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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I agree with the authors that there is a problem of primary diagnosis of blunt trauma of the lungs and especially penetrating injuries of the bronchus. I will support the authors and recommend the publication.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Overall, well written retrospective study on bronchial trauma through blunt and penetrating injury. While the study is of sound nature, significant improvement in Grammar is recommended throughout the manuscript. Please mention the institutional board review (IRB) that approved the study. Recommend changing title to: "Evaluating management and outcome of bronchial trauma due to blunt versus penetrating Injuries" as it would provide the necessary information based on what the study tries to accomplish. The conclusion of the abstract and the manuscript need to be re-worded as it has to highlight the key differences identified in the study between blunt and penetrating injury.
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