<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVIEW</td>
<td>2951</td>
<td>Patients with cirrhosis during the COVID-19 pandemic: Current evidence and future perspectives</td>
<td>Su HY, Hsu YC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINIREVIEWS</td>
<td>2969</td>
<td>Immunotherapy for pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Yoon JH, Jung YJ, Moon SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL ARTICLE</td>
<td>2983</td>
<td>Scrotal septal flap and two-stage operation for complex hypospadias: A retrospective study</td>
<td>Chen S, Yang Z, Ma N, Wang WX, Xu LS, Liu QY, Li YQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational Study</td>
<td>3014</td>
<td>Updated Kimura-Takemoto classification of atrophic gastritis</td>
<td>Kotelevets SM, Chekh SA, Chukov SZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS</td>
<td>3024</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of deviations from a clinical pathway on outcomes following pancreatoduodenectomy</td>
<td>Karunakaran M, Jonnada PK, Barreto SG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>META-ANALYSIS</td>
<td>3038</td>
<td>Early vs late cholecystectomy in mild gall stone pancreatitis: An updated meta-analysis and review of literature</td>
<td>Walayat S, Baig M, Puli SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE REPORT</td>
<td>3048</td>
<td>Effects of intravascular laser phototherapy on delayed neurological sequelae after carbon monoxide intoxication as evaluated by brain perfusion imaging: A case report and review of the literature</td>
<td>Liu CC, Hsu CS, He HC, Cheng YY, Chang ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3056</td>
<td>Crumbs homolog 2 mutation in two siblings with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome: Two case reports</td>
<td>Lu J, Guo YN, Dong LQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3079</td>
<td>Pulmonary arterial hyper-tension in a patient with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and family gene analysis: A case report</td>
<td>Wu J, Yuan Y, Wang X, Shao DY, Liu LG, He J, Li P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3095</td>
<td>Spontaneous coronary dissection should not be ignored in patients with chest pain in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: A case report</td>
<td>Qian J, Lai Y, Kuang LJ, Chen F, Liu XB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3114</td>
<td>Acute pancreatitis and small bowel obstruction caused by a migratory gastric bezoar after dissolution therapy: A case report</td>
<td>Wang TT, He JJ, Liu J, Chen WW, Chen CW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3130</td>
<td>Acute urinary retention in the first and second-trimester of pregnancy: Three case reports</td>
<td>Zhuang L, Wang XY, Sang Y, Xu J, He XL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3147</td>
<td>Three-dimensional printed talar prosthesis with biological function for giant cell tumor of the talus: A case report and review of the literature</td>
<td>Yang QD, Mu MD, Tao X, Tang KL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3157</td>
<td>Successful upgrade to cardiac resynchronization therapy for cardiac implantation-associated left subclavian vein occlusion: A case report</td>
<td>Zhong JY, Zheng XW, Li HD, Jiang LF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Contents

**World Journal of Clinical Cases**

**Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 13 May 6, 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3170</td>
<td>Perioperative massive cerebral stroke in thoracic patients: Report of three cases</td>
<td>Jian MY, Liang F, Liu HY, Han RQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3185</td>
<td>Clinical characteristics of intrahepatic biliary papilloma: A case report</td>
<td>Yi D, Zhao LJ, Ding XB, Wang TW, Liu SY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3194</td>
<td>Association between scrub typhus encephalitis and diffusion tensor tractography detection of Papez circuit injury: A case report</td>
<td>Kwon HG, Yang JH, Kwon JH, Yang D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3212</td>
<td>Laparoscopic uncontained power morcellation-induced dissemination of ovarian endodermal sinus tumors: A case report</td>
<td>Oh HK, Park SN, Kim BR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Contents

**World Journal of Clinical Cases**

**About Cover**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Rama R Vunnam, MBBS, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Penn State Health Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA 17033, United States. rvunnam@pennstatehealth.psu.edu

## Aims and Scope

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (*WJCC, World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJCC* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

## Indexing/Abstracting

The *WJCC* is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2020 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.013; IF without journal self cites: 0.991; Ranking: 120 among 165 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The *WJCC*'s CiteScore for 2019 is 0.3 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2019: General Medicine is 394/529.

## Responsible Editors for This Issue

Production Editor: Yan-Xia Xing; Production Department Director: Yan-Xiaojian Wu; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

### Name of Journal

*World Journal of Clinical Cases*

### ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

### Launch Date

April 16, 2013

### Frequency

Thrice Monthly

### Editors-in-Chief

Dennis A Bloomfield, Sandro Vento, Bao-Gan Peng

### Editorial Board Members

https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm

### Publication Date

May 6, 2021

### Copyright

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

### Instructions to Authors

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

### Guidelines for Ethics Documents

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

### Guidelines for Non-Native Speakers of English

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

### Publication Ethics

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

### Publication Misconduct

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

### Article Processing Charge

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

### Steps for Submitting Manuscripts

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/239

### Online Submission

https://www.f6publishing.com
Early vs late cholecystectomy in mild gall stone pancreatitis: An updated meta-analysis and review of literature

Saqib Walayat, Muhammad Baig, Srinivas R Puli

ORCID number: Saqib Walayat 0000-0003-0804-7447; Muhammad Baig 0000-0003-1724-3837; Srinivas R Puli 0000-0001-7650-6938.

Author contributions: Walayat S and Baig M collected data, reviewed the literature, drafted the manuscript and approved the final version of the article to be published; Puli S analyzed the data, made critical revisions related to the content of the article and approved the final version of the article to be published.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement: The authors have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist. PRISMA diagram included.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works

Abstract

BACKGROUND

gallstone pancreatitis is one of the most common causes of acute pancreatitis. Cholecystectomy remains the definitive treatment of choice to prevent recurrence. The rate of early cholecystectomies during index admission remains low due to perceived increased risk of complications.

AIM

To compare outcomes including length of stay, duration of surgery, biliary complications, conversion to open cholecystectomy, intra-operative, and post-operative complications between patients who undergo cholecystectomy during index admission as compared to those who undergo cholecystectomy thereafter.

METHODS

Statistical Method: Pooled proportions were calculated using both Mantel-Haenszel method (fixed effects model) and DerSimonian Laird method (random effects model).

RESULTS

Initial search identified 163 reference articles, of which 45 were selected and reviewed. Eighteen studies (n = 2651) that met the inclusion criteria were included in this analysis. Median age of patients in the late group was 43.8 years while that in the early group was 43.6. Pooled analysis showed late laparoscopic cholecystectomy group associated with an increased length of stay by 88.96 h (95%CI: 86.31 to 91.62) as compared to early cholecystectomy group. Pooled risk difference for biliary complications was higher by 10.76% (95%CI: 8.51 to 13.01) in
INTRODUCTION

Acute pancreatitis remains as one of the most common reasons for emergency department visits. There were about 521,000 new cases of pancreatitis reported globally in 2016. This incidence rate represented almost a 30% increase in new cases as compared to 2006. The rate of new cases is expected to continue to rise in the setting of obesity pandemic via increased gallstone formation and hypertriglyceridemia. The common causes of pancreatitis include gallstones, alcohol, and iatrogenic pancreatitis. Alcohol and gallstones account for about 70%-80% of cases in the western world.

Among these two, gallstone pancreatitis is more common accounting for up to 50% of cases. Worldwide prevalence of gallstones is reported to be around 10%-20%, and presence of gallstones has been reported to be associated with 14-35 fold increase in risk of pancreatitis in males and 12-25 fold increase in risk of biliary pancreatitis in females.

Definitive treatment for gallstone pancreatitis remains laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The chances of recurrence without cholecystectomy have been reported to be as high as 30% along with increase in healthcare related costs. The timing of cholecystectomy has remained an issue of significant importance and debate, while some groups advocate early surgery to decrease the risk of recurrence. Others however favor later surgery, citing concerns for increased risk of complications if surgery is done earlier due to acute inflammatory state present in early pancreatitis.

Most of the international societies currently recommend early cholecystectomy. The timing of cholecystectomy has remained an issue of significant importance and debate, while some groups advocate early surgery to decrease the risk of recurrence. Others however favor later surgery, citing concerns for increased risk of complications if surgery is done earlier due to acute inflammatory state present in early pancreatitis.

The definition of early varies. The international society of pancreatology recommends cholecystectomy during index admission. American Gastroenterology society also

the late cholecystectomy group as compared to the early cholecystectomy group. Pooled analysis showed no risk difference in intraoperative complications [risk difference: 0.41%, (95%CI: -1.35 to 0.32)], postoperative complications [risk difference: 0.60%, (95%CI: -2.21 to 1.00)], or conversion to open cholecystectomy [risk difference: 1.42%, (95%CI: -0.35 to 3.21)] between early and late cholecystectomy groups. Pooled analysis showed the duration of surgery to be prolonged by 39.11 min (95%CI: 37.44 to 40.77) in the late cholecystectomy group as compared to the early group.

CONCLUSION

In patients with mild gallstone pancreatitis early cholecystectomy leads to shorter hospital stay, shorter duration of surgery, while decreasing the risk of biliary complications. Rate of intraoperative, post-operative complications and chances of conversion to open cholecystectomy do not significantly differ whether cholecystectomy was performed early or late.

Key Words: Cholecystectomy; Gallstone pancreatitis; Acute pancreatitis; Laparoscopic cholecystectomy; Biliary colic; Open cholecystectomy
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Core Tip: Despite recommendations from International societies rate of early cholecystectomy post gallstone pancreatitis remains low, presumably due to perceived increased risks of complications. Our updated meta-analysis shows early cholecystectomy leads to decreased risk of biliary complications in patients with mild gallstone pancreatitis. It also leads to shorter hospital stay and shorter duration of surgery. Our data did not show any difference between the rate of intraoperative or postoperative complications between the two groups.
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recommends cholecystectomy during index admission\[^3\]. Despite these recommendations the rate of cholecystectomy during index admission continues to remain on the lower side with only about 10% of patients reported to be receiving definitive treatment within the first 2 wk\[^4\]. The trend for early cholecystectomy varies globally depending on the region, with the highest rate of early cholecystectomy being reported in Latin America, where almost 60% of patients presenting with biliary pancreatitis undergo cholecystectomy during index admission\[^5\]. This was followed by North America and Europe where almost 43% and 52% patients underwent cholecystectomy during index admission while the lowest rate of cholecystectomy was reported in India where only 15% of patients underwent cholecystectomy during index admission\[^6\].

The reason for this variability in rate of cholecystectomies while exactly unclear is likely multifactorial. The higher rate in Latin America was thought to be due to patients with biliary pancreatitis being admitted to surgery services primarily in that part of the world\[^6\]. In India, the lower rate was thought to be due to patient preference and higher rate of transfers\[^6\].

The most recent metaanalysis by Zhong et al\[^7\] included studies before March 2019, since then there have been 3 new randomized control trials that have been published that have not been included in the previous meta-analysis. In our meta-analysis we sought to include those new studies, as well as previous randomized control trials and retrospective studies to evaluate the efficacy of early vs late cholecystectomy for gallstone pancreatitis. In this study our aim is to compare outcomes including biliary complications, intra-operative complications, post-operative complications, rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy, length of stay and duration of surgery between early and late laparoscopic cholecystectomy groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study selection criteria
We looked at studies assessing outcomes of early vs late cholecystectomy in patients with mild gallstone pancreatitis. Early cholecystectomy was defined as cholecystectomy occurring within 2 wk of onset of pancreatitis.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria
In our meta-analysis mild pancreatitis was defined by either Ransons score < 3\[^8\], Atlanta classification\[^9\] or computed tomography criteria\[^10\]. We included studies that clearly defined mild gallstone pancreatitis using the above scoring systems, studies that clearly delineated early from late pancreatitis, and studies that clearly reported our outcomes of interest.

We excluded studies without a comparison arm, studies with patients < 18, pregnant patients, studies that included pancreatitis of other etiologies, case reports, posters, abstracts, expert reviews, articles that did not report our essential outcomes, articles published in languages other than English, and articles that included people with severe gallstone pancreatitis.

Outcomes of interest
Our outcomes of interest included differences in biliary complications before surgery, intraoperative complications, and post-operative complications. Biliary complications included recurrent pancreatitis, acute cholecystitis, acute cholangitis, biliary colic, jaundice, and common bile duct injury. Intraoperative complications included bile duct injury, and intra-operative bleeding requiring blood transfusion. Post op complications included bile leak, post-op bleeding requiring transfusion, pancreatitis, pseudocyst, pneumonia, pre-eclampsia or other systemic complications\[^5\]. We also looked at the rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy, and the difference in length of stay and duration of surgery between the two groups.

Data collection and extraction
Articles were searched in MEDLINE, PubMed, Ovid journals, Embase, Cumulative Index for Nursing and Allied Health Literature, ACP Journal Club, DARE, MEDLINE Non-Indexed Citations, OVID Healthstar, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). The search was performed for the years, January 1992 to December 2019. Only articles in English were included. Abstracts were manually searched in the major gastroenterology journals for the past 3 years. The search terms used were
‘cholecystectomy’, ‘pancreatitis’ ‘laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Two authors (SW and AB) independently searched and extracted the data into an abstract form. Any differences were resolved by mutual agreement. The agreement between reviewers for the collected data was quantified using Cohen’s κ[7]. The following information was extracted from the study: Authors, year of publication, place, study design, number of patients, age, gender, length of stay, duration of surgery, and complications between early and late groups.

**Statistical analysis**

Microsoft excel was used for data collection and meta-analysis was performed using Stata version 14. Odds ratio was used to represent dichotomous outcomes with a 95% CI. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Random effect model was used for the meta-analysis in case of heterogeneity being statistically significant, otherwise fixed effects models were applied. Forest plots were drawn to show the point estimates in each study in relation to the summary pooled estimate. The width of the point estimates in the Forest plots indicates the assigned weight to that study. The heterogeneity among studies was tested using $I^2$ statistic and Cochrane Q test based upon inverse variance weights[11]. $I^2$ of 0% to 39% was considered as non-significant heterogeneity, 40% to 75% as moderate heterogeneity, and 76% to 100% as considerable heterogeneity. If $P$ value is > 0.10, it rejects the null hypothesis that the studies are heterogeneous. The effect of publication and selection bias on the summary estimates was tested by both Harbord–Egger bias indicator and Begg–Mazumdar bias indicator[12,13]. Also, funnel plots were constructed to evaluate potential publication bias[14,15].

**RESULTS**

Initial search identified 163 reference articles based on our search criteria. After thorough screening, removal of abstracts, review papers and duplicates eighteen studies were selected and included in this analysis. Nine studies were randomized control trials, while others were retrospective studies. A Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram for details of the review process is shown in Figure 1. All studies were published as full text articles. Pooled estimates were calculated by the fixed and random effect model. Fixed effect model was preferred to a random effect model for better accuracy based on the nature of individual study characteristics and heterogeneity.

Total number of patients included in this meta-analysis was 2651. Number of patients in the early cholecystectomy group was 1336, while that in the late cholecystectomy group was 1315. Median age of patients in the early cholecystectomy group was 43.6 years while that in the late group was 43.8. The $P$ for Chi-squared heterogeneity for all the pooled accuracy estimates was > 0.10. The agreement between reviewers for collected data gave a Cohen’s κ value of 1.0.

**Outcomes**

**Risk of conversion to open cholecystectomy:** The pooled risk difference for conversion to open cholecystectomy was higher by 1.42% (95% CI: -0.35 to 3.21) in delayed cholecystectomy group as compared to early cholecystectomy group. This was statistically insignificant. Publication bias calculated using Begg-Mazumdar indicator gave Kendall’s τb value of -0.019 ($P = 0.88$). Publication bias calculated using Harbord-Egger bias indicator gave a value of 0.56 ($P = 0.23$). Heterogeneity calculated using $I^2$ statistic was 0 indicating no significant heterogeneity. Funnel plot assessing publication bias is shown in Figure 2.

**Biliary complications:** Biliary complications included recurrent pancreatitis, acute cholecystitis, acute cholangitis, biliary colic, jaundice, and common bile duct injury occurring while the patient is awaiting surgery. Pooled risk difference for biliary complications was higher by 10.76% (95% CI: 8.51 to 13.01) in the delayed cholecystectomy group as compared to those who underwent early cholecystectomy. Forrest plot of individual and pooled risk differences is shown in Figure 3.

**Intraoperative complications:** Intraoperative complications were defined as bile duct injury during surgery or intraoperative bleeding requiring transfusion. In the pooled patient population, the proportion of patients with intra-op complications was higher in the late cholecystectomy group by 0.41% (95% CI: -1.58 to 0.75) as compared to the
Postoperative complications: Post-operative complications included bile leak, post-op bleeding requiring transfusion, pancreatitis, pseudocyst, pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, or other systemic complications. Pooled risk difference of post op complications did not differ significantly between 2 groups, the trend was slightly higher in the late cholecystectomy group by 0.60% (95%CI: -2.21 to 1.00) as compared to the early cholecystectomy group.

Figure 1 Preferred reporting items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses flow diagram for detailing the review process.

Figure 2 Funnel plot assessing the publication bias for conversion to open cholecystectomy.
Length of stay: Pooled analysis showed late laparoscopic cholecystectomy group was associated with an increased length of stay by 88.96 h (95%CI: 86.31 to 91.62) as compared to early cholecystectomy group.

Duration of surgery: Pooled analysis showed the duration of surgery to be prolonged in the delayed cholecystectomy group by 39.11 min (95%CI: 37.44 to 40.77) as compared to the early group.

DISCUSSION
Early cholecystectomy has been recommended by various societies for treatment of gallstone pancreatitis. However, the rate of early cholecystectomy is reported to be around 9%-23% during index admission\cite{16,17}. The reasons for these low outcomes is likely multifactorial including lack of operating room availability, budget restraints and the notion that early cholecystectomy could lead to increase in surgical complications citing increased edema in the setting of acute inflammation\cite{16,18}. Previous studies have demonstrated that delayed cholecystectomy could not only lead to increased health care burden but also increased risk of pancreatitis reported to be up to 14%-31% if surgery is delayed\cite{16,19,20}. To our knowledge, this is the largest meta-analysis so far with inclusion of most recent studies.

Our results demonstrated no significant difference in intraoperative and postoperative complications between early and late laparoscopic cholecystectomy. This is in consistence with other recent meta-analysis which have shown the difference of intraoperative and postoperative complications to be minimal whether cholecystectomy performed earlier or late post gallstone pancreatitis. However, our results differed from previous meta-analysis as biliary complications were noted to be significantly higher in our patients who underwent delayed cholecystectomy, by almost 10%. Yang et al\cite{21} had recently demonstrated no significant difference in biliary complications between early and late cholecystectomy group (OR: 0.62). Similarly, Lyu et al\cite{22} in their meta-analysis comprising almost 913 patients also showed no difference.
in biliary complications between early and late cholecystectomy groups. The etiology for this difference while unclear could be related to difference in inclusion criteria for biliary complications for each meta-analysis or more randomized controlled trial (RCT) being included in our meta-analysis. In a meta-analysis of only randomized controlled trials, Moody et al\textsuperscript{[24]} had also demonstrated risk of biliary complications to be almost 20% in patients who underwent delayed cholecystectomy. Biliary complications included in our meta-analysis included recurrent pancreatitis, acute cholecystitis, acute cholangitis, biliary colic, jaundice, and common bile duct injury occurring while the patient is awaiting surgery. While biliary colic is reported to be the most common reason for readmission, recurrent pancreatitis remains the most feared. Readmission rates of up to 21% have been reported secondary to biliary complications in patients undergoing delayed cholecystectomy previously\textsuperscript{[24]}. The most recent randomized controlled trial included in our meta-analysis from the United States reported low complication rates for both early and late cholecystectomy (6% vs 2%; \( P = 0.617 \))\textsuperscript{[24]}. Biliary complications in the delayed group included recurrence/progression of pancreatitis in one patient while one patient in early cholecystectomy group had a stump leak and two had worsening/recurring pancreatitis\textsuperscript{[24]}. Moreover, the early group was associated with significantly shorter length of hospital stay and decreased need for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography\textsuperscript{[24]}.

It was initially postulated that early cholecystectomy could lead to increased conversion to open cholecystectomy due to edema and adhesions\textsuperscript{[24]}. However, our meta-analysis showed the rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy did not differ significantly between groups. Our results are in concordance with previous meta-analysis in which there have not reported any significant risk of conversion to open cholecystectomy whether surgery carried out earlier or later\textsuperscript{[24,25]}. Most recent RCTs continued to show no increase in risk of conversion to open cholecystectomy in either group\textsuperscript{[24,26-29]}. Length of stay was reported to be longer by 88 h in the late cholecystectomy group as compared to early group. Previously Johnstone et al\textsuperscript{[26]} have shown a difference of length of stay of almost 48 h between patients who underwent early cholecystectomy as opposed to those who undergo delayed cholecystectomy. Most recent randomized control trials by Riquelme et al\textsuperscript{[27]} and Mageed et al\textsuperscript{[28]} both reported decreased length of stay for early cholecystectomy group. This remains of pivotal importance as decreased length of stay is likely associated with decreased health care costs for these patients. Cost analysis studies have previously shown that early cholecystectomy tends to be associated with statistically significant cost difference of up to 795 pounds if performed within the first 3 d and up to 1003 pounds if performed during same admission as compared to delayed cholecystectomy\textsuperscript{[29]}.

Operative time was noted to be prolonged by 39 min in the delayed cholecystectomy group as compared to the early group. Zhong et al\textsuperscript{[7]} had previously demonstrated no difference between operative time in early cholecystectomy and late cholecystectomy. The etiology for this longer duration of surgery in the delayed group, while exactly unclear, could be related to adhesions between gall bladder and surrounding tissue obscuring anatomy leading to difficult dissection\textsuperscript{[25-27,7]}. Early cholecystectomy has been reported to be relatively more time saving as the edema in the early setting may make visualization of anatomical landmarks like Calot's triangle easier\textsuperscript{[25]}. Limitation of our meta-analysis includes different criteria used for diagnosis of mild pancreatitis in different studies which could reflect the difference of severity between different populations. Definitions of early cholecystectomy varied between different studies ranging from 24 h into admission to 2 wk post discharge. Similarly, there was significant heterogeneity between definition of delayed cholecystectomy varying from 2-6 wk post discharge.

The median age of patients included in most of these studies, is around 40-50, thus this data may be cautiously generalized to elderly patients who could be at increased risk of complications. Moreover, the data regarding comorbidities in patients undergoing cholecystectomy was also not evaluated. Nationwide Swedish analysis had suggested only 30%-40% of elderly received cholecystectomy in 1 year leading to increased complications\textsuperscript{[7,21,22]}. We recommend a cautious and calculated approach in elderly and patients with complications and timings to be deemed as suitable per surgeon’s expertise.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, in patients with mild gallstone pancreatitis, early cholecystectomy may
be preferred as it is safe, effective, and decreases complications associated with delayed cholecystectomy. Moreover, it also decreases operating time. However, caution should be observed to generalize our results, especially in elderly population as there seems to be a paucity of literature. More studies are needed in this regard to draw further concrete conclusions regarding optimal timing of cholecystectomy.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Gall stone pancreatitis is one of the most common causes of acute pancreatitis (30%-50%). Cholecystectomy remains the definitive treatment of choice for gallstone pancreatitis.

Research motivation
While, most of the major societies recommend early cholecystectomy for mild gallstone pancreatitis, the rate of early cholecystectomy during index admission remains low due to perceived increased risk of complications.

Research objectives
The aim of our updated meta-analysis was to compare the length of stay, duration of surgery, biliary complications, conversion to open cholecystectomy, intra-operative, and post-operative complications between patients who underwent early cholecystectomy vs those who underwent late cholecystectomy.

Research methods
Study Selection Criteria: Prospective, retrospective and randomized controlled trials comparing outcomes of early (surgery within the 2 wk of pancreatitis) vs late cholecystectomy in patients with mild gallstone pancreatitis were included in this analysis. Pooled proportions were calculated using both Mantel-Haenszel method (fixed effects model) and DerSimonian Laird method (random effects model). The heterogeneity among studies was tested using Cochran’s Q test based upon inverse variance weights.

Research results
Eighteen studies (n = 2651) were included in this analysis. Late laparoscopic cholecystectomy was associated with an increased length of stay by 88 h compared to early group (95%CI: 86.3 to 91.6). Late group also had an increased duration of surgery by 39 min compared to early group (95%CI: 37.4 to 40.7). Risk of biliary complications was 10.76 % higher in late cholecystectomy group as compared to later group (95%CI: 8.51 to 13.01). The chances of conversion to open cholecystectomy was 1.42 % higher in the delayed surgery.

Research conclusions
In conclusion, early cholecystectomy appears to be not only safe but also may be associated with shorter length of stay and duration of surgery as compared to late cholecystectomy. The rate of complications also appear to higher in patients who undergo late cholecystectomy with higher chances of conversion to open cholecystectomy.

Research perspectives
The definition of early cholecystectomy remains variable in different studies, moreover there is paucity of studies with elderly population which are at higher risk of complications. Future studies should be more focused to determine optimal timing of surgery after an attack of acute pancreatitis, also outcomes of early cholecystectomy in elderly populations need to be further studied.
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