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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Firstly, thank you for opportunity to review very interested article.  
1. The title reflect the main subject about klebsiella variicola, title was clear and easy to understand.  
2. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript.  
3. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript.  
4. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status, and significance of the study. The authors explain sub species of kiebsiella with KpI KpII and KpIII in introductio part.  
5. The manuscript describe information of case report include history and laboratory details. I concern about detail of report in The PMseq-DNA Pro high throughput gene detection from blood sample " the authors show 2 type of kiebsiella found in blood sample but in conclusion of case report, the authors conclude in only K.variicola infection" please give moer deatail about that.  
6. The research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study.  
7. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically.  
8. Tables and figures sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents.  
9. The manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics.  
10. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections.