

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: I think that the manuscript is well written. I ask the authors to read my suggestions and to include a few important facts in the introductory part of the paper. I wrote in which direction to discuss. After that the paper could be accepted for publication. What are the new hypotheses that this study proposed? What are the new phenomena that were found through experiments in this study? What are the new methods that this study proposed?

1.The authors answer: Many thanks for the expert's comments and the preface has been added.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: check and cite the article Botnariuc I, Ilie SM, Trifanescu OG, Bacinschi XE, Curea F, Anghel RM. Predictive Circulating Markers For Anthracycline Chemotherapy In Non-Metastatic Breast Cancer. Acta Endocrinol (Buchar). 2017 Apr-Jun;13(2):209-214. doi: 10.4183/aeb.2017.209.

2.The authors answer: Many thanks for the expert's comments and the preface has been added.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: 1.First of all, the introduction part of the article is too simple to write and needs to be added appropriately. For example, what are the advantages of this study compared with the traditional research? In a word, the content of the introduction part needs to be expanded and enriched. 2.Secondly, the methodology part of the article does not seem to comply with the typesetting requirements of the journal. Please revise the typesetting of the methodology, results and discussion part in combination with the typesetting requirements of the contributing journal. 3.Finally, there are many grammatical problems in the full text. It is suggested to find a native language expert to correct the article.

3.The authors answer: Many thanks for the expert's comments and the preface has been added. The grammar part has been revised, please correct!