PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70307

Title: The Test of Incremental Respiratory Endurance as home-based, stand-alone therapy in COPD: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05419820

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: Czech Republic

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-25 13:29

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-25 15:35

Review time: 2 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>[ Y] Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade C: Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Fair</td>
<td>[ ] Grade E: Do not publish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing</th>
<th>[ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Rejection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>[ ] Accept (High priority)</th>
<th>[ Y] Accept (General priority)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Minor revision</td>
<td>[ ] Major revision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Re-review          | [ ] Yes                     | [ Y] No                           |


SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The paper titled “The Test of Incremental Respiratory Endurance as home-based, stand-alone therapy in COPD: A case report” is interesting. The authors conclude that respiratory telerehabilitation was of great benefit in a cooperative patient with COPD and may represent an alternative therapeutic approach to increasing all lung diseases. 1) There are still some weak points in this paper. It is suggested that the author increase the CT imaging of the patient. This is more conducive to a comprehensive display of the patient's condition. 2) This study needs to add a table to visually display the changes of respiratory parameters before and after the test of increase respiratory endurance.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
With the popularity of smart phones and the emergence of mobile medical APPs, telerehabilitation has come into being. Telerehabilitation offers a new form of pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with stable COPD, which can facilitate disease recovery and enhance self-management. However, this study has been conducted in many countries and routinely in some cities, and some relevant meta-analyses are available on pubmed. It is clear that the article lacks sufficient novelty. In addition, a case report should describe how rare or unusual the case is and its educational and scientific value. Taken together, the article is not recommended for publication in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.