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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Zhao et al. found that through various experiments, bone marrow mesenchymal transplantation can regulate the gut microbiota of rats and improve the post-stroke neurological functional recovery. This is a very interesting study, but there are still have several issues need to be improved before publication. 1. There are still some grammatical mistakes in the article. Please correct them carefully, eg: “the third generation cells” should be “the third generation of cells”. 2. “OTUS”, “Shannon and Chao index”, “PICRUST”. Please explain in the materials and methods section of the manuscript. 3. In Figure 4B, “others” accounted for a large proportion. Which microbes did others specifically represent and what roles did others play? 3. “Predictive analysis of gut microbiota function” This part of the content is lack of experimental data support, please add relevant experiments to verify the microbiota function. For example, whether the function of lactic acid bacteria in the BMSC group was consistent with the function predicted by KEGG. 4. The figures quality is too poor, please improve the quality of the figures. I can't read the text clearly on some figures. There is a big difference between the horizontal and vertical fonts in Figure 4AB and CD. The authors need to modify it carefully.