



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 102282

**Title:** Global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor: A bibliometric analysis of studies published from 2010-2024

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05750374

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree and professional title:** PharmD, PhD, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2024-10-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** Shang Wu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-10-16 17:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-10-29 08:03

**Review time:** 12 Days and 14 Hours

<b>Content to be reviewed</b>	
<b>Scientific quality</b>	Grade B (Very good)
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	Grade B (Very Good)
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	Grade B (Very Good)
<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	Grade B (Very Good)
<b>Language quality</b>	Grade A (Excellent)



Does this manuscript describe a study of the existing knowledge system?	Yes
Does this manuscript report a revolutionary innovation?	No
Does this manuscript report an unconventional innovation?	No
<b>Conclusion</b>	Accept
<b>Re-review</b>	No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This bibliometric analysis on global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor is interesting. I would appreciate your hard and extensive work. This work is technically sound. You have reached definite outcomes. The title reflects the main focus of the manuscript. The most important and compelling information is included in the title. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The keywords express the characteristic elements and fully reflect the central content of the manuscript. A core tip is given which justifies the need for this work and is appreciable. The manuscript describes the background, present status, and significance of the work. The manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. The manuscript summarizes the research trends adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically. This work has been tailored with available literature. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The statistical approach is optimal for this data set. VOSviewer was used for network visualization, overlay visualization and density visualization. CiteSpace was used for cluster analysis,



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

timeline view, and citation burst detection. This is optimal for this dataset. The pictures and illustrations in the manuscript are sufficiently self-explanatory. The tables are sufficient and appropriately illustrative of the paper's contents. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important, and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The references of the manuscript are consistent with the subject of the manuscript. The results of the study support the conclusion. The manuscript is well, concisely, and coherently organized and presented and the style, language, and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The author prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. I appreciate this work which would be useful in the development of less invasive and lower-risk therapeutic strategies on endoscopic resection of submucosal tumors (SMTs).



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 102282

**Title:** Global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor: A bibliometric analysis of studies published from 2010-2024

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 08423196

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree and professional title:** PhD

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2024-10-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** Shang Wu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2025-01-22 02:28

**Reviewer performed review:** 2025-01-25 06:36

**Review time:** 3 Days and 4 Hours

<b>Content to be reviewed</b>	
<b>Scientific quality</b>	Grade A (Excellent)
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	Grade A (Excellent)
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	Grade A (Excellent)
<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	Grade A (Excellent)
<b>Language quality</b>	Grade A (Excellent)



Does this manuscript describe a study of the existing knowledge system?	Yes
Does this manuscript report a revolutionary innovation?	Yes
Does this manuscript report an unconventional innovation?	Yes
<b>Conclusion</b>	Accept (High priority)
<b>Re-review</b>	No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: Anonymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The experimental design is exquisite and rigorous, the experimental data is sufficient, the analysis and discussion of the experimental results are comprehensive and profound, and the conclusion is accurate and convincing. It has a certain reference significance for researchers of related disciplines. 1 Title: the most important and compelling information is included in the title. 2 Authors: the first author and the corresponding authors are active in the field 3 Institution: the corresponding author's institution is an important or recognized research institution or laboratory in the field. On the whole, this paper laid a foundation for classify the status of endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor, and also provided reference for similar articles. However, there are still some problems that need to be further revised before the article is officially published 1.The abstract content needs further refinement.It is suggested that the second half of the abstract should be modified to make the conclusion and research significance more prominent. 2.It is recommended to sort 'References' in order of citation. 3.Suggestions for a more detailed discussion on the possible causes and effective measures that have led to the current situation in the discussion section, allowing for deeper thinking 4. Some



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

sentences in the text should be modified as required, and the full name of the English abbreviation should be indicated when it first appears. 5. the quality of the pictures in the manuscript meet the requirements for publication. 6. the quality of the tables in the manuscript meet the requirements for publication. 7. the references are consistent with or closely related to the subject of the manuscript?. 8. the data and their analyses are reliable, other researchers can repeat the authors' experiments and reproduce their results. The overall structure of the article is reasonable and the ideas are clear, providing direction and effective suggestions for future research in this field. It is recommended to make minor adjustments before publishing



**RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 102282

**Title:** Global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor: A bibliometric analysis of studies published from 2010-2024

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 08423196

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree and professional title:** PhD

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2024-10-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Fei Wei

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2025-02-21 07:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2025-02-21 10:10

**Review time:** 2 Hours

<b>Content to be reviewed</b>	<p>Does the manuscript’s content fall within the scope of the journal? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>Is there any Key Word that is not included in the manuscript title? <b>No</b></p> <p>Do authors’ affiliations correspond to the content of the manuscript? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>Does the Abstract contain the contents of each part of the manuscript (IMRaD)? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>Are the Key Words complete? <b>Yes</b></p>
-------------------------------	---



# Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Is the content of the Introduction adequate? **Yes**

Is the content of the Materials and Methods complete?  
**Yes**

Is the description of the experiments clear and complete? **Yes**

Are the experimental data presented in the manuscript's biostatistics content reliable? **Yes**

Are the experimental data of the Results true and reliable? **Yes**

Are the quality and resolution of the images up to standard? **Yes**

Do the selection and design of the figures and tables follow the principles of necessity and clarity? **Yes**

Is there any duplication between various parts of the manuscript and between the main text and the content presented in the figures and tables? **No**

Are the figures and tables numbered consecutively in the order in which they appear in the manuscript? **Yes**

Is the content of the Discussion reasonable? **Yes**

Is the Conclusion reasonable? **Yes**

Are all references necessary and reasonable? **Yes**

Do authors omit important references? **No**

Are all references related to the topic of the manuscript? **Yes**

Do authors only cite their own earlier publications? **No**

Is the manuscript's text correct, concise, and clear? **Yes**

Will the manuscript's content be of interest to readers?  
**Yes**

Are additional experiments needed for the study? **No**

Does the research scope comply with ethics? **Yes**



Scientific quality	Grade A (Excellent)
Novelty of this manuscript	Grade A (Excellent)
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	Grade A (Excellent)
Language quality	Grade A (Excellent)
Does this manuscript describe a study of the existing knowledge system?	Yes
Does this manuscript report a revolutionary innovation?	Yes
Does this manuscript report an unconventional innovation?	Yes
Conclusion	Accept
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: Anonymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Specific Comments to Authors: This bibliometric analysis on global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor is interesting. I would appreciate your hard and extensive work. This work is technically sound. Submucosal tumors are a common and rare disease in clinical endoscopic practice. With the advancement of endoscopic technology in the past decade, SMT endoscopic management has gradually become an important research field. The author uses bibliometric analysis to describe this development. The management of endoscopic SMT has made rapid progress, but there is uneven development among countries and regions, a lack of high-level research, and low levels of cooperation between institutions and authors between countries. The combination of instrument assisted and surgical endoscopic resection is considered a



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

potential focus area for future research. The content of the article has not been reported before and is original. The article extracted publication information related to the use of SMT endoscopy from the Web of Science Core Collection database from January 2010 to June 2024. Using tools such as Microsoft Excel, VOSviewer, and Citespace to analyze publishing trends has a certain degree of scientificity. From the introduction, methods, data statistics to the results and conclusions of the article, the process is complete, the description is scientific, the analysis is detailed, the logic is clear, and it has a certain degree of completeness. The language is fluent, the expression is clear, the table and graph formats are novel, and the summary is unique, which is very attractive to readers. The disadvantage of the article is that it only conducted data statistics and analysis on literature from the first 14 years, without delving deeper into the future and providing good guidance for future development. The overall quality of the manuscript, based on the above-listed criteria, should be evaluated and classified into the following Grade A (Excellent).



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 102282

**Title:** Global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumor: A bibliometric analysis of studies published from 2010-2024

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05750374

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree and professional title:** PharmD, PhD, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2024-10-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Fei Wei

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2025-02-22 04:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2025-02-22 07:51

**Review time:** 2 Hours

<b>Content to be reviewed</b>	Does the manuscript's content fall within the scope of the journal? <b>Yes</b> Is there any Key Word that is not included in the manuscript title? <b>No</b> Do authors' affiliations correspond to the content of the manuscript? <b>Yes</b> Does the Abstract contain the contents of each part of the manuscript (IMRaD)? <b>Yes</b> Are the Key Words complete? <b>Yes</b>
-------------------------------	--



# Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Is the content of the Introduction adequate? **Yes**

Is the content of the Materials and Methods complete?  
**Yes**

Is the description of the experiments clear and complete? **Yes**

Are the experimental data presented in the manuscript's biostatistics content reliable? **Yes**

Are the experimental data of the Results true and reliable? **Yes**

Are the quality and resolution of the images up to standard? **Yes**

Do the selection and design of the figures and tables follow the principles of necessity and clarity? **Yes**

Is there any duplication between various parts of the manuscript and between the main text and the content presented in the figures and tables? **No**

Are the figures and tables numbered consecutively in the order in which they appear in the manuscript? **Yes**

Is the content of the Discussion reasonable? **Yes**

Is the Conclusion reasonable? **Yes**

Are all references necessary and reasonable? **Yes**

Do authors omit important references? **No**

Are all references related to the topic of the manuscript? **Yes**

Do authors only cite their own earlier publications? **No**

Is the manuscript's text correct, concise, and clear? **Yes**

Will the manuscript's content be of interest to readers?  
**Yes**

Are additional experiments needed for the study? **No**

Does the research scope comply with ethics? **Yes**



Scientific quality	Grade B (Very good)
Novelty of this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Language quality	Grade B (Very good)
Does this manuscript describe a study of the existing knowledge system?	Yes
Does this manuscript report a revolutionary innovation?	No
Does this manuscript report an unconventional innovation?	No
Conclusion	Accept
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This bibliometric analysis of global research trends in endoscopy applications in submucosal tumors is interesting. I appreciate your hard and extensive work, which is technically sound. You have reached definite outcomes. The title reflects the main focus of the manuscript. The most important and compelling information is included in the title. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The authors, based on the reviewer's comments, have included the concluding sentences of the abstract to emphasize the clinical implications of the device-assisted resection technologies and combined endoscopic-surgical strategies. The keywords express the characteristic elements and fully reflect the central content of the manuscript. A core tip justifies the need for this work and is appreciable. The manuscript describes the



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

background, present status, and significance of the work. The manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. The manuscript summarizes the research trends adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically. The authors have defined the acronyms SMTs and ESD at first mention based on the reviewer's comment. This work has been tailored with available literature. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important, and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The authors have reorganized all references in order of citations using Zotero. The statistical approach is optimal for this data set. VOSviewer was used for network visualization, overlay visualization, and density visualization. CiteSpace was used for cluster analysis, timeline view, and citation burst detection. This is optimal for this dataset. The pictures and illustrations in the manuscript are sufficiently self-explanatory. The tables are sufficient and appropriately illustrative of the paper's contents. To analyze clinical issues, the authors have added points on tumor size criteria, growth rate, pathological subtypes, the failure rate of existing suture techniques, and dynamic healing assessment system in the discussion section. The results of the study support the conclusion. The author prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. PRISMA checklist has been used for this scientometric analysis. I appreciate this work which would be useful in the development of less invasive and lower-risk therapeutic strategies on endoscopic resection of submucosal tumors (SMTs).