PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases
Manuscript NO: 76648
Title: Complication of lengthening and the role of post-operative care, physical and psychological rehabilitation among fibula hemimelia
Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed
Peer-review model: Single blind
Reviewer’s code: 05866874
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: PhD
Professional title: Physiotherapist, Professor, Senior Lecturer
Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Spain
Author’s Country/Territory: Iran
Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-23
Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique
Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-30 08:18
Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-30 08:46
Review time: 1 Hour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>[ ] Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade C: Good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade D: Fair</th>
<th>[ ] Grade E: Do not publish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language quality</td>
<td>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (High priority)</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (General priority)</td>
<td>[ ] Minor revision</td>
<td>[ ] Major revision</td>
<td>[ ] Rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-review</td>
<td>[ ] Yes</td>
<td>[ ] No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: Thank you very much for the presentation of your research, it is a great job you have done, but I suggest the following indications:

- In the section of introduction and development of the different techniques to treat HF you should introduce dates (year) to the different approaches that have been given throughout history. Although you cite the reference, it is better to include the year, so that the reader does not have to see it in the reference section.
- They allude to physiotherapy treatment techniques, today there is a consensus and scientific evidence that one of the best treatments is dry needling on the trigger point of the spasmed muscle, please make reference to this technique and its advantages.
- In the statement "the role of continuous passive movement is still unknown", there are numerous articles that provide a benefit and evidence to passive mobility in different segments, please review and provide references in this regard.
- In the subluxation/dislocation section you should refer to the rule that if there is a body segment with a hypomobility (deficit of movement), the nearest segment (joint) will assume that deficit creating a hypermobility (increased movement, and therefore the possibility of subluxation) thus creating a compensatory mechanism automatically and involuntarily by the patient. Otherwise, I congratulate you on your writing and for having done such a good job. Best regards
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Thanks for recommending me as a reviewer. In this mini review paper, authors reviewed the literature to find the current post-operative care and rehabilitation programs during and after limb lengthening and reconstruction. The research is overall well written. If authors complete minor revisions, the quality of the study will be further improved.  1. The introduction section is well written. However, a paragraph cannot consist of a single sentence. I suggest that the authors combine the last paragraph of the introduction section with the previous one.  2. Conclusion section is too short. If the authors made the conclusion section more specific, it would be helpful for readers to understand.