

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

This manuscript titled "Therapeutic Efficacy of Immunotherapy for Gastric Cancer Metastasis" presents an insightful overview of the mechanisms and clinical applications of immunotherapy in managing gastric cancer metastases, particularly focusing on liver, lung, peritoneal, and lymph node metastases. The strengths of the paper include a thorough review of current immunotherapeutic approaches and a detailed comparison of their efficacy across different metastatic sites. The manuscript also commendably identifies and discusses future research directions and challenges, providing a solid theoretical foundation and practical guidance for clinicians in the field. This contributes significantly to the existing literature by compiling recent advancements and synthesizing a broad array of studies into a coherent narrative. Here are some suggestions for major revisions:

1. Potential Clinical Implications: Expand on the potential clinical implications of the findings. How might these results influence future research, clinical practices, or the development of therapeutic strategies?
2. To improve the background section of your manuscript, it would be beneficial to include and reference more recent epidemiological studies on cancer immunotherapy. Current literature on this topic is somewhat limited, and recent studies, such as PMID: 39198775, PMID: 35432482, PMID: 39209650, and PMID: 37275857, could provide valuable insights that enhance the depth of your discussion.
3. Proofreading and Formatting: Some sections require proofreading to correct minor typographical errors. Additionally, ensuring consistency in formatting throughout the document would improve its professional presentation.
4. In the discussion section, the argumentation is somewhat underdeveloped. It would significantly strengthen the manuscript to expand this section by summarizing previous research findings on the efficacy of immunotherapy. Additionally, offering a comprehensive outlook on future directions and potential advancements in the field is crucial. Delving deeper into how these developments could potentially impact clinical practices and patient outcomes would provide a more robust and compelling conclusion to the paper. This approach would not only contextualize the current findings within the broader research landscape but also highlight gaps and opportunities for upcoming studies. In conclusion, the study presents significant findings in the field of immunotherapy research. Addressing these points could enhance the clarity, impact, and scientific rigor of the manuscript.

Answer to reviewer:

Thanks to the editor and reviewers for your guidance and advice on the article. The revised response is as follows:

1. This paper elucidates the immunological mechanism of gastric cancer metastasis, evaluates

the therapeutic effect of immunotherapy on various metastases of gastric cancer, clarifies the ideas for the subsequent development of immunotherapy, and provides a reference for the immunotherapy of metastasis of other cancers.

2. More recent epidemiologic studies on cancer immunotherapy have been added and referenced to refine the contextually relevant content.

3. The formatting of the article has been proofread accordingly, if there are still problems, contact us promptly, thank you very much.

4. A summary of the arguments in the discussion section has been refined to provide a comprehensive outlook on future directions and potential advances in the field.

Thank you again for all your hard work and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions, thank you!