Bilateral occurrence of sperm granulomas in the left spermatic cord and on the right epididymis: A case report
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Sperm granuloma is a rare disease in clinical andrology, and its incidence is still unclear worldwide. According to the existing literature, sperm granuloma often occurs unilaterally. Clinical and ultrasound features are similar to epididymal tuberculosis, chronic epididymitis and other diseases. Sperm granuloma is usually diagnosed based on postoperative histopathological and immunohistochemical examination.

CASE SUMMARY
A 46-year-old man was admitted to the hospital due to the presence of a left scrotal mass for 3 mo and aggravation of pain for 1 wk. The lesions at both sites were surgically resected. Postoperative pathological examination showed that the left spermatic cord mass and the right epididymal mass were consistent with sperm granuloma. But they recurred three months after surgery. There is little change in the local mass so far.

CONCLUSION
The case report is helpful for our understanding of this disease. In clinical diagnosis, it should be distinguished from epididymal tuberculosis, chronic epididymitis and other
diseases. Color Doppler Ultrasound can be used as a preferred examination method, but postoperative pathological examination is still needed for diagnosis.

INTRODUCTION
Sperm granuloma (SG) is a rare disease, and its incidence is still unclear worldwide. The first case was reported in 1949 [1], and ultrasonographic findings were first described in 1982 [2]. In the past 10 years, only a few cases of sperm granulomas have been reported [3-5], let alone the cases of bilateral and postoperative recurrent sperm granulomas. According to the existing literature, SG is common in the epididymis, occasionally in the spermatic cord and testes. And it was usually seen in unilateral nodules. Most of the patients went to see a doctor because of scrotal pain and induration. In this case, we reported a rare type of SG, which occurred bilaterally in both the left spermatic cord and right epididymis. The postoperative pathological diagnosis was consistent with the manifestation of SG. And it recurred three months after surgery.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
A 46-year-old man found a bean-like mass in the left scrotum three months ago.

History of present illness
The patient found a bean-like mass in the left scrotum without obvious inducement three months ago. The scrotal mass was firm and associated with mild pain. The scrotal skin had no redness and itching. The patient had no other symptoms, such as lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTs), hot flashes and night sweats, fever and shiver, and progressive emaciation. The mass gradually increased in size, accompanied by irregular swelling and pain. After anti-infective treatment, the symptoms were not relieved. He then went to the urology clinic of our hospital.
History of past illness

The patient denied a history of tuberculosis and vasectomy.

Personal and family history

The patient denied any family history of disease of scrotum.

Physical examination

The physical examination showed a firm mass measuring 4*3*2 cm in the left scrotum, with no obvious tenderness. And the light transmission test was negative.

Laboratory examinations

The mass was negative for three tumor markers, including alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). The surgical specimen was stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE), and histopathological observation showed that the left spermatic cord mass and the right epididymal mass were consistent with SG (Figure 2). The surgical specimen was processed using indirect immunohistochemistry for CK (Beijing Zhongshanjinqiao Biotechnology Co., Ltd) and CD68 (Beijing Zhongshanjinqiao Biotechnology Co., Ltd), as well as subjected to acid-fast stain (BaSO Diagnostics Inc. Zhuhai, China). These experiments were performed according to the manufactures’ protocols. The results for the left spermatic cord mass were: acid-fast (-), CK (-), and CD68 (+).

Imaging examinations

Color doppler ultrasound (CDU) of the scrotum and positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) images were shown in Figure 1.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Postoperative and intraoperative pathological examination showed that the left spermatic cord mass and the right epididymal mass were consistent with SG.
TREATMENT
To relieve the symptoms, the patient underwent surgery under general anesthesia. During the operation, a fusiform hard mass was seen in the spermatic region of the left proximal epididymis, which was about 5.0*3.0*2.8 cm in size (Figure 3A-3B). Because the blood supply of the left testis could not be preserved after the resection of the left spermatic cord tumor, left orchietomy was performed. The frozen section during the operation showed that the tumor was inflammatory hyperplasia. A hard mass of about 2.0*1.5*1.3 cm was found in the tail of the right epididymis, and the boundary was still clear with the surrounding group. Therefore, the resection of the right epididymis was performed (Figure 3C). After surgery, the patient was given symptomatic supportive treatment and was discharged after 1 wk of treatment.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
Three months after surgery, the patient went to the outpatient clinic of our hospital again because of the right scrotal mass. CDU of scrotum and spermatic cord showed that after left orchietomy, there was no obvious abnormality; the right epididymis was enlarged, and the echo of parenchyma was uneven, and color doppler flow imaging (CDFI) showed an increase in blood flow signals. Considering the possibility of recurrence of the lesion in the right epididymis, the patient was asked for continuous observation. There is little change in the local mass so far.

DISCUSSION
Sperm granuloma is a chronic granulomatous inflammation with low incidence, which is caused by the foreign body reaction with sperms or acid-resistant lipids. Sperms overflow from damaged seminiferous tubules, epididymal ducts or vas deferens to the surrounding stroma, resulting in the formation of inflammatory granuloma. The breakage of these tubules is often caused by inflammation, tumor, trauma, vasectomy, and surgical operation of adjacent sites. Among them, vasectomy is the most common
cause, with a rate of epididymal SG after vasectomy of 41% [2]. Nevertheless, there are few reports on sperm granuloma caused by inflammation, trauma or tumor alone. In this case, the patient has no history of vasectomy, tumor and trauma, so this case of SG is more likely caused by inflammation. Furthermore, scrotal ultrasound showed that the arterial blood flow was well filled, which is indirect evidence of inflammation. 

There are three types of SGs based on their location, including testicular sperm granuloma, epididymal sperm granuloma and spermatic cord sperm granuloma [8]. The most common site is epididymis, followed by spermatic cord and testis. And it was usually seen in unilateral nodules. In this case, the patient presented with bilateral SGs, which occurred in the left spermatic cord and on the right epididymis. This type of case is rare and has rarely been reported in the literature. 

The diagnosis of SG mainly depends on the pathological examination of surgical specimens. CDU, CT and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) all lack specificity. However, CDU can be used as a preferable diagnostic method because CDU images can reveal histopathological characteristics of tumors at different stages [7]. At the early stage (the acute stage), there are a large number of inflammatory cells and sperm cells in the lesion site, accompanied by few fibrous cells, so the lesions detected by CDU are hypoechoic. In addition, due to inflammatory stimulation, localized hyperemia, edema and vasodilation, there is abundant blood flow in and around the lesion. At the middle stage, inflammatory cells and sperm cells in the stroma gradually decreased, but fibrous tissue gradually increased, so the lesions detected by CDU are moderately hypoechoic. If the fibrous tissue proliferates heterogeneously or tissue begins to necrotize, the echotexture is heterogeneous. As the disease progresses, inflammatory cells and sperm cells further decrease, accompanied by intensive proliferation of fibrous tissue, so ultrasound showed high echo. During this period, there were few inflammatory cells, vasodilation and congestion decreased significantly, so there was only a little stellate blood flow or no blood flow around and inside the focus [7]. In this case, based on the ultrasonographic findings and postoperative pathological examination, the lesion was considered in the middle pathological stage.
Sperm granuloma lacks typical clinical features and is often characterized by pain in the scrotum or groin, palpable hard nodules in the epididymis and seminal cord, and sometimes slight squeezing pain. Therefore, it needs to be differentiated from epididymal tuberculosis, chronic epididymitis, epididymal tumor, epididymal cyst, semen cyst, and testicular lesions. Most of the patients with epididymal tuberculosis have a history of tuberculosis, such as afternoon hot flashes, increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate, positive tuberculin test, and so on. Ultrasonic images show diffuse enlargement of the epididymis, irregular shape, moderate echo or mixed echo due to calcification [8]. As for the patients with chronic epididymitis, the ultrasonographic examination shows moderate or low echo, and most of them have a history of acute epididymitis and are improved after anti-infected treatment [9]. With the progression of chronic epididymitis, chronic inflammatory exudates are discharged from local tissues, accompanied by tissue edema with cystic changes but no hard nodules on touch. In comparison, SG could be characterized by fibrous tissue hyperplasia, epididymal duct fibrosis and hard nodules near the scrotum [10]. As for epididymal tumors, they are local solid masses and are rarely reported. They can be either benign or malignant. The benign tumor has a clear boundary, with an even low internal echo or moderate-to-high internal echo, but the malignant tumor grows rapidly, with an uneven internal echo. As for epididymal cyst, though there is no echo in ultrasound examination, CT examination shows low-density shadow due to the presence of fluid, which is easily neglected during diagnosis. As for semen cyst, it was mostly located in the head of the epididymis and appears as round anechoic nodules, while SG is mostly located in the tail of epididymis [11].

Sperm granuloma is a benign tumor, with a very low risk of malignant transformation. As the disease progresses, the lesion may block the vas deferens, leading to infertility. Therefore, surgical resection is recommended and should be performed as soon as possible. If the tumor is not completely removed, however, it may recur after surgery.

CONCLUSION
We reported a rare case of SG, which occurred bilaterally in the left spermatic cord and on the right epididymis. Especially, it recurred at 3 mo after surgery, and this recurrence has not been reported before. Since the patient has no history of vasectomy, tumor and trauma, it is more likely that this case of SG is merely caused by inflammation, which is rare for sperm granuloma. This case report can greatly enhance our understanding of sperm granuloma, and it is helpful for differential diagnosis of various epididymal lesions in clinical practice.
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