



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 47103

Title: Stem cell treatment and cerebral palsy: Systemic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03814168

Reviewer's country: Pakistan

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-15 03:28

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-23 05:24

Review time: 8 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very basic information is provided in review about stem cells. Author report no specific markers for stem cells, however stem cells from different sources are well characterized and their markers are identified and accepted throughout the scientific community. Umbilical cord stem cells uses are only focused on the immunological disorder, though



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

these cells are widely used in stem cells therapy. It will be better to provide more clinical use of these stem cells with emphasis on neurological disorders. Mesenchymal stem cells were reviewed under one heading, however MSCs have multiple sources like bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord tissue, etc. All of these sources are reported for clinical use, authors should summarize the studies in a table. HSCs and EPC were discussed which have no relevance with the aim of the present study. Authors should develop a link of these cells with CP. <https://clinicaltrials.gov>, register the clinical trials, it will be better if author include the data from this database in the current manuscript. Author must report the minimum dose of stem cells transplantation in literature. Specially, the minimum effective dose of cells. Figure 1 and 5 are not referred anywhere in the text. Only 5 studies were included in the final analysis, which is very low number.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 47103

Title: Stem cell treatment and cerebral palsy: Systemic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03712811

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-24 08:10

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-26 08:53

Review time: 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper, submitted as a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis study, the Authors have focused on the safety and efficacy of stem cell treatment of cerebral palsy (CP), the most severe motor disability ensuing from perinatal complications and resulting in life-long morbidities. The structure of the manuscript relies upon a systematic



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

literature search on PubMed and Embase to find randomized controlled clinical trials (RCT) investigating the effect of stem cell transplantation in children with CP. After the review, the Authors performed a random-network meta-analysis focusing on the change in gross motor function, which was quantified using the gross motor function measure (GMFM). They calculated the standardized mean differences of the 6- and/or 12-month-outcome by the method of Cohen, quantifying the heterogeneity using the I-squared measure. The background presentation of the underlying issue is well designed, as it is the underpinning for the use (and associated description) of the various types and source for stem cells. The Meta-Analysis is rigorous, as are the Conclusions of study. The Discussion section is in keeping with the aims and findings from the meta-analysis approach.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No