Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: Interesting study. Only minor editing is required for the manuscript.

Answering

The manuscript has been read through many times and the language has been revised again.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: This is an excellent case series about the outcomes of mass-forming intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. The results of this study are very interesting, and well discussed. The authors also discussed the limit of the study. The reviewer recommends to accept this study after a minor language polishing.

Answering

The manuscript has been read through many times and the language has been revised again.

Reviewer #3:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: The cure rates and survival of patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma remain very low because of the high aggressiveness of the disease. It has been reported that many factors influence the prognosis of surgical resection. The mass-forming type is the most common in the intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. In this study, the authors examined the prognostic factors of patients with mass-forming type intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in order to improve the outcomes of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. The design of the study is very well. The inclusion and exclusion criteria of the patients are very clearly described, and the treatment methods are reasonable. The results are described in a systematic manner. A minor revision is required before final publication: 1. A minor language polishing should be revised. Some minor spelling mistakes should be corrected. 2. The data in the tables are excellent. However, the structure of the tables should be checked and edited. 3. References list is updated. The format of the references should be revised. Maybe the endnote can help.
Answering

The manuscript has been read through many times and the language has been revised and some spelling mistakes should be corrected. The structure of the tables has been edited. The format of the references has been revised.