PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62202

Title: Comparison of endoscopic gastritis based on Kyoto classification between diffuse and intestinal gastric cancer

Reviewer's code: 04718383

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-13 23:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-24 14:40

Review time: 10 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper compared endoscopic gastritis based on Kyoto classification between diffuse and intestinal gastric cancer. I enjoyed reading this paper. However, there are some problems to be resolved.   1. It is suggested that the authors should estimate the sample size for the number of patients included in the study.   2. The authors developed the Lauren predictive background score for predicting the classification of gastric cancer. Can this score be used in a prospective study to evaluate the accuracy of Lauren classification?   3. English should be improved.