authors describe a rare and interesting case extramedullary and extranodal Philadelphia-positive anaplastic large-cell lymphoma transformation during imatinib treatment for CML. The description is accurate and well presented. I have no specific comments on the text and content.

EDITORIAL OFFICE’S COMMENTS

(1) Science editor:  
The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it’s ready for the first decision.  
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)  
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

(2) Company editor-in-chief:  
I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the
World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author’s intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author’s copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is ‘original’, the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s). Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.