

58981-ReviewC.docx

WORD COUNT

3484

TIME SUBMITTED

17-SEP-2020 10:20AM

PAPER ID

63200632

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 58981

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Nomograms and risk factor score prediction models for predicting overall survival and disease-free survival in rectal cancer with neoadjuvant therapy

Wei FZ *et al.* Predict survival time after NT

Fang-Ze Wei, Shi-Wen Mei, Jia-Nan Chen, Zhi-Jie Wang, Hai-Yu Shen, Juan Li, Fu-Qiang Zhao, Zheng Liu, Qian Liu

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common digestive cancer worldwide. As a comprehensive treatment for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC), neoadjuvant therapy (NT) has been increasingly used as the standard treatment for clinical stage II/III rectal cancer. However, few patients achieve a complete pathological response (pCR), and most patients require surgical resection and adjuvant therapy. Therefore, identifying risk factors and developing accurate models to predict the prognosis of LARC patients are of great clinical significance.

AIM

To establish effective prognostic nomograms and risk score prediction models to predict overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) for LARC treated with NT.

METHODS

Nomograms and risk factor score prediction models were based on patients who received NT at the Cancer Hospital from 2015 to 2017. The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression model was utilized to screen for prognostic risk factors, which were validated by the Cox regression method. Assessment of the performance of the two prediction models was conducted by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, and that for the two nomograms was conducted by calculating the concordance index (C-index) and calibration curves. The results were validated in a cohort of 65 patients from 2015 to 2017.

RESULTS

Seven features were significantly associated with OS and were included in the OS prediction nomogram and prediction model: Vascular_tumors_bolt, cancer nodules, yN, body mass index (BMI), matchmouth distance from the edge, nerve aggression and postoperative carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). The nomogram showed good predictive value for OS, with a C-index of 0.91 (95%CI, 0.85, 0.97) and good calibration. In the validation cohort, the C-index was 0.69 (95%CI, 0.53, 0.84). The risk factor prediction model showed good predictive value. The AUCs for 3- and 5-year survival were 0.811 and 0.782.

The nomogram for predicting DFS included ypTNM and nerve aggression and showed good calibration and a C-index of 0.77 (95%CI, 0.69, 0.85). In the validation cohort, the C-index was 0.71 (95%CI, 0.61, 0.81). The prediction model for DFS also had good predictive value, with an AUC for 3-year survival of 0.784 and an AUC for 5-year survival of 0.754.

CONCLUSION

We established accurate nomograms and prediction models for predicting OS and DFS in patients with LARC after undergoing NT.

Key Words: Neoadjuvant therapy; Rectal cancer; Nomogram; Overall survival; Disease-free survival; Risk factor score prediction model

Wei FZ, Shen HY, Mei SW, ¹Chen JN, Wang ZJ, Zhao FQ, Li J, Liu Z, Liu Q. Nomograms and Risk factors score prediction models for predicting OS and DFS in rectal cancer with neoadjuvant therapy. *World J Gastroenterol* 2020; In press

Core Tip: ¹The manuscript focusses on the risk factors after administration of neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. ⁶The study utilized the least ³⁰absolute shrinkage and selection operator and Cox regression to select risk factors for overall survival and disease-free survival and explore their prognostic value. Based on the factors, we built two nomograms and two risk factor score prediction models to predict survival time. Then, we validated the nomograms by calibration and the concordance index, and the prediction model was validated with receiver operating characteristic curves. The risk factors included in the model and nomograms can influence survival and recurrence and provide direction for clinical doctors to improve patient survival.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, neoadjuvant therapy (NT) has been more widely implemented because it can decrease the risk of local recurrence and toxicity^[1,2]. Numerous international guidelines recommend NT as the standard treatment for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC)^[3]. Because of the different sensitivities to adjuvant therapy, approximately 15%-27% of patients achieve a pathological complete response (pCR), and the majority of patients with stage II/III rectal cancer require surgery or adjuvant therapy^[4]. Therefore, achieving a pCR is closely related to the need for subsequent treatment. Unlike patients who directly undergo surgical resection, those who first receive NT have more vulnerable immune systems, which can affect surgical outcomes^[5] and influence overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS).

Global studies have reported that colorectal cancer accounts for approximately 1 of 10 newly diagnosed cancer cases and cancer-related deaths, and approximately one-third of colorectal cancer cases are rectal cancer^[6,7]. Identifying prognostic factors and accurately predicting OS and DFS can provide individualized treatments for patients and improve their quality of life.

Previous studies have revealed that the number of lymph nodes, response to NT, neoadjuvant rectal score (NAR score), ypTNM stage, and family history^[3,8-10] are related to OS and DFS. However, few modules or nomograms use clinical features to predict OS and DFS for LARC after NT. Therefore, identifying clinical features that can serve as prognostic factors and developing accurate models to predict OS and DFS could more easily determine clinical treatments and improve the prognosis of patients who have received NT.

In this study, we screened preoperative and postoperative clinical features and constructed a nomogram and risk factor prediction model to predict OS and DFS. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first attempt to build a nomogram to predict

OS and DFS by screening risk factors using least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

In this study, we analyzed 220 patients who were clinically diagnosed with LARC and divided them into two groups: 165 patients in the primary cohort and 65 patients in the validation cohort. All patients were admitted to the Colorectal Surgery Unit of the National Cancer Hospital from 2015 to 2017 and were administered preoperative NT followed by laparoscopic total mesorectal excision (TME).

We collected available demographic and clinical characteristics before NT and after TME surgery as follows: age, sex, body mass index (BMI), clinical T (cT) and N stages (cN), pathological T (yT) and N stages (yN), ypTNM, total number of lymph nodes, positive lymph node status, preoperative chemotherapy cycle, radiotherapy cycle, distance of the tumor from the anal verge before NT and after NT, pathological response, preoperative chemotherapy regimen, radiotherapy dose, operating time, matchmouth distance from the edge, surgical procedure, preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), postoperative CEA, and follow-up data.

This study was approved by the ethics committee at our institution. The clinical information and characteristics that were analyzed in our study were recorded after consent was obtained from the patients and their families.

Therapy

Regarding preoperative radiotherapy, the long-course regimen radiation dose ranged from 45.0-50.5 Gy; for patients who received the short-course regimen, the total dose was 25 Gy. Radiation was delivered to the pelvic cavity and tumor bed at an energy of

10 MV. All patients received TME treatment approximately 2-60 weeks after NT based on their physical condition. For patients who had received adjuvant therapy, three chemotherapeutic regimens were available following radiotherapy: XELOX, capecitabine or 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) alone and capecitabin or 5-FU combined with other medicine.

Follow-up

Clinical data were obtained from ²⁸ follow-up visits conducted by the outpatient clinic and by telephone or email. For patients who visited the outpatient clinic, the medical history was collected, and a complete physical examination was carried out. Serum tumor marker CEA measurements and enhanced CT examinations of the pelvis were performed to detect and monitor recurrence and physical condition^[3,8-10]. A ¹ colonoscopy was performed for every 6 months for the first two years and once a year after two years. All patients were followed up every three months after surgery, and the ¹ last follow-up date was in March 2020. DFS is defined as the time from the date of ¹⁵ surgery to the time of recurrence or death, whereas OS is ⁷ defined as the time from the date of surgery to the time of death or the last date of follow-up.

Statistical analysis

LASSO regression and nomogram construction were conducted with ³⁶ R software (version 3.6.1). The prognostic factors were initially screened via LASSO regression through the R packages "survival" and "glmnet". We utilized Cox regression to validate the prognostic factors. Then, the Kaplan-Meier (K-M) prognosis curves were explored and drawn using the online tool Sanger box. Continuous variables were analyzed by Cox regression, and the R package "survival" was utilized to analyze variables. Each sample was categorized, and the differences in the K-M prognosis

curves between the two groups were analyzed. Then, the cycle was repeated, and the P value of each sample was calculated and assessed using the log-rank test. The nomograms were established based on the key factors screened by the LASSO regression R package “rms”. The C-index and calibration curves of the nomograms for OS and DFS reflect the accuracy between the predicted and observed results. Risk factor prediction models were built using the R package “survival”, and ROC curves were constructed with the R package “survivalROC”. LASSO regression, Cox regression, K-M curves and prediction models were based on 220 patients, and nomograms were built according to the primary cohort and validated using the validation cohort.

RESULTS

Characteristics of patients

Figure 1 shows the workflow of our study. All patients underwent TME surgery. In the primary cohort, 99 (63.9%) patients were men, and 56 (36.1%) were women; 30 patients experienced recurrence, while 18 died. In the validation cohort, 53 (81.5%) patients were men, and 12 (18.5%) were women. Furthermore, 17 patients experienced recurrence, and 15 died (Tables 1-4). The median follow-up time was 41 months, and the median OS was 40.73 months (range, 2 to 62 months). The 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates were 99.35%, 67.74%, and 4.52%, respectively. The median DFS was 38.54 (range, 2 to 62 months), and the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year DFS rates were 92.26%, 61.29%, and 3.23%, respectively.

Prognostic factor selection

Based on the clinical data, there were 10 potential prognostic factors in the LASSO regression model for OS selected out of 50 clinical features: Vascular_tumors_bolt, cancer nodules, yN, cT, ypTNM, BMI, matchmouth distance from the edge, nerve

aggression, postoperative CEA and operation time (Figure 2A and B). We utilized Cox regression to validate the prognostic value. Among the factors, there were three factors with a value of $P > 0.05$: operation time, cT and ypTNM (Table 5).

There were 2 potential prognostic factors for DFS in the LASSO regression model based on 50 clinical features: ypTNM and nerve aggression (Figure 3A and B). We utilized Cox regression to validate the two factors, which were shown to have a good prognostic value for DFS (Table 6).

As shown in Figure 4A-C, all continuous variables were grouped into high expression and low expression groups. The K-M curve of the prognosis difference between the two groups for each variable was analyzed to determine which prognostic factors were associated with a good prognosis of LARC patients treated with NT. K-M curves of classified variables are also shown to highlight the prognostic value (Figure 4D-I). The result of Kaplan-Meier curves for the prognostic factors of OS and DFS were shown in Table 7 and Table 8.

⁴ *Prognostic nomogram for OS and DFS*

The nomogram integrated all of the prognostic factors for OS and DFS as shown in Figure 5A and B; these factors were screened by LASSO regression. The C-index for prediction of OS was 0.91 (95%CI, 0.85-0.97), and that for DFS prediction was 0.77 (95%CI, 0.69-0.85).⁹²³

Validation of the nomograms

The effectiveness of the nomograms was tested in the validation cohort, and the C-index and calibration plot revealed the prognostic value of these models for OS and DFS. The C-index for prediction of OS was 0.69 (95%CI, 0.53-0.84), and that for prediction of DFS⁹

was 0.71 (95%CI, 0.61-0.81). Therefore, the established nomograms are well calibrated and show good predictive values for OS and DFS (Figure 6).

Risk factor score prediction models for OS and DFS

We utilized Cox proportional hazards regression analysis of the clinical characteristics to develop the prognostic models (Figure 7A-F). According to the prognostic risk score, all patients were divided into a low-risk and a high-risk group. The risk scores reflected the 3-year and 5-year survival rates of the patients. K-M curves were used to show the relationship of the risk score with OS and DFS in the low-risk and high-risk groups, and these curves verified that a low risk score had a stronger positive association with OS and DFS (OS: $P=3.576e-05$; DFS: $P=2.91e-06$; Figure 7A and D). The AUCs of ROC curves for 3-year and 5-year OS were 0.811 and 0.782 (Figure 7B and C). The AUC for 3-year DFS was 0.784, and that for 5-year DFS was 0.754, as shown in Figure 7D and F.

DISCUSSION

Recently, NT has emerged as the standard treatment for LARC patients^[11-14]. Patients who cannot achieve a pCR usually undergo surgery and receive adjuvant therapy. Compared to patients who undergo traditional surgery and adjuvant therapy without NT, patients who receive NT have a more complex physical condition because of the influence of NT^[15,16]. Additionally, the prognostic factors for OS and DFS also change. Thus, exploring the prognostic factors that can predict OS and DFS has become necessary.

Many studies have revealed that lymph node metastasis, low BRCA2 expression and other variables can be prognostic factors for patients administered NT. In our study, we developed and validated risk score prediction models and nomograms for OS and DFS

based on clinical characteristics. Preliminary screening of potential factors selected by LASSO regression can reduce the number of features included and screen only critical factors^[17,18]. Cox regression and K-M curves can further verify the prognostic value of key factors. The following were included in the nomogram for OS: Vascular_tumors_bolt, cancer nodules, yN, BMI, matchmouth distance from the edge, nerve aggression and postoperative CEA. The nomogram of DFS included the following variables: ypTNM and nerve aggression. The risk factor score prediction models included the same risk factors as the nomograms. The AUCs for the prediction models for both OS and DFS were high and showed that a low risk score had a strong positive association with the years of survival, indicating that the risk factor and prognostic models had good prognostic value for LARC.

Regarding the prognostic factors of OS, 50 candidate clinical features were reduced to 10 potential predictors, and through Cox regression analysis, three factors could be eliminated: operation time, cT and ypTNM. The P values of operation time, cT and ypTNM were higher than 0.05. The distance of ¹⁶ the tumor from the anal margin is closely related to operation time and other important factors^[19-21] because if the tumor is close to the anus, anal preservation will be prioritized. However, removing the anus or preserving the lower anus can be a lengthy procedure; therefore, the operation time may be related to the tumor location after NT. In addition to the distance from the margin after NT, the matchmouth distance from the edge can more comprehensively reflect the tumor type. Changes in the size of the tumor can influence the type of surgery, which will also affect the distance of the matchmouth from the edge. Changes in tumor size before and after NT were related to the tumor response to treatment. Therefore, although the operation time and ypTNM can reflect the different statuses, they also have a close relationship with the matchmouth distance from the edge, thus

we excluded the two variables. Regarding the distance from the margin to the anus, a shorter distance from the matchmouth to the anus corresponds to shorter survival time.

Laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer has a shorter postoperative exhaust time than does conventional left hemicolectomy^[22]. Postoperative exhaust time is an important postoperative indicator that is closely related to obstructive colorectal cancer^[23,24]. In our cohort, only one patient presented with obstruction; therefore, the prognostic value of postoperative exhaust time was not screened out by the LASSO regression analysis.

The appearance of cancer nodules is an important factor associated with primary tumor metastasis and has been suggested to reflect the effects of adjuvant therapy. With the UICC/AJCC staging standard, the definition and staging of cancerous nodules has gradually improved, and the prognostic value of nodules in colon cancer is also increasing. In previous studies, cancer nodules were thought to significantly increase the rates of local recurrence and metastasis in colorectal cancer^[25]. Cancer nodules had the lowest contribution to our nomogram for OS; if patients have cancer nodules, the nomogram score will increase, and OS will decrease.

yN was evaluated after surgery. For tumors located in or near the rectum, the N stage significantly more frequently either remained stable or progressed, but treatment with surgery and adjuvant therapy could also have an effect. yN is a good prognostic factor for DFS and cancer-specific survival (CSS)^[26-28]. Pathological examination is very important for patients who receive NT because it can ensure the appropriate staging and treatment. In our study, both LASSO regression and the K-M curves revealed that yN had good prognostic value; thus, we included this variable to ensure that our nomogram fully reflects the condition after adjuvant therapy. Regarding yN, in the nomogram, as the N stage progresses, the nomogram score increases and survival decreases. Of note, yN3, which is to the left of yN0 and yN1, may be due to lymph node

changes after NT, which were found at a high rate ¹¹ by the surgeon performing the resection.

BMI reflects the patients' weight and height. ¹¹ As a risk factor for colorectal cancer^[29,30], the BMI value is an important prognostic indicator. Patients with a higher BMI tend to be more obese and have shorter survival based on our nomogram. We also explored the level of the serum tumor marker CEA because it is an important and strong diagnostic biomarker both before therapy and after surgery^[31]. In our nomogram, a higher CEA level indicates shorter survival.

LARC poses several challenges, including recurrence^[32]. Tumor recurrence is an important factor affecting the prognosis and survival of tumor patients^[33]. A lower probability of recurrence leads to a higher survival rate. In previous studies, recurrence has been linked with biomarkers such as BRAF-6000E, RAS and CD8-positive T-cells^[11,34,35], and an early diagnosis^[25] can take advantage of the patients' clinical information. In identifying predictive factors of DFS, 50 clinical features were reduced to 2 potential predictors of DFS. The DFS nomogram included ypTNM and nerve aggression. Pathologic TNM (ypTNM) has been considered a good prognostic factor in many studies. Utilizing ypTNM, our study also confirmed that ypTNM is a strong predictor for DFS^[36-38]. Nerve aggression was also an important predictive factor in our study. A higher ypTNM or presence of nerve aggression corresponds to a shorter survival time.

There are limitations to our study. The data included here were all from a single network of tumor hospitals, thus lacking representation of the general population. Additionally, our research in the field of molecular target design is poorly established. In conclusion, recurrence, cancer nodules, yN, positive lymph node status, BMI, matchmouth distance from the edge, distance from the margin after NT and postoperative CEA were prognostic factors for OS, and ypTNM and nerve aggression

were prognostic value for DFS. We created and validated nomograms and prediction models that can objectively and accurately predict OS and DFS in LARC patients.

CONCLUSION

We established accurate nomograms and prediction models for predicting OS and DFS in patients with LARC after undergoing NT.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Neoadjuvant therapy (NT) has been increasingly used as the standard treatment for clinical stage II/III rectal cancer. Risk factors after administration of neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) is still under debate.

2

Research motivation

There is a lack of consensus concerning the Risk factors after administration of neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. Nomograms and risk prediction models for survival can help clinicians to adopt therapy according to patient's individual risk.

2

Research objectives

The main aim of this study was to explore the prognostic factors and establish effective prognostic nomograms and risk score prediction models to predict OS and DFS for LARC treated with NT.

Research methods

Nomograms and risk factor score prediction models were based on patients who received NT. LASSO regression was utilized to screen for prognostic risk factors, which were validated by the Cox regression. ROC curves, C-index and calibration curves were

performed to evaluate the prediction models and nomograms.

Research results

Seven features: Vascular_tumors_bolt, cancer nodules, yN, body mass index (BMI), matchmouth distance from the edge, nerve aggression and postoperative carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) were significantly associated with OS. The nomogram for predicting DFS included ypTNM and nerve aggression. The primary and validate cohort show good predictive value. The prediction model for OS and DFS had good predictive value.

Research conclusions

We established accurate nomograms and prediction models for predicting OS and DFS in patients with LARC after undergoing NT.

2

Research perspectives

Larger prospective multicenter clinical studies need to be performed to validate the nomograms and risk score prediction models of OS and DFS.

18%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Shi-Wen Mei, Zheng Liu, Fang-Ze Wei, Jia-Nan Chen et al. "Impact of interval between neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and surgery in rectal cancer patients", *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2020
46 words — 1%
Crossref
- 2** www.wjgnet.com
Internet
46 words — 1%
- 3** www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Internet
44 words — 1%
- 4** Jukun Wang, Chao Zhang, Ang Li, Feng Cao, Dongbin Liu, Fei Li, Tao Luo. "A Prognostic Nomogram Based on Immune Scores Predicts Postoperative Survival for Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma", *BioMed Research International*, 2020
39 words — 1%
Crossref
- 5** www.nornesk.no
Internet
39 words — 1%
- 6** www.researchsquare.com
Internet
34 words — 1%
- 7** Weifan Zhang, Xinhui Zhao, Zhao Liu, Hui Dang, Lei Meng, Peng Xia. "Short-term and long-term outcomes of robotic, laparoscopic and open radical gastrectomy in gastric cancer: A single-center retrospective study", *Research Square*, 2019
26 words — 1%
Crossref Posted Content
- 8** www.hindawi.com

25 words — 1%

9 Rui Zhang, Chen Chen, Qi Li, Jialu Fu, Dong Zhang, Wenzhi Li, Zhimin Geng. "Identification and Validation of a Predictive Immune-Related Genes Signature for the Prognosis of Cholangiocarcinoma", Research Square, 2020

Crossref Posted Content

25 words — 1%

10 "Society of Surgical Oncology SSO 2020 – International Conference on Surgical Cancer Care", Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020

Crossref

25 words — 1%

11 "Breast Disease", Springer Nature, 2016

Crossref

24 words — 1%

12 Jackson, Michael L., Rod Walker, Sei Lee, Eric Larson, and Sascha Dublin. "Predicting 2-Year Risk of Developing Pneumonia in Older Adults without Dementia", Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2016.

Crossref

22 words — 1%

13 ascopubs.org

Internet

20 words — 1%

14 Jie You, Wen-Yue Liu, Gui-Qi Zhu, Ou-Chen Wang et al. "Metabolic syndrome contributes to an increased recurrence risk of non-metastatic colorectal cancer", Oncotarget, 2015

Crossref

18 words — < 1%

15 Wentai Guo, Zifeng Yang, Yingqi Wei, Xiusen Qin, Chuangkun Li, Rongkang Huang, Minhui Hu, Zhantao Zeng, Huaiming Wang, Hui Wang. "Radical excision versus local resection for primary rectal gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Cohort Study", International Journal of Surgery, 2020

Crossref

16 words — < 1%

16 Hong Yang, Zhendan Yao, Ming Cui, Jiadi Xing, Chenghai Zhang, Nan Zhang, Maoxing Liu, Kai Xu,

15 words — < 1%

Fei Tan, Xiangqian Su. "Influence of Tumor Location on Short- and Long-Term Outcomes After Laparoscopic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: A Propensity Score Matched Cohort Study", Research Square, 2020

Crossref, Crossref Posted Content

17 oncolpro.esmo.org 14 words — < 1%
Internet

18 Shengying Jiang, Xiaoli Xie, Hui-Qing Jiang. "A novel seven-microRNA signature predicts prognosis in colorectal adenocarcinoma", Research Square, 2020 13 words — < 1%
Crossref, Crossref Posted Content

19 journal.publications.chestnet.org 13 words — < 1%
Internet

20 Xin Gao, Jinlian Yang, Yinyi Chen. "Identification of a four immune-related genes signature based on an immunogenomic landscape analysis of clear cell renal cell carcinoma", Journal of Cellular Physiology, 2020 13 words — < 1%
Crossref

21 www.spandidos-publications.com 10 words — < 1%
Internet

22 Liang Zhao, Jiayue Zhang, Shurui Xuan, Zhiyuan Liu, Yu Wang, Peng Zhao. "Molecular and clinicopathological characterization of a prognostic immune gene signature associated with MGMT methylation in glioblastoma", Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2020 10 words — < 1%
Crossref Posted Content

23 Jian-Dong Diao, Chun-Jiao Wu, Hong-Xia Cui, Ming-Wei Bu, Dan Yue, Xue Wang, Yan-Ling Liu, Yong-Jing Yang. "Nomogram predicting overall survival of rectal squamous cell carcinomas patients based on the SEER database", Medicine, 2019 10 words — < 1%
Crossref

24 "AASLD Abstracts", Hepatology, 2012.
Crossref

10 words — < 1%

25 jcancer.org
Internet

10 words — < 1%

26 Mengdi Chen, Jiayi Wu, Deyue Liu, Weilin Chen, Caijin Lin, Lisa Andriani, Shuning Ding, Kunwei Shen, Li Zhu. "A Novel Prognostic Nomogram for 2-Year Survival in HER2-Positive Breast Cancer Patients", Research Square, 2020
Crossref Posted Content

9 words — < 1%

27 www.dovepress.com
Internet

9 words — < 1%

28 Yeye Chen, Lei Liu, Cheng Huang, Zhenhuan Tian, Hongsheng Liu, Shanqing LI. "Clinical Outcomes and Prognosis of Small-Cell Lung Cancer(SCLC) Patients After Surgery: a Single-Centre Retrospective Cohort Study from Peking Union Medical College Hospital", Research Square, 2020
Crossref Posted Content

9 words — < 1%

29 www.jove.com
Internet

9 words — < 1%

30 librarysearch.aut.ac.nz
Internet

9 words — < 1%

31 www.mdpi.com
Internet

9 words — < 1%

32 Rolf Sauer. "Preoperative versus Postoperative Chemoradiotherapy for Rectal Cancer", New England Journal of Medicine, 10/21/2004
Crossref

8 words — < 1%

33 Yiyi Zhang, Meifang Xu, Yong Wu, Shoufeng Li, Xing Liu, Guoxian Guan. "Worse treatment response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in young patients with locally advanced rectal cancer", Research Square, 2020
Crossref Posted Content

8 words — < 1%

34 Bengt Glimelius, Henrik Grönberg, Johannes Järhult, Arne Wallgren, Eva Cavallin-ståhl. "A Systematic Overview of Radiation Therapy Effects in Rectal Cancer", Acta Oncologica, 2009
Crossref 8 words — < 1%

35 worldwidescience.org
Internet 8 words — < 1%

36 "Abstracts", Hepatology International, 2020
Crossref 7 words — < 1%

37 Jia-Nan Chen, Zheng Liu, Zhi-Jie Wang, Fu-Qiang Zhao et al. "Low ligation has a lower anastomotic leakage rate after rectal cancer surgery", World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, 2020
Crossref 6 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF