



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4959

Title: Starting Insulin Glargine in insulin naive type 2 diabetes patients with a dose based on body mass index is safe.

Reviewer code: 00573611

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-15 10:19

Date reviewed: 2013-08-17 15:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors investigated the safety of different titration algorithms in a homogeneous population regarding socio-economic strata and with the same degree of education in diabetes. The authors found that there was no significant difference in efficacy parameters. When LANMET and DeGold were compared with the 2 fast titration PLUS algorithms, increase in moderate hypoglycemia events was evident in the PLUS treated patients. Comments 1. This is an interesting study. The authors tried to compare the safety and efficacy of four insulin glargine algorithms in insulin naive type 2 diabetes patients. 2. The description about four insulin glargine algorithms is unclear. The authors should describe the term and schedule of these 4 insulin glargine algorithms (LANMET, DeGold, LANMET PLUS, DeGold PLUS) in the Methods in details. 3. How about the efficacy of these 4 insulin glargine algorithms as compared with metformin or other oral hypoglycemic drugs by themselves? The authors should discuss this issue in the Discussion. 4. This manuscript needs English editing.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4959

Title: Starting Insulin Glargine in insulin naive type 2 diabetes patients with a dose based on body mass index is safe.

Reviewer code: 00506185

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-15 10:19

Date reviewed: 2013-08-17 22:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

You should provide evidence that the experiments with glargine are new and nothing of this sort has been published before,



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4959

Title: Starting Insulin Glargine in insulin naive type 2 diabetes patients with a dose based on body mass index is safe.

Reviewer code: 00674619

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-15 10:19

Date reviewed: 2013-08-21 23:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Detailed comments for transmission to the authors, The present work investigates the safety of four insulin Glargine titration algorithms, applied to a homogeneous sample of insulin-na?ve type 2 diabetes patients, comparing the frequency of severe and moderate hypoglycemia (glycemia < 56 mg/dL) events, the frequency of nocturnal symptomatic hypoglycemia, total number of hypoglycemic events and serious adverse events. The idea of the study is interesting, the results are original and of potential interest for a wide readership. This paper could be published only after the correction of a few drawbacks, as follow: 1. At 'Abstract' section the authors could reformulate the materials and methods (without to specify the number of the patients) and results sections (could give supplementary data: numerical values without P values). 2. At 'Introduction' section, the subtitle 'Objectives' from page no.5 should be removed. 3. The authors should highlight along of the revised text more about the novelty and importance of their paper.