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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I congratulate you for submitting a good article and especially well written according to the standards of the journal. Please try to correct some spelling mistakes try to reduce the abbreviations in the title, and In the abstract at the level of the CASE SUMMARY, try to reformulate the sentence, because one risks to understand that the adenocarcinoma of the lung was of metastatic origin
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case reported a 76-year-old male, diagnosed of brain and leptomeningeal metastasis from lung adenocarcinoma. The MRI images of the brain showed subtle peri-tumor edema, which was fairly unusual for brain metastasis. The paper could be accepted pending minor revision. Firstly, the intra-operative image and the macroscopic image of the tumor should be added, as the surgery to remove the tumor was an important part in diagnosis and treatment of the condition. Secondly, the CSF results should be added, as the author stated leptomeningeal metastasis.
Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72207

Title: Unusual magnetic resonance imaging findings of brain and leptomeningeal metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 04543416

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Turkey

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-19 07:33

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-21 05:47

Review time: 1 Day and 22 Hours

Scientific quality

☑️ Grade A: Excellent
☐ Grade B: Very good
☑️ Grade C: Good
☐ Grade D: Fair
☐ Grade E: Do not publish

Language quality

☐ Grade A: Priority publishing
☑️ Grade B: Minor language polishing
☐ Grade C: A great deal of language polishing
☐ Grade D: Rejection

Conclusion

☐ Accept (High priority)
☐ Accept (General priority)
☐ Minor revision
☑️ Major revision
☐ Rejection

Re-review

☑️ Yes
☐ No
Dear Authors, It would be more appropriate to use the term "isolated or focal gyriform appearance" instead of "Unique gyriform appearance" used in the study. Diseases with leptomeningeal involvement were not discussed sufficiently in the study (For example, leptomeningitis, vasculitis, neurosarcoidosis, inflammatory involvement of rheumatological diseases, etc.). Differential diagnoses should be developed in the discussion section. If the patient was detected during the Covid-19 pandemic, Covid-19 must be specified in the differential diagnosis. It should be noted that even if it has been detected before, Covid-19 can still have similar involvement. In the radiological discussion, it should be noted that the contrast-enhanced T2-weighted FLAIR sequence can better detect leptomeningeal tumoral or infective-inflammatory involvement. A limitation of this study is that other, smaller areas of metastasis on the leptomeningeal surfaces may have been overlooked because contrast-enhanced T2-weighted FLAIR was not taken. It should also be emphasized in the study that leptomeningeal metastases usually present with more diffuse involvement, and isolated ones can be seen less frequently. In the discussion, the findings of advanced radiological imaging methods (such as perfusion MR) should be explained in more detail in the differential diagnosis of diseases with leptomeningeal involvement. Best regards...