Supplementary Table 1 Details of the studies used in the mini review

Deferences	Carrata	NT l	Design	Complexion
References	Country	Number	Control Group	Conclusion
Cholecystectomy				
Meta-analysis				
				Reduction of recurrent biliary events
			RCT meta-analysis	that required readmission in early
			, and the second	cholecystectomy arm (OR 0·17, 95%
Moody et al. 2019	United Kingdom	N=629	Early versus delayed	CI 0 09 to 0 33).
14100dy et ut. 2015			·	No difference in the rate of
			cholecystectomy Mild pancreatitis	intraoperative (OR 0 58, 0 17 to 1 92)
				or postoperative complications (OR
				0.78, 0.38 to 1.62)
				Reduction in the risk for recurrent
			RCT meta-analysis	biliary events in favour of early
D 41 4 4				cholecystectomy (RR 0.10, 95% CI
Prasanth <i>et al.</i> 2022	India	N=1176	Early versus delayed	0.05 to 0.19).
			cholecystectomy	No increased risk of surgical
			Mild and moderate pancreatitis	complications with EC (RR 1.04, 95%
				CI 0.47 to 2.26).

			RCT meta-analysis and non-RCT	Increasing age was significantly associated with increased rates of overall complications (OR 2.37, 95% CI 2.00-2.78), major complication (OR
			meta-analysis	1.79, 95% CI 1.45-2.20), risk of
Vamanaiah at al	Heitad			conversion to open cholecystectomy
Kamarajah <i>et al.</i>		N= 326517	Cholecystectomy in the elderly	(OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.84-2.55), risk of
2020	Kingdom		population compared to younger	bile leaks (OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.07-2.10),
			patients	risk of postoperative mortality (OR
				7.20, 95% CI 4.41-11.73) and was
				significantly associated with
				increased length of stay 2.21 days,
				95% CI 1.24-3.18).
				Higher odds of short-term mortality
			Cohort studies meta-analysis	(OR: 5.54, 95% CI: 1.65-18.60, p =
Nilwani at al				0.006), postoperative morbidity (OR:
Niknami <i>et al.</i> 2024	Iran	N= 128421	Impact on frailty on postoperative	2.65, 95% CI: 1.51-4.64, p = 0.001),
			outcomes following	major morbidity (OR: 3.61, 95% CI:
			cholecystectomy	1.52-8.59), and respiratory failure
				(OR: 3.85, 95%CI: 1.08-13.79) among

frail patients.

Randomized clinical trials

Aboulian et al.

2010

Da Costa et al **Netherlands** N = 2662015

Same-admission versus randomization)

delayed Reduction of recurrent gallstonecholecystectomy (25-30 days after related complications or mortality within 6 months after randomisation (risk ratio 0.28, 95% CI 0.12-0.66; P=0.002) with EC

Mild pancreatitis

Same-admission versus delayed cholecystectomy (25-30 days after randomization)

Mean total costs from a societal perspective were €234 (95%CI 1249 to 738) less per patient in the sameadmission cholecystectomy group.

Da Costa et al Netherlands N = 2642016

USA

N = 50

Mild pancreatitis

Early cholecystectomy group (within 48 hours of admission) versus a control cholecystectomy Shorter hospital length of stay in EC abdominal pain and normalizing versus 5.8 [95%CI, 3.8-7.9], P=0.0016)

group (performed after resolution of group (mean: 3.5 [95%CI, 2.7-4.3],

trend of laboratory enzymes)

Mild pancreatitis

Kelly et al. 1988	USA	N=165	Early surgery (less than 48 hours after admission) versus delayed surgery (more than 48 hours after admission) Mild pancreatitis Ranson ≤3	EC had minimal effect on outcomes in patients with ≤3 Ranson criteria.
Mueck et al. 2019	USA	N=97	Severe pancreatitis Ranson >3 Early cholecystectomy group (within 48 hours of admission) versus a control cholecystectomy group (performed after clinical resolution)	30-day length of stay (hours) including readmissions 30 h (27–82) IQR vs 77 h (52–111) IQR (P< 0.005)
Riquelme <i>et al.</i> 2019	Chile	N=52	Mild pancreatitis Early cholecystectomy within 72 h from admission versus delayed cholecystectomy (after 72h) Mild pancreatitis	Significantly shorter length of stay (median 58 versus 167 h; <i>P</i> =0.001) No differences in postoperative complications

Observational or retrospective studies

Observational or ret	rospective studies			
			Post hoc analysis of a multicentre	Overall recurrent biliary events risk
			prospective cohort	prior to cholecystectomy significantly
				lower before 10 weeks after
			Necrotising biliary pancreatitis	discharge (risk ratio 0.49 (95% CI 0.27
				to 0.90); <i>P</i> =0.02).
Hallensleben <i>et</i>		N=248	Outcome: optimal timing of	Recurrent pancreatitis rate
al. 2022	Netherlands		cholecystectomy in patients with	significantly lower before 8 weeks
иг. 2022			necrotising biliary pancreatitis,	after discharge (risk ratio 0.14 (95%
			defined as: the optimal point in time	CI 0.02 to 1.0); <i>P</i> =0.02).
			with the lowest risk of recurrent	Complication rate of
			biliary events and the lowest risk of	cholecystectomy did not decrease
			complications of cholecystectomy.	over time.
			US Nationwide Readmissions	Late cholecystectomy was associated
			Database	with higher irsk of major adverse
Cho <i>et al</i> . 2023	USA	N= 129451		events (adjusted odds ratio 1.40, 95%
	Con	1 12/101	Timing of laparoscopic	CI 1.29-1.51)
			cholecystectomy was divided into	· /
			Early (within 2 days of admission)	

and Late (>2 days after admission) cohorts

Mild gallstone

Retrospective analysis Severe pancreatitis

Early defined as a cholecystectomy

performed within 14 days of

admission delayed versus

cholecystectomy

EC was associated with higher mortality (16 [15.6%] vs 2 [1.2%], P < .001), morbidity (30 [30.3%] vs 17 [10.3%], P < .001), and infections (12 [14.6%] vs 2 [1.3%], P< .001) with patients compared with moderately severe and severe ABP who underwent DC.

Decision model study

Siddiqui et al.

Di Martino et al.

International

USA

N = 378

Decision model study

versus Endoscopic sphincterotomy Endoscopic sphincterotomy followed

followed by Cholecystectomy

For age 80+ years, Sphincterootmy was dominant with an incremental Endoscopic sphincterotomy alone success rate of 8%. Mortality in the by Cholecystectomy was 7.6 times that of Endoscopic sphincterotomy.

ERCP

2006

Meta-analysis

				The use of EUS significantly reduced
			RCT meta-analysis	the risk of overall complications (RR
Petrov et al. 2009	New-Zealand	NI 010		0.35 (95% CI 0.20 to 0.62); P<0.001)
retiov et at. 2009	New-Zealanu	N=213	EUS-guided ERCP versus ERCP	and post-ERCP acute pancreatitis
			alone	(RR 0.21 (95% CI 0.06 to 0.83);
				P=0.030).
Alcointala et el			RCT meta-analysis	The overall cumulative incidence of
Aksintala <i>et al,</i> 2023	USA	N=19038		post-ERCP pancreatitis was 10.2%
2023			Incidence of post-ERCP pancreatitis	(95% CI, 9.3-11.3)
				There was no significant difference in
				technical success rate and overall rate
	USA	N=5521	Non RCT trials meta-analysis	of adverse events comparing ERCP
Iqbal et al. 2022				outcomes in nonagenarians.
190ai et ut. 2022			ERCP in nonagenarians compared	ERCP-related mortality was also
			to younger patients	significantly higher in nonagenarians
				compared to younger patients with
				OR = 4.720 [1.368-16.289]
Singh et al. 2018	India	N=1513	RCT meta-analysis	No significant difference in mortality
omign et ut. 2010	muia	11-1010		[OR 0.37, 95% CI (0.09, 1.51), p =

			Laparoscopic common bile duct		0.17], morbidity [OR 0.97, 95% CI
			exploration	and	(0.70, 1.33), P=0.84], cost [MD -
			cholecystectomy ver	rsus ERCP	379.13, 95% CI (- 784.80, 111.2), p =
			followed by cholecysted	ctomy	0.13] or recurrent/retained stones
					[OR 1.01, 95% CI (0.38, 2.73), <i>P</i> =0.98].
Randomized clinical tri	ials				
			Urgent ERCP with spl	hincterotomy	
Schepers et al.			or conservative treatme	ent	No difference in mortality or major
	Netherlands	N=232			complications (risk ratio [RR] 0.87,
2020			Predicted severe	gallstone	95% CI 0 64-1 ·18; <i>P</i> =0.37)
			pancreatitis but withou	ıt cholangitis	
			Intraoperative cho	olangiography	Overall length of hospitalization was
			(IOC) and	laparoscopic	shorter in this IOC+LC group
Staubli et al. 2022 S	witzerland	N=122	cholecystectomy (LC),	followed by	(P=0.0309)
			ERCP versus MRCP	followed by	
			ERCP if needed, and LC	С	
Prospective studies					
Hallensleben <i>et</i>			Multicentre, prospec	ctive cohort	No difference in mortality or major
N	Vetherlands	N=196	study		complications (RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.67
al. 2023					to 1.29; <i>P</i> =0.65)

Urgent EUS, followed by ERCP with
ES in case of common bile duct
stones/sludge in comparison to
historical control group = APEC
study conservative treatment arm

Retrospective study with cholangitis

cholecystectomy group

Gallstone Pancreatitis

Observational	l or	retros	pective	studies
---------------	------	--------	---------	---------

			who underwent urgent ERCP
Lee <i>et al</i> . 2024	Republic Korea	of N=162	Acute biliary pancreatitis
			Comparison ERCP within 18 versus after 18h
			National Readmissions Database
			Comparision of 3 groups: n
Qayed et al. 2018	USA	N=153480	cholecystectomy nor ERCP, n
			cholecystectomy with ERCP an

Significantly higher rates of aspiration pneumonia (odds ratio [OR] 4.00, 95% CI 1.15-13.92, P=0.021) and post-ERCP hypotension (OR 11.9, 95% CI 1.39-101.33, P=0.005) were observed in the \leq 18-h group

In no cholecystectomy group, ERCP was associated with lower all-cause no readmissions (adjusted hazard ratio, no 0.80; 95% confidence interval, 0.76-and 0.83; *P*<0.0001) and pancreatitis readmissions rate (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.51; 95% confidence interval,

				0.47-0.55; <i>P</i> <0.0001) compared with
				no ERCP.
			Retrospective study of patients	In multivariate analysis,
			admitted for a first episode of acute	sphincterotomy showed a protective
Garci de la Filia			gallstone pancreatitis rejected for	role for recurrence of pancreatitis
Molina <i>et al.</i> 2019	Spain	N= 247	cholecystectomy.	(adjusted hazard ratio [HR]: 0.29,
womia et ut. 2019				95% CI: 0.08-0.92, P=0.037) and for
			Evaluation of the role of endoscopic	any gallstone-related event (HR 0.46,
			sphincterotomy	95% CI: 0.21-0.98, <i>P</i> =0.043)
			Multicenter retrospective cohort	
	Spain	N= 3016	study	Sphincterotomy was independently
Velamazan et al.				associated with lower risk of relapse
2024	Эранг	10 3010	First episode of symptomatic	(HR = 0.58, 95% CI: 0.49-0.68)
			gallstone disease and no	(11K = 0.56, 95 % C1. 0.49-0.00)
			cholecystectomy during admission	
			Retrospective study	
				Recurrent ABP in the ES group was
Ridtitid et al. 2019	Thailand N	N=266	Non-severe ABP	lower than those from the non-ES
				group (2% vs. 17%; <i>P</i> =0.01)
			Delayed cholecystectomy with	

			Endoscopic sphincterotomy versus delayed cholecystectomy without Endoscopic sphincterotomy Retrospective study	
Weissman <i>et al.</i> 2023	USA	N= 70030	Acute biliary pancreatitis without cholangitis	ERCP was associated with significantly lower all-cause inpatient mortality aOR: 0,6 P<0,05
			Impact of ERCP	
				Significantly more pancreatic
			Cohort study	guidewire manipulation (aOR 1.921
			Prospectively collected data from	[1.24-2.97]) and prophylactic
Pecsi et al. 2021	Цирови	N=490	the Hungarian ERCP Registry	pancreatic stent use (aOR 4.687 [2.42-
1 ecsi et ut. 2021	Hungary			9.10]) in the ABP group.
			ERCP in Acute biliary pancreatitis	Average cannulation time in the ABP
			versus Cholangitis	patients were longer (248 vs. 185 s;
				P=0.043).
			National Readmissions Database	frail patients experienced higher
Ramai et al. 2023	USA	N=5751		post-ERCP complications (6.20% vs
			ERCP for cholangitis in frail versus	14.63%, <i>P</i> <0.001)

non-frail patients

EC: Early Cholecystectomy; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confiance Interval; ERCP: Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography: ABP: Acute Biliary Pancreatitis, EUS: Endoscopic Ultrasound; ES: Endoscopic Sphincterotomy.