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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The author show the case of gastric cancer induced by EB virus treated immunotherapy. The case presentation is well written, however, I have some concerns. 1. What is the mechanism of the healing pathway of the cancer cell? Please discuss considering tumor micro environment. 2. Is the immuno-therapeutic pathway inducible or constructive? Also, inflammatory or non-inflammatory? Discuss that citing the following article. Characterization of PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint expression in soft tissue sarcomas. Eur J Histochem. 2021 Jul 2;65(3):3203. doi: 10.4081/ejh.2021.3203. PMID: 34218652; PMCID: PMC8273625.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it is an interesting case, but i have some comments: 1- there is missing data in the history and patient examination can you please discuss these items in detail. 2- Regarding the figures, can you add annotations, magnifications, scale bar.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The authors answered well, so the manuscript is suitable for publication.