



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Ophthalmology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7032

Title: Ocular Damage Secondary to Lights and Lasers: How to Avoid and Treat if Necessary

Reviewer code: 00505226

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 16:45

Date reviewed: 2013-11-19 08:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

none



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Ophthalmology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7032

Title: Ocular Damage Secondary to Lights and Lasers: How to Avoid and Treat if Necessary

Reviewer code: 00505049

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 16:45

Date reviewed: 2013-11-20 10:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript entitled "Ocular Damage Secondary to Lights and Lasers: How to Avoid and Treat if Necessary" was to summarize how to prevent the ocular injury on the patient, support personnel, and operator by training in the proper utilization, appropriate treatment parameters, and safety measure for each. Besides, selection of the appropriate eye protection is important for both the patient and the personnel. It is an interesting study and well written. I think it is worthy to be published; I have only two minor comments in this manuscript. Minor Comments: 1) P8, 4th paragraph, "IPL is a non-ablative...." I hope write "Intense Pulsed Light (IPL) is a non-ablative....." Because, it is too late to show this IPL in the manuscript, readers might forget and have to check again. 2) P9, 2nd paragraph, I think this paragraph move to P10, after 3rd paragraph, end of the section "Prevention of Ocular Damage".



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Ophthalmology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7032

Title: Ocular Damage Secondary to Lights and Lasers: How to Avoid and Treat if Necessary

Reviewer code: 00505101

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 16:45

Date reviewed: 2013-11-30 08:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is a well written concise review of ocular side effects of lasers. It is a very clinically relevant topic as safety measures are not always strictly adhered to in laser procedures which can lead to inadvertent and permanent ocular damage. The safety measures have been aptly described. The title and abstract though outline the intended discussion, the article does not add anything new to the existing knowledge. It also lacks a clinical study of patients and the resultant incidence of various types of ocular damage seen in their patients. Also the addition of graphs and tables derived from the study and clinical photographs depicting laser side effects will have more impact on readers as to the importance of safety measures. The list of ocular side effects can be made more complete by outlining the posterior segment complications and a detailed management of each of the ocular complications. The immediate effects of lasers have been rightly described, the addition of long term side effects of lasers will further add to the relevance of the discussion in clinical practice. The manuscript can be classified as grade C, though addition of an observational study will make it more appealing. Language evaluation grade B