Response to Reviewers' comments

Dear editor,

We thank you for your careful consideration of our manuscript. We appreciate your response and overall positive initial feedback, and make modifications to improve the manuscript. After carefully reviewing the comments and issues made by the Reviewers and editors, we have modified the manuscript to improve the presentation of our results and discussion, therefore providing a more complete context for the research that may be of interest to your readers.

We hope that the revised manuscript would be suitable for the publication, and we look forward to contributing to your journal. Please do not hesitate to contact us with other questions or concerns regarding the manuscript.

Best regards,

Dr. Qianqian Gao
Science editors:

**Issues** (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (4) The “Case Presentation” section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section, and add the “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”, “TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

**Response:** We thank the Editors for raising the issues. (1) We have provided the “Author Contributions” section in the manuscript in Page12 Line226-228. (2) We have arranged the original figures using PowerPoint and the file name is “9-66947-Figures.ppt”. (3) We have provided the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to all the references list and list all authors of the references. (4) In addition, thanks for your patience, we have rewritten the “Case Presentation” section according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision and there are some minor errors have been revised with underlines.