



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 63084

Title: Current status of treatments of pancreatic and peripancreatic collections of acute pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 05524075

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-10 08:18

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-24 13:08

Review time: 14 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author must describe about adaptation and previous evaluation of EGF.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 63084

Title: Current status of treatments of pancreatic and peripancreatic collections of acute pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 02440467

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Adjunct Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-26 12:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-06 12:33

Review time: 10 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is an excellent review of pancreatic and peripancreatic fluid collections in pancreatitis. Despite the relative extensiveness in reviewing the most critical issues, there are some missing subjects that Authors can outline for completeness. The Authors state “invasive intervention is rarely needed in the treatment of PPC of acute pancreatitis, only persistent symptomatic, continuously size-increasing, or complicated PPC should be considered as indications”. In my opinion, in this sentence, it should also be stressed that surgery should be the preferred choice in patients with clinical suspicion of a cystic tumour of the pancreas. “followed by minimally invasive necrosectomy is the current standard treatment if there are indications” maybe you can better specify “by retroperitoneal approach (VARD) videoscopic assisted retroperitoneal debridement. Please specify better the role if any of pancreatic duct stent placement in association to pancreatic duct in pancreatic fluid collections in the attempt to promote the pancreatic anterograde flow. There is no mention of the possible role of interventional radiology in major bleeding complications in patients with WON. In the paragraph “Timing for minimally invasive intervention”, please repeat the very important concept that acute abdominal compartment syndrome is a strong indication to minimally invasive surgery (VARD) The Authors mention the step up approach in relation to the PANTER study. Please specify with accuracy what is the step un approach (minimally invasive step up approach, the clinical setting, surgical or endoscopic , with the reference if possible to the algorithm first described by Hjalmar C. van Santvoor, NEJM N Engl J Med 2010; 362:1491-1502. Please provide an algorithm that can be suggested as the best possible tretment of pancreatic and peripancreatic fluid collections in accord with your review. Some small typos such as “ too asthenia” too astenic.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 63084

Title: Current status of treatments of pancreatic and peripancreatic collections of acute pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 02440467

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Adjunct Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-08 09:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-08 21:59

Review time: 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Dear Editor, I have quickly reviewed the article "Current status of treatments of pancreatic and peripancreatic collections of acute pancreatitis". In my opinion the Authors have satisfactorily pointed out and answered all the questions and critical points underlined by the reviewers. I have no further comments and I consider this article a nice, and well written minireview. Please check a few typos such as: those cystic lesions should be "intervened" (removed? treated') by a surgical or endoscopic approach.