

76264\_Auto\_Edited.docx

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 76264

**Manuscript Type:** REVIEW

**Nanomedicine approaches for the treatment of hematologic and oncologic malignancies**

Nanomedicine in oncology

Polyxeni Nteli, Danae Efremia Bajwa, Dimitrios Politakis, Charalampos Michalopoulos, Anastasia Kefala-Narin, EP Efstathopoulos, Maria Gazouli

## Abstract

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide. Nowadays, the presented therapies are inadequate and spur demand for improved technologies. Rapid growth in nanotechnology and novel nanomedicine products represent an opportunity to achieve sophisticated targeting strategies and multi-functionality. Nanomedicine is increasingly used to develop new cancer diagnosis and treatment methods since this technology can modulate the biodistribution and the target site accumulation of chemotherapeutic drugs, thereby reducing their toxicity. Cancer nanotechnology and cancer immunotherapy are two parallel themes that have emerged over the last few decades while searching for a cure for cancer. Immunotherapy is revolutionizing cancer treatment, as it can achieve unprecedented responses in advanced-stage patients, including complete cures and long-term survival. A deeper understanding of the human immune system allows the establishment of combination regimens in which immunotherapy is combined with other treatment modalities (as in the case of the nanodrug Ferumoxytol). Furthermore, the combination of gene therapy approaches with nanotechnology aims to silence or express cancer-relevant genes *via* one-time treatment is gradually progressing from bench to bedside. The most common example includes lipid-based nanoparticles that target VEGF-A and KRAS pathways. This review focuses on nanoparticle-based platforms utilized in recent advances aiming to increase the efficacy of currently available cancer therapies. The insights provided and the evidence obtained in this paper indicate a bright future ahead for engineering nanomedicines immuno-oncology applications.

**Key Words:** Nanomedicine; Cancer; Immunotherapy; Gene & cell therapy

Nteli P, Bajwa DE, Politakis D, Michalopoulos C, Kefala-Narin A, Efstathopoulos E, Gazouli M. Nanomedicine approaches for the treatment of hematologic and oncologic malignancies. *World J Clin Oncol* 2022; In press

**Core Tip:** Despite many years of fundamental and clinical examination and preliminaries of promising new treatments, cancer stays a significant reason for dreariness and mortality. Ongoing investigations propose that nanomedicine gives benefits over conventional treatments for cancer therapy. Immunotherapeutic strategies, such as cancer vaccines, immunomodulatory agents, immune checkpoint inhibitors, natural killer cells, peptides, nucleic acid, CAR T-cells have augmented the development of this treatment either by stimulating cells or blocking the so-called immune checkpoint pathways. The efficacy of nanomedicine treatments and the examination of the advancement in the synergistic plan of immune-targeting combination therapies reviewed in this manuscript have been validated in clinical trials. The field of nanomedicine, therefore, generates new approaches regarding oncologic malignancies.

## INTRODUCTION

**Cancer** refers to a diverse group of more than 100 different diseases that exhibit a highly complex and multifactorial profile and together serve as <sup>18</sup>one of the leading causes of death worldwide, accounting for nearly 10 million deaths in 2020 alone<sup>1</sup>. The global prevalence of cancer was estimated to rise from 17 million cases annually in 2018 to 27.5 million in 2040<sup>2</sup>. Although the etiology of each type is hugely varying and the clinical manifestations quite heterogenous, aberrated cellular and tissue regulation are among the trademarks of cancer<sup>3</sup>. Fundamentally, each type results in the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations that dysregulate cell cycle and promote abnormal cell growth<sup>4</sup>.

Collectively, these alterations impair cellular control mechanisms and the responsible regulatory signaling pathways and drive the transformation of normal cells into malignant cells. The malignant cells acquire new biological abilities, referred to as the hallmarks of cancer<sup>5</sup>, a set of distinct features including sustained proliferation, evasion of growth suppression and cell death, altered response to metabolic and stress cues, vascularization, invasion and metastasis, and immune modulation. Various genes have

been implicated in carcinogenesis, from activated oncogenes and anti-apoptotic genes to inactivated tumor suppressor genes<sup>6,7</sup>. Large-scale genomic analysis and functional studies have facilitated the identification of distinct mutations in different tumor types, allowing the development of valuable diagnostic biomarkers<sup>8</sup> and the stratification of patients towards more personalized therapeutic approaches<sup>9</sup>.

The field of **oncology** focuses on the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of cancer while implementing various strategies and tools for clinical application. Conventional **cancer therapeutics**, including chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and surgery, are widely accepted and used; however, they suffer due to the lack of tumor-specific targeting, dosing, pharmacokinetic limitations, and severe complications<sup>10</sup>. Concurrently, a tremendous body of research work has been generated over the last decades to advance on the one hand, the understanding of the complicated events governing tumorigenesis<sup>11</sup> and, on the other hand, to develop early diagnostic and efficient therapeutic approaches<sup>12</sup>. Towards that goal, a promising branch of biomedicine, nanomedicine aims to boost this current cancer management strategies. Nanomedicine can be defined as the use of nanomaterials (materials with at least one dimension ranging from 1-100nm) for the prevention, diagnosis and ultimately treatment of diseases<sup>13</sup>.

**Nanomedicine, the application of nanotechnology in medical practice**, aims to bridge the gap between different scientific principles such as physics, chemistry, pharmaceuticals, and biology to harness nanotechnology's knowledge and tools with the goal of serving medicine. The unique phenomena that govern the nanoscale enable novel medical applications and are responsible for the exceptional properties that make **nanomaterials** excellent candidates for therapeutic applications<sup>14</sup>. Despite their minuscule size, **nanoparticles** hold great potential as **drug delivery systems for cancer treatment**, and tremendous research has taken place in the last decades to bring this technology **from bench to bedside**<sup>15</sup>.

Nanoparticles as drug carriers have proven to be an effective tool in the fight against cancer<sup>16</sup>. The improved selectivity afforded by these nanocarriers resulted in a

significant increase in the efficacy of the carried medicine, while side effects in the host were minimized. It is also feasible to include targeting moieties specific for cell organelles, which boosts the efficacy of the transported medicines even more<sup>17</sup>. Nanoscale platforms come in various sizes, geometries, materials, and targeting moieties, allowing them to target organs, tissues, and individual cells<sup>18</sup>. Because of their distinct benefits, nanomedicines have emerged as a viable alternative to viral vectors, including low toxicity and immunogenicity, sustained and controlled release features, scale-up capacity and low-cost manufacturing<sup>17</sup>.

This review thus focuses on nanoparticle-based platforms utilized in recent advances aimed to increase the efficacy of currently available cancer therapies.

## **TYPES OF CANCER NANOMEDICINES**

### **Immunomodulatory agents**

1 Recently, some of the alternate approaches to treat cancer are based on immunomodulation which employs the host's own natural defense mechanisms to recognize and selectively eliminate the cancer cells by inducing the immune system<sup>19</sup>. Nanomaterial-mediated (NM) immunomodulation can be achieved either directly or indirectly<sup>20</sup>. To the first group belong nanomaterials that act as vaccine adjuvants, as several systems have been reported to improve antigenicity of conjugated weak antigens, while engineered nanosystems have also shown inherent antigenic properties<sup>21</sup>. 1 Recent studies have highlighted the inherent tendency of liposomes to interact extensively with the immune system leading to several immunomodulatory effects, concerning tumor growth<sup>22</sup>. More specifically, circulating proteins are rapidly integrated to the surface of liposomes, forming a protein corona which can function as the interface for biological interactions<sup>22</sup> and contributes to the formation of immune complexes, immunogenic epitope generation from self-antigens, ultimately resulting in the activation or suppression of immune responses. Moreover, increasing evidence is emerging that indicate the functional ability of nanoparticles to polarize macrophages<sup>23</sup>. On the other hand, the multicomponent cargo capacity of delivering



immunomodulatory agents (IMAs) in a targeted manner enables their function as delivery platforms that bolster the immune response.

Nanomaterials used in the combating of the immune evasion strategies of cancer operate in three different approaches that include the immunogenic targeting of cancer cells, the reshaping of the tumor's immune microenvironment and the stimulation of the peripheral immune network<sup>24</sup>.

When targeting cancer cells, nanomedicines typically aim to induce immunogenic cell death (ICD), thereby triggering an immunogenic cascade that leads to an antigen-specific immune response against a broad spectrum of solid tumors. It is now established that ablative cancer treatments, such as radiotherapy (RT), photodynamic therapy (PDT), hyperthermia (HT) and photothermal therapy (PTT), as well as certain chemotherapeutics can cause tumor cell death<sup>11</sup>.

In the context of tumor immune microenvironment (TIME), nanomaterials can be used to modulate the immunosuppressive TME by targeting tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), regulatory T cells (Treg cells), regulatory B cells (Breg cells), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), as well as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). Several NP-based strategies that target TAMs for suppressing tumor progression include TAMs depletion, inhibiting monocyte recruitment and TAM reprogramming<sup>25</sup>. Recent studies showed that the utilization of dendrimer NPs carrying the chemotherapeutic methotrexate that specifically recognize the folate receptor-2 (FOLR2), which is overexpressed in TAMs, increases therapeutic efficacy by depleting TAMs<sup>25</sup>. Considering monocyte recruitment, it is reported that AgNPs have an adjuvant effect inducing recruitment and activation of local macrophages<sup>26</sup>. As for the reprogramming of macrophages, there have been attempts for creating an albumin-derived nanopatform that delivers both the disulfiram/copper complex and macrophage modulator regorafenib for reprogramming macrophage<sup>27</sup>. In the context of down-regulating Treg cells, a common strategy is the use of checkpoint blockade antibodies (anti-CTLA-4)<sup>25</sup>. A modulating strategy for abnormal MDSCs differentiation has been introduced, using lipid-coated biodegradable hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles<sup>28</sup>.

in order to induce differentiation of MDSCs to mature DCs, macrophages, and granulocytes.

Nanomedicines can furthermore be applied in cancer vaccination, as to target the peripheral immune system<sup>29</sup>. This application's grounds are based on the notions that intradermally or subcutaneously injected nanoparticles drain to LNs and that antigens bound to a nanoparticle are more efficiently processed by APCs. Instead of triggering APCs to present antigens to naive T cells, nanomedicines have also been designed to replace APCs by directly generating cytotoxic T cells<sup>29</sup>.

During the last decade, NP- based immunotherapy formulations have passed from the pre-clinical stage in the clinical trials and several new treatments have been approved. Ferumoxytol is a nanoparticle formulation that contains iron oxide cores that are coated with carboxymethyl-dextran. It enhances the production of ROS by macrophages *via* the Fenton reaction, as M1 macrophage release hydrogen peroxides<sup>30</sup>. As an outcome, cancer cell cytotoxicity is enhanced while continued M1 polarization triggered by apoptotic cancer cells creates an autocrine feedback loop that maintains the production of tumor necrosis factor (TNF $\alpha$ ). Because ferumoxytol is FDA-approved, the drug is accessible for cancer patients through 'off label' use<sup>30</sup>. The only cancer vaccines currently in routine clinical use are the Sipuleucel-T and the Talimogene laherparepvec (T-VEC). The FDA-approved nanomedicine for the treatment of prostate cancer is sipuleucel-T which is a personalized vaccine encompassing patients' ex-vivo processed dendritic cells that express a key tumor antigen, prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP)<sup>31</sup>. T-VEC is an engineered oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) in which the neurovirulence factor ICP34.5 is replaced by the coding sequence for GM-CSF and acts as a single agent in patients with skin and soft tissue metastases<sup>32</sup>. GM-CSF functions to recruit antigen presenting cells to the tumor microenvironment and promote cytotoxic T-cell responses to tumor associated antigens.

### **Immune checkpoint inhibitors**



The last decade cancer immunotherapies have changed the perspective of cancer treatment. The basic immunotherapy options approved are the Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors (ICIs).

The (ICIs) have stirred up the field of tumour therapy and now considered first-line therapies for various solid and liquid tumours. In 2011 with the approval of anticytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (anti-CTLA-4) for advanced stage melanoma, opened up a new field of exploration that led to the 2018 Nobel Prize in Medicine to James P. Allison and Tasuku Honjo for inhibiting negative immune regulation in cancer<sup>33</sup>.

Cancer immunotherapies are defined as therapies that directly or indirectly target any component of the immune system that is involved in the anti-cancer immune response, including the stimulation, enhancement, suppression or desensitization of the immune system. These therapies are composed of monoclonal antibodies targetting the CTLA-4 and PD-1 receptors and the PD-1 Ligand PD-L1, which are involved in the regulation of T cells. As shown in Table 1, there is a plethora of ICIs are approved for the treatment of various cancer.

As a general concept, T cell activation needs two signals:

antigen recognition by the T cell receptor (TCR) following antigen presentation by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules on the surface of antigen-presenting cells

signal modulation by CD80 or CD86 binding to the CD28 receptor<sup>34</sup>.

CTLA-4 is found on the T cell surface competing with the CD28 receptor to bind CD80 or CD86, thereby blocking T cell activation. Furthermore, CTLA-4 inhibitors block CTLA-4-CD80 or CTLA-4-CD86 binding to facilitate T cell activation (Figure 1a - dashed line). In Figure 1b we see a PD-1 as a surface receptor that is expressed by T cells and promotes apoptosis of antigen-specific T cells and reduces apoptosis of regulatory T cells<sup>35,36</sup> through its interaction with its ligand, PD-L1, which is expressed by tumour cells and myeloid cells. This interaction is useful in preventing autoimmunity in physiological conditions, but cancer cells exploit this process to escape from immune

system activity upregulating PD-L1 expression<sup>37,38</sup>. PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors disrupt the PD-1–PD-L1 interaction, facilitating T cell activation and survival (dashed lines).

The use of ICIs for cancer therapy is increasing; however, only a minority of patients treated with ICIs achieve a durable response. A portion of patients that receive ICIs do not respond to treatment, while others respond initially but ultimately acquire resistance. Primary and acquired resistance are the effect of constantly changing interactions among cancer cells and the immune system. Even between patients with melanoma, which has one of the highest rates of response to ICI, 60–70% of patients do not experience an objective response to anti-PD-1 therapy<sup>39,40</sup>. Moreover, 20–30% among patients demonstrate eventual tumour relapse and progression. A key challenge that has emerged with the progressive implementation of ICIs in clinical practice is their uncontrolled collateral effects on the immune system that can lead to so-called immune-related adverse events (irAEs).

ICIs have a different spectrum of toxicities<sup>41</sup> than standard chemotherapy or other biological agents, and most toxicities result from excessive immunity against normal organs. All the primary and secondary (acquired) resistance are a result of complex and constantly evolving interactions between cancer cells and the immune system. The most frequently noted irAEs involve inflammation of gastrointestinal, dermatologic, endocrine or pulmonary organs. Several clinical trials for ICIs include adjuvant and neo-adjuvant therapies are still in progress.

The role of nanomedicine in ICIs is to ensure an increased therapeutic outcome by using specific nanocarriers. Several formulations are currently investigated in both pre-clinical and clinical studies<sup>42</sup>. Starting from the preclinical studies, at least 12 different nanocarriers are being investigated. These include gold nanostars<sup>43,44</sup> that are being tested for the PD-L1 blockage, PLGA<sup>45</sup> combined with anti-CTLA4 and incorporation of anti-PD-1 and anti-TIM-3 with liposomes<sup>46</sup>. All these preclinical studies are held in mice models for bladder, breast (4T1 cells) and colon cancer.

An important number of clinical trials is also being performed. These mainly include Nanoparticle Albumin Bound (Nab) formulations that are combine ICIs with standard

chemotherapeutics (as in the case of paclitaxel and carboplatin in Pembrolizumab, Atezolizumab and Nivolumab formulations). Non-Nab strategies in nanoparticle-based immunotherapy include the radiosensitizer molecule NBTXR3<sup>42</sup>.

Most of these studies will require a considerable amount of time to be completed and for the efficacy of these nanoformulation to be investigated. The poor lymphatic drainage of tumours (part of a phenomenon known as the EPR effect) could, theoretically offer an advantage of nanoformulations over conventional ones although due to its complicated nature of EPR, this needs to be validated in these studies<sup>47,48</sup>. At the same time, further considerations are constantly being applied for future applications as the concept of smart nanoplatforms that will be triggered only upon an external stimulus<sup>49-51</sup>.

### Triggers of NK cells

Cancer immunotherapy is considered to eliminate primary, as well as metastatic tumors and it is shown to develop immunological memory. It is important to say that nanomedicine can deliver a vast number of immunological agents to the targeted site (i.e., tumor)<sup>52</sup>. <sup>2</sup> Nanomedicines have been explored thoroughly for tumor-targeted drug delivery and reducing the side effects of chemotherapeutic drugs. Tumor targeting is mainly mediated by passive targeting and/or active targeting and has been evaluated based on the average targeting efficiency and clinical impact. There are <sup>2</sup> three targeting strategies to boost cancer immunotherapy, including targeting and killing <sup>2</sup> cancer cells to induce specific forms of immune-activating cell death (ICD), targeting tumor's immune microenvironment (TIME), and targeting the peripheral immune system<sup>53</sup>.

Natural killer (NK) cells, part of the innate immune system, have been identified as the next-generation therapy for cancer. These cells are lymphocytes with antitumor and antiviral abilities that have several applications. <sup>19</sup> NK cells have memory-like and memory responses after cytokine preactivation, viral infections, and hapten exposure, in addition to being classified as innate lymphoid cells (ILCs)<sup>54</sup>. They have various mechanisms for directly killing cancer cells and enhancing the immune system's ability to fight cancer. Over the last 40 years, NK cell immunotherapy has demonstrated

encouraging effects in both preclinical and clinical studies. These cells have been used for years and have been approved by the FDA. The NK-92 cell line (CD56+/CD3-), was isolated from a patient with lymphoma, has expected high cytotoxic movement and can be extended under acceptable assembling practice conditions in recombinant Interleukin-2<sup>55</sup>.

Many nanoparticles have been discovered to be immunotherapy carriers, delivering antitumor immunotherapeutics specifically to tumor cells. These NPs could provide stability, increase solubility, and cause less toxicity to healthy cells. NPs have the potential to deliver immunotherapeutics directly to cancer sites, which can be explained by their increased duration in the bloodstream without altering the body's physiochemical properties. The lymphoid node secures the NPs prior to their drug conveyance priority and the elimination of toxic waste products. When NPs-immunotherapy is used passively to target cancer, there is a significant reduction in cellular cytotoxicity and a favorable outcome. Thus, to achieve an effective outcome, the delivery system must be modified so that the immunotherapeutic carrier enters the intracellular space before accomplishing the immunotherapy. There are several types of NP, which are classified based on their size, morphology, physical, and chemical properties<sup>56</sup>. Nanotechnology, specifically nanoparticles as drug delivery systems (DDSs) eases targeted medicines and theragnostic. Most nanomedicines include a targeting element, some do not, yet<sup>57</sup>.

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs),  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , were modified with *meso*-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA), as the affinity of the electron-rich carboxyl group was higher and the orbital in the Fe atom was empty. After obtaining CD56 antibody-modified  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs aided by a shorter co-culture period it improved NK-92 cell recruitment and infiltration into solid tumors in the presence of a magnetic field. Biohybrid treatment with NK-CD56 NP effectively suppressed tumor growth and significantly prolonged the survival of cancer-bearing mice. Finally, by synergizing immune cells with a directional magnetic field that promotes infiltration into solid tumor tissue under MRI control, antitumor efficiency is significantly improved.



Magnetic NPs and NK cells, they can be utilized for various biomedical applications, as they have proved to possess flexible characteristics to operate in biomedicine<sup>58</sup>.

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were coated with PEG and D-( $\beta$ )-glucosamine, as glucose coating increases the cellular uptake of the NPs. The K562 human erythroleukemia cell line (positive target) and the 888 human melanoma cell line (negative control) were co-cultured with AuNP-labeled NK-92 cells. The results indicate that AuNP-labeled NK-92 cells can specifically identify target cells and keep their cytokine secretion and antitumor function. In addition, gold nanoparticles do not undermine the therapeutic effect of NK-92 cells *in vivo*. AuNPs could assist NK cells achieve their aim, the regression of the tumor; therefore, this combinatorial therapy of cancer will reduce, or even end the dosage of radiation<sup>59</sup>.

#### **CART-cell based treatments**

Worldwide, approximately the 97% of active clinical trials are CAR T-cell-based therapies (Chimeric Antigen Receptor). Nanoparticles can engineer NK cells to produce CAR-NK therapy by targeting several ligands, such as antibodies to NPs and accomplish successful targeted delivery<sup>60</sup>. Axicabtagene ciloleucel (refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma) and tisagenlecleucel (B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia) were two CAR T-cell therapies that cure blood cancer approved by FDA<sup>61</sup>. Functional antitumor immune response has been shown by adoptive cell transfer (ACT) studies, such as CAR T-cell therapy, the NK cells in the presence of tumor specific T-cells of related tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) effect the isolated T-cells by recognizing neoantigens patterns<sup>62</sup>.

#### **Peptides**

Peptides are a powerful tool in cancer diagnosis and treatment with many advantages and numerous ways to alter their function and use them in oncology. They present with excellent biocompatibility (degradation products are amino acids, which are a natural source of cells). They can be formulated and introduce them with all kinds of modifications, by using the process of self-assembly, we can improve the stability of a peptide sequence and we can create the conditions for better targeting of the diseased

organ. Their big advantage depends on their small size and better tissue/cell penetration<sup>63,64</sup>. To minimize the nonselective side effects of chemotherapy a specific peptide sequence or motif can be used. Nanoparticles-based on peptides, can be used to target cancer cells, to minimize systemic drug exposure and increase efficiency of the drug that is to be delivered<sup>63</sup>.

Some examples of therapeutic peptides in clinical use nowadays are GnRH agonists for the treatment of prostate and breast cancer (e.g. Buserelin, Nafarelin), GnRH antagonists for the treatment of prostate and breast cancer (e.g. Cetrorelix, Abarelix), Somatostatin agonists for the treatment and diagnosis of GH-producing tumors (e.g. Ocreotide, Lanreotide). In the future, many more peptides will take part in the treatment process against oncology. Those are Chlorotoxin and its analogue TM601 (Phase I, II, III clinical trials for diagnosis of glioma), BT1718(Phase I, II for treatment of solid tumors), P28(Phase I, for treatment of various solid tumors)<sup>64</sup>.

Drug conjugates is a modern method of using peptides as a tool for drug delivery. They are chemotherapeutic or cytotoxic agents linked to an antibody or a peptide *via* a linker. They provide enhanced function, higher circulation time and lower off-target toxicity (to healthy tissues)<sup>65</sup>. An example is the conjugation of paclitaxel to a peptide (Angiopep-2) by an ester/amide bond. Angiopep-2, goes into the cell *via* transcytosis and crosses the blood-brain barrier, thus facilitates the uptake of the conjugate into the brain for the treatment of patients with solid tumors and brain metastases. The esterase enzyme which is present in lysosomes, breaks down the ester bond thus releasing paclitaxel in the brain. In this way ANG 1005 overcomes the main disadvantage of paclitaxel and gains access into the blood-brain barrier. ANG1005 has been studied in several clinical trials (phase I and phase II) in patients with metastatic brain cancers and the results have shown that it works well against CNS tumors, improves symptoms and increases survival<sup>66</sup>.

Peptide self-assembly is a process in which peptides spontaneously or by a trigger form aggregates. In that form the transport mechanism provides a higher efficiency of drug loading with better molecule stability and a simultaneous lower ratio of drug loss<sup>67</sup>. The



method uses <sup>1</sup> monomers of short amino acid sequences or repeated amino acid sequences that assemble together to form nanostructures. The nanostructure can be made by various building blocks such as dipeptides (the simplest form), surfactant-like peptides, cyclic peptides etc<sup>68</sup>. The resultant nanostructure can take the form of nanofibers, nanotubes, micelles, hydrogels <sup>69-71</sup>.

<sup>20</sup> Self-assembly of peptides is divided in spontaneous and trigger type. If the assembly happens in an aqueous solution is called spontaneous. The peptide molecules, that are dissolved in the aqueous solution form <sup>4</sup> non-covalent interactions, such as hydrogen bonding bonds, van der Waals forces, electrostatic, and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions<sup>69</sup>. If the process of assembly is driven by external factors and does not happen spontaneously, such as temperature, ion concentration, pH changes, is called trigger aggregation. The above-mentioned nanostructure can be used for drug delivery, drug stabilization, crossing the blood-brain barrier, neuronal or liver cell regeneration, fibroblast migration etc<sup>69</sup>.

### Nucleic acids

Albeit their central role in governing cell physiology, nucleic acids had not been considered as possible drug candidates until relatively recently when successful protein production was demonstrated upon *in vivo* administration<sup>70</sup>. Since then, a novel class of drugs, referred to as nucleic acid therapeutics, has emerged<sup>71</sup>. Conventional therapeutics generally exhibit a transient effect and exert their action *via* protein targeting. This action mode poses significant disadvantages as only a fraction of human proteins can be targeted by pharmaceutical compounds<sup>72</sup>. Combined with the limitations of conventional therapeutics in oncology discussed above, gene therapy offers a promising approach as a one-time treatment targeting the route of the disease – genetics – while contributing to long-standing therapeutic outcomes with high specificity<sup>73</sup>. Developments in nucleic acid design and chemical modifications have assisted in overcoming stability, toxicity, and immunogenicity issues<sup>74-76</sup>, and by further harnessing the power of nanomaterials, nucleic acid therapeutics can be loaded into

nanocarriers to formulate advanced drug delivery systems (DDS) with enhanced pharmacokinetic properties<sup>77</sup>.

Due to the arduous nature of the causation and phenotype of cancer, it is evident that nucleic acid-based therapeutics must implement a plethora of strategies *via* different modes of action to target relevant genes and their products in cancer cells or stimulate an immune response against them<sup>78</sup>. Table 2 summarizes the current status of nucleic acid nanomedicines available for cancer treatment. The first strategy implemented in oncology utilizes antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) to target disease-relevant mRNAs and inhibit their translation. ASOs are synthetic oligonucleotides complementary to a gene of interest that bind on the pre-mRNA or mRNA of the target gene, hindering cellular post-transcriptional and translational machinery and eventually leading to altered splicing patterns or gene silencing, respectively<sup>79</sup>. Lipid nanoparticle (LNP)-based ASOs are under clinical evaluation to treat leukemia<sup>78,81</sup> and solid tumors<sup>81</sup> *via* targeting Grb2. Furthermore, targeting the anti-apoptotic gene Bcl-2 is also being examined as a possible target in patients with advanced lymphoid malignancies<sup>82</sup>.

siRNAs are a class of double-stranded RNA molecules involved in the biological process of RNA interference (RNAi) that regulates gene expression<sup>83</sup>. By administering a siRNA complementary to its target mRNA, this natural process is harnessed to selectively silence genes *via* multiprotein complexes<sup>84</sup>. Activation of the oncogene KRAS is a hallmark of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, the most common type of pancreatic cancer<sup>85</sup>. siG12D-LODER is a biodegradable polymer-based system loaded with siRNA against KRAS<sup>86</sup>, which has completed phase I<sup>87</sup> and is currently being tested in Phase II in combination with chemotherapy to determine treatment efficacy<sup>88</sup>. Other delivery systems based on LNPs have also completed or are currently being tested in Phase I trials against advanced solid cancers in various modalities targeting tumor proliferation or microenvironment<sup>89-92</sup>.

Additional strategies based on small activating RNA (saRNA) molecules aim to upregulate the expression of physiologic master gene regulators<sup>93</sup> and are undergoing

pre-clinical development for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma<sup>94</sup> and advanced solid tumors<sup>95</sup>. Moreover, since misregulated miRNA expression is another feature of cancer<sup>96</sup>, miRNA mimics are also being developed to mimic endogenous miRNAs and restore physiological expression levels<sup>97</sup>.

The rise of messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines has led to a new era of cancer immunotherapy offering considerable benefits, including increased safety and efficacy with expeditious cost-effective manufacturing pipelines, aiming to elicit an immune response upon exposure to a tumor antigen<sup>98,99</sup>. Several LNP-based mRNA vaccines encoding known tumor-specific antigens are being investigated in early phase clinical trials in patients with HPV-driven squamous cell carcinoma<sup>100</sup>, melanoma<sup>101</sup>, ovarian<sup>102</sup>, pancreatic, lung, and colorectal cancer<sup>103</sup>. Technological progress in next-generation sequencing (NGS) has enormously facilitated the discovery of patient-specific neoantigens, novel epitopes arising from tumor-specific mutations that can be used as a template to generate personalized neoantigen vaccines<sup>104</sup>. Such vaccines are being assessed clinically for the treatment of melanoma<sup>105</sup> and breast cancer<sup>106</sup>.

## **CONCLUSION**

The field of cancer nanomedicines is rapidly expanding and is expected to revolutionize available treatment options. <sup>1</sup> NMs offer a dual aspect of immunomodulation therapies, <sup>1</sup> as they can themselves act as immunomodulatory agents, or they can function as delivery platforms for targeted delivery of other immunomodulating agents<sup>20</sup>. Their unique and tunable properties can be utilized to target the cancer-immunity flow in multiple steps, offering advanced systems that pave a way to reshaping the landscape of clinical cancer treatment. ICIs have launched a new field far beyond CTLA-4 and PD-1. <sup>3</sup> First of all, co-inhibitory signaling pathways, such as HVEM-BTLA and Galectin-9-TIM3, <sup>3</sup> are being studied in cancer and other diseases<sup>107</sup>. <sup>3</sup> Once we learn more about them, we may design rational combinational strategies to concurrently target two or more inhibitory pathways to gain better therapeutic efficacy. Moreover, good results are shown with the combination of an immune checkpoint blockade with other

immunotherapy regimens<sup>3</sup> to eliminate primary cancer and metastases more effectively. One such strategy has been to combine anti-PD-1/PD-L1 or anti-CTLA4 with Oncolytic Viruses (OVs)<sup>108,109</sup>. Meanwhile,<sup>3</sup> other types of cancer immunotherapies, including adoptive transfer of CAR T cells<sup>110</sup>, TCR-modified T cells<sup>111</sup>, and cancer vaccines using neo-antigens<sup>112</sup>, have made significant progress in recent years and has shown promise in clinics. Future NK cell products will be able to suppress inhibitory signals and tumor proliferation but enhance the activation of the immune system. Evidence of increased NK cell-mediated tumor cell killing has emerged in targeted therapies. To enhance that, nanomedicine approaches immunity with T-cell activation, specific antigen delivery, and the appropriate nanoparticle for the targeting<sup>113</sup>. NPs will tackle all the obstacles to delivery and engage multiple aspects of the immune system by producing therapeutics to target current and forthcoming diseases<sup>114</sup>. These are only a small portion of the application of NPs with NK cells and their clinical activity because of the heterogeneity of human diseases<sup>115</sup>. These findings, combined with the ability<sup>21</sup> of NK cells to detect immune responses, suggest that NK cells are the keys to the next generation of onco-immunotherapy. In the future days, peptides will play a significant role in the continuous research of cancer therapy and human well-being. Cell-penetrating peptides, have the ability to deliver molecules such as drugs, oligonucleotides and nanoparticles inside cells, without any size restriction<sup>116</sup>.

Future research will set the basis for the ideal drug-delivery system, where peptides would reach their target site efficiently without any degradation before and the cargo would be rapidly released and act on the site<sup>117</sup>, also the problem of non-selective cellular uptake, will be eliminated and thus will create modern therapy tools for anti-cancer treatment<sup>118</sup>. The nanoparticle-mediated delivery of guide RNAs (gRNAs) and programmable nucleases such as Cas9 and Cas13 have expanded the portfolio of *in vivo* tissue-specific genome editing tools available for cancer research in pre-clinical models<sup>119-122</sup>. Alongside advancements in nucleic acid drugs, innovative nanoparticle delivery systems will vastly benefit the field by implementing novel delivery systems, such as nanoclews<sup>123</sup> and surface modifications, allowing the manufacturing of

sophisticated nanoparticles<sup>124-127</sup>. Despite the numerous nanotherapeutics being clinically scrutinized, cancer nanomedicines often fail to reach their primary endpoint, and the correlation of the drug behavior between animal models and patient cohorts is often inconsistent<sup>128,129</sup>. Therefore, in silico models should also be implemented to aid in understanding and predicting biological interactions<sup>130</sup>. Finally, multi-omics data, including but not limited to genomics, epigenomics, transcriptomics, and radiomics, can comprehensively be evaluated and reform the field of personalized nanomedicine by allowing the design of customizable medicines based on the patients' profile<sup>131</sup>.

# 22%

SIMILARITY INDEX

### PRIMARY SOURCES

- |          |  |                       |
|----------|--|-----------------------|
| <b>1</b> | <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a><br><small>Internet</small>  | 244 words — <b>5%</b> |
| <hr/>    |  |                       |
| <b>2</b> | <a href="http://www.nature.com">www.nature.com</a><br><small>Internet</small>  | 152 words — <b>3%</b> |
| <hr/>    |  |                       |
| <b>3</b> | <a href="http://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com">bmccancer.biomedcentral.com</a><br><small>Internet</small>  | 115 words — <b>2%</b> |
| <hr/>    |  |                       |
| <b>4</b> | <a href="http://www.mdpi.com">www.mdpi.com</a><br><small>Internet</small>  | 109 words — <b>2%</b> |
| <hr/>    |  |                       |
| <b>5</b> | Manuel Ramos-Casals, Julie R. Brahmer, Margaret K. Callahan, Alejandra Flores-Chávez et al. "Immune-related adverse events of checkpoint inhibitors", Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 2020<br><small>Crossref</small>                            | 88 words — <b>2%</b>  |
| <hr/>    |  |                       |
| <b>6</b> | <a href="http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a><br><small>Internet</small>  | 56 words — <b>1%</b>  |
| <hr/>    |  |                       |
| <b>7</b> | Tobias Achu Muluh, Zhuo Chen, Yi Li, Kang Xiong, Jing Jin, ShaoZhi Fu, JingBo Wu. "Enhancing Cancer Immunotherapy Treatment Goals by Using Nanoparticle Delivery System", International Journal of Nanomedicine, 2021<br><small>Crossref</small> | 55 words — <b>1%</b>  |



---

8 Sreya Bagchi, Robert Yuan, Edgar G. Engleman. "Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors for the Treatment of Cancer: Clinical Impact and Mechanisms of Response and Resistance", Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease, 2020 53 words — 1%

[Crossref](#)

---

9 Songbo Zhao, Jiazhi Duan, Yalin Lou, Ruyun Gao et al. " Surface specifically modified NK-92 cells with CD56 antibody conjugated superparamagnetic Fe O nanoparticles for magnetic targeting immunotherapy of solid tumors ", Nanoscale, 2021 41 words — 1%

[Crossref](#)

---

10 Xiaopin Duan, Christina Chan, Wenbin Lin. "Nanoparticle-mediated Immunogenic Cell Death Enables and Potentiates Cancer Immunotherapy", Angewandte Chemie, 2018 40 words — 1%

[Crossref](#)

---

11 Yang Shi, Twan Lammers. "Combining Nanomedicine and Immunotherapy", Accounts of Chemical Research, 2019 40 words — 1%

[Crossref](#)

---

12 Liqin Yao, Gang Jia, Lingeng Lu, Ying Bao, Wenxue Ma. "Factors affecting tumor responders and predictive biomarkers of toxicities in cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors", International Immunopharmacology, 2020 36 words — 1%

[Crossref](#)

---

13 Saeid Zanganeh, Gregor Hutter, Ryan Spitler, Olga Lenkov et al. "Iron oxide nanoparticles inhibit tumour growth by inducing pro-inflammatory macrophage polarization in tumour tissues", 'Springer Science and Business Media LLC' 31 words — 1%

14 Kun Chen, Shuhang Wang, Bin Chen, Fa Sun, Ning Li. "Gene therapy in solid tumors: trends in trials in China and beyond", Drug Discovery Today, 2021

Crossref

20 words — &lt; 1%

15 Jane Cullis, Shipra Das, Dafna Bar-Sagi. "Kras and Tumor Immunity: Friend or Foe?", Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, 2017

Crossref

17 words — &lt; 1%

16 Yufei Xia, Tiantian Song, Yuning Hu, Guanghui Ma. "Synthetic Particles for Cancer Vaccines: Connecting the Inherent Supply Chain", Accounts of Chemical Research, 2020

Crossref

17 words — &lt; 1%

17 Kaido Kurrikoff, David Aphkhazava, Ülo Langel. "The future of peptides in cancer treatment", Current Opinion in Pharmacology, 2019

Crossref

16 words — &lt; 1%

18 [www.frontiersin.org](http://www.frontiersin.org)

Internet

16 words — &lt; 1%

19 Idoia Mikelez-Alonso, Susana Magadán, África González-Fernández, Francisco Borrego. "Natural killer (NK) cell-based immunotherapies and the many faces of NK cell memory: a look into how nanoparticles enhance NK cell activity", Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 2021

Crossref

15 words — &lt; 1%

20 Ruo-Chen Guo, Xue-Hao Zhang, Lei Ji, Zi-Jin Wei, Zhongyu Duan, Zeng-Ying Qiao, Hao Wang. "Recent Progress of Therapeutic Peptide Based Nanomaterials: From Synthesis, Self-Assembly to Cancer Treatment", Biomaterials Science, 2020

14 words — &lt; 1%

21 Xianwu Wang, Xi Luo, Yunpeng Tian, Ting Wu, Jian Weng, Zhu Li, Feng Ye, Xuefei Huang. "Equipping Natural Killer Cells with Cetuximab through Metabolic Glycoengineering and Bioorthogonal Reaction for Targeted Treatment of KRAS Mutant Colorectal Cancer", ACS Chemical Biology, 2021

13 words — < 1%

Crossref

22 Johnson, Douglas B, Igor Puzanov, and Mark C Kelley. "Talimogene laherparepvec (T-VEC) for the treatment of advanced melanoma", Immunotherapy, 2015.

12 words — < 1%

Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON  
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES < 12 WORDS  
EXCLUDE MATCHES < 12 WORDS