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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is a case study report of a young schizophrenic man's body weight trajectory and blood glucose level receiving Liraglutide as an alternative therapy for treating his glucose metabolism and obesity. The case report is written in a good way, however, there are some major and minor deficiencies that the authors need to address to make it an excellent report. This is a case report and hence the original findings need to be highlighted such that it is novel to other published research so that it will encourage for potentially new larger studies. There are several issues that need to be resolved. Please see the attached critique.
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[General Comment] The authors suggest that liraglutide is useful for diabetic patients with schizophrenia. However, I think there is a lot of lack of information to support this idea and it needs significant revision.  

[Abstract] I think that the description in L17-22 is not appropriate as a case summary, as it only describes the reported-nature of antipsychotics and GLP-1. I recommend that you consider deleting this section or changing the description.  

Case presentation The patient gained 50 kg in 2 years. What was her lifestyle like? What were her eating habits prior to hospitalization? Were there any lifestyle habits that could significantly modify the abnormalities in glucose metabolism caused by antipsychotics, such as the habit of consuming large amounts of soft drinks? Please describe the patient's height, weight, vital signs including blood pressure and pulse rate, and physical examination. Please show the results of any laboratory tests other than blood glucose. I recommend creating a table about the results of blood and urine. In addition, I think that the results of the tests about secondary obesity should also be included. (Ex. Cushing’s syndrome)  

[Treatment] You mentioned that you started treatment with CSII in L19. Please describe the name of the insulin you used. If you used an insulin pump, please provide the name of the device. The authors should clarify whether the use of insulin glargine and metformin was continued or discontinued after hospitalization. Please describe the regimen of diet and exercise for this patient. If the administration of liraglutide resulted in a change in eating behavior, detailed description of the eating behavior seems to be very important. Please show the status of diabetic complications. (Ex. nephropathy, retinopathy, neuropathy, and other vascular complications)  

Please clarify the changes in HbA1c
and body weight during the two years after discharge from the hospital. I recommend creating a graph to make it easier to track your long-term progress. [Discussion] There is a lack of discussion to support the author's idea that liraglutide contributed to the good course of this patient. In the current manuscript, the discussion section seems to be only pharmacological and physiological information. The authors need to show from the course of this case that liraglutide was independently more effective than the diet and exercise therapy prescribed during the hospitalization.
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Thank you for the revision. The clinical course regarding the experience with liraglutide in schizophrenic patients has been clarified. I would suggest minor revisions before publication. Treatment - Please show the specific name of insulin pump by Medtronic. - Please indicate the specifics of the diabetic diet (amount of daily calories and proportions of nutrients). Outcome and Follow-up - "laboratory" -> "laboratory"? Discussion The fact that only telephone follow-up was done for 2 years after discharge from the hospital is one of the limitations of this report, as it may overlook environmental factors other than liraglutide that contributed to the improvement in blood glucose and weight. We recommend that this point be clearly stated in the discussion section. Figure - Please add the units in Y axis in Fig 1. Table - Please show the date of Follow-up after discharge.
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Thank you for your thorough response to the review comments. Your responses have increased the quality of the case study.