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Abstract

BACKGROUND

It remains unclear which factors, such as tumor volume and tumor invasion, influence circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), and the origin of ctDNA in liquid biopsy is always problematic. To use liquid biopsies clinically, it will be very important to address these questions.

AIM

To assess the origin of ctDNA, clarify the dynamics of ctDNA levels, assess ctDNA levels by using a xenograft mouse after treatment, and to determine whether tumor volume and invasion are related to ctDNA levels.

METHODS

Tumor xenotransplants were established by inoculating BALB/c-nu/nu mice with the TE11 cell line. Groups of mice were injected with xenografts at two or four sites and sacrificed at the appropriate time point after xenotransplantation for ctDNA analysis. Analysis of ctDNA was performed by droplet digital PCR, using the human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene.

RESULTS

Mice given two-site xenografts were sacrificed for ctDNA at week 4 and week 8. No hTERT was detected at week 4, but it was detected at week 8. However, in four-site xenograft mice, hTERT was detected both at week 4 and week 6. These experiments revealed that both tumor invasion and tumor volume were asso-
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ctDNA correlated with the detection of ctDNA. In resection experiments, hTERT was detected at resection, but had decreased by 6 h, and was no longer detected 1 and 3 d after resection.

CONCLUSION
We clarified the origin and dynamics of ctDNA, showing that tumor volume is an important factor. We also found that when the tumor was completely resected, ctDNA was absent after one or more days.

Key Words: Liquid biopsy; Circulating tumor DNA; Xenograft; Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; Dynamics of circulating tumor DNA
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Core Tip: We clarified the origin and dynamics of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), showing that not only tumor invasion but also tumor volume was an important factor. The possibility of detecting ctDNA in early-stage cancers with shallow depth was demonstrated. Also, ctDNA could be measured at 1 d after tumor resection to evaluate the residuals, and the half-life of ctDNA was estimated to be 1.8-3.2 h.

INTRODUCTION
Liquid biopsy, a molecular biological diagnostic method for blood and body fluids, has progressed dramatically in recent years. Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), one of the targets of liquid biopsy, is expected to be a useful method for screening and detection of cancer, monitoring therapy, prediction of prognosis, and personalized medicine[1-3]. Therefore, in addition to direct biopsy, which is the basis of conventional cancer diagnosis, a hybrid method, which includes non-invasive liquid biopsy, is becoming the mainstream.

Cell-free DNA (cfDNA), which includes ctDNA, is derived from apoptotic or necrotic cells[4,5]. Theoretically, it could be applied regardless of the stage. However, reports of its usefulness for early stages of cancer are controversial. Bettegowda et al[6] revealed that the rate of ctDNA detection is generally high in advanced stages of cancer, but ctDNA levels are generally lower in early stages of cancer. On the other hand, some reports indicated that ctDNA was useful for detecting early-stage cancers[6-9]. It remains unclear which factors, such as tumor volume and tumor invasion, influence ctDNA, and the origin of ctDNA in liquid biopsy is always problematic. To use liquid biopsies clinically, it will be very important to address these questions.

In this study, we used a xenograft mouse model to assess the origin of ctDNA, clarify the dynamics of ctDNA levels, assess ctDNA levels after treatment, and to determine whether tumor volume and invasion are related to ctDNA levels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cell Line
The human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell line TE11 was used because we established an experimental system for TE11 previously[10] and used it to show that liquid biopsy is useful in esophageal cancer cells as well as other gastrointestinal cancers. Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, Tokyo, Japan) at 37.0 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Appropriate passages were made...
such that confluency did not exceed 70% prior to xenotransplantation. A Countess Automated Cell Counter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) was used to count cells, and 0.2% Trypan blue dye was used to exclude dead cells.

**Xenograft mouse model**

Xenograft mouse experimental protocols were approved by the Ethical Committee of Okayama University (OKU-2019276). Six-week-old female nude mice (BALB/c-nu/nu) (Charles River Laboratories, Japan) were used. Mice were raised in the animal facility of Okayama University and given food and water. The physical conditions of the mice, including the presence or absence of body movement or the availability of food and drink, were monitored daily. Mice were euthanized with isoflurane if mice stopped moving or eating.

Tumor xenotransplants were established in mice by inoculation in the shoulders or flanks with $1 \times 10^6$ TE11 cells suspended in 50 μL medium plus 50 μL Matrigel (Corning Product No. 356234). Inoculation was performed at two sites (i.e., both shoulders, two-site xenograft mouse group, 28 mice) or at four sites (i.e., both shoulders and both flanks, four-site xenograft mouse group, 28 mice) in order to determine the effect of tumor volume as well as the degree of invasion (Figure 1).

Tumor formation was confirmed in all xenograft mice; although, the changes in size varied. Differences in tumor volume were evaluated over time. Two-site and four-site xenograft mouse groups were sacrificed for ctDNA analysis at the appropriate time point after xenotransplantation. To minimize the effects of differences in tumor size, four mice were used for each ctDNA time point analysis.

A sample size calculation using power analysis determined 24 mice were needed in xenograft experiments and 32 mice were needed in resection experiments.

**Xenograft experiments**

Twelve mice received two-site xenografts, and 12 received four-site xenografts. Tumor size was measured every week after xenotransplantation, and ctDNA was evaluated at two time points: 4 wk and 8 wk after xenotransplantation (Figure 1).

**Resection experiments**

Sixteen mice received two-site xenografts, and 16 mice received four-site xenografts. All tumors were resected at week 7 after xenotransplantation in the two-site xenograft group or at week 5 in the four-site xenograft group. cfDNA and ctDNA were evaluated 6 h, 1 d, and 3 d after resection, or simultaneously with resection in the controls (Figure 1).

**Blood and tumor tissue sample collection**

For ctDNA analysis, whole blood was collected in BD Vacutainer tubes (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and processed within 1 h after collection. The samples were centrifuged at 3000 × g at 4 °C to separate plasma from peripheral blood cells, and stored at -80 °C. DNA was extracted from 1000 μL of blood and the final solution was 25 μL of DNA. Plasma ctDNA was extracted (25 μl) with the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At sacrifice, tumors were collected and divided into two fragments. One tumor fragment was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and used for preparation of genomic DNA. The other fragment was formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded for histopathological diagnosis, morphological evaluation after hematoxylin/eosin staining, and immunohistochemistry. Four slides were made from the largest diameter section, where it was easy to obtain information on invasion.

**Telomerase reverse transcriptase assay**

The wild-type telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) gene was analyzed by a mouse TERT (mTERT) assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) or human TERT (hTERT) assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America) to take advantage of the differences between mTERT and hTERT genes. The verification experiments using a droplet digital PCR (QX200 system; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America) was performed.

**Droplet digital polymerase chain reaction and data analysis**

To evaluate ctDNA, hTERT was detected via droplet digital polymerase chain reaction (PCR) according to the following protocol. DNA eluent (5 μL) from plasma was combined with Droplet PCR Supermix (10 μL; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America), primer/probe mixture (1 μL), 5M Betaine (2 μL), 80
mmol/L EDTA (0.25 μL), CviQl enzyme (0.25 μL), and sterile DNase- and RNase-free water (3.5 μL). The mixture (22 μL) was added to Droplet Generation Oil (70 μL; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America) to produce droplets. Thermal cycling of the emulsion was as follows: an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s and 62 °C for 1 min. After a final enzyme deactivation step of 98 °C for 10 min, the reaction mixtures were analyzed using a droplet reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America). For quantification, the fluorescence signal was acquired with QuantaSoft software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America). We set the threshold fluorescence intensity at 7500 (mTERT) or 2000 (hTERT), according to positive and negative controls in this study, i.e., plasma and tissue of healthy human, control mouse, or TE11 cell line.

Statistical analysis
We used JMP version 14.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States of America) for statistical analysis and set the threshold of significance at \( P < 0.05 \). Continuous data were analyzed using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test, and categorical data were analyzed using a Chi-squared test.

RESULTS

Verification experiments
In verification experiments using a droplet digital PCR (QX200 system; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States of America), we confirmed that the mTERT gene was detected in tissue and plasma of control mice, but not in TE11 genomic DNA, whereas the hTERT gene was detected in TE11 genomic DNA, but not in the tissue or plasma of control mice (Figure 2).

Xenograft experiments
Xenograft experiments were designed to reveal the origin of ctDNA and factors contributing to ctDNA increase. Average tumor sizes measured in the two-site xenograft group 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 wk after xenotransplantation were 1.8, 3.2, 4.6, 6.0, 6.8, 8.0, 8.5, and 12.5 mm, respectively. Two-site xenograft mice were sacrificed 4 or 8 wk after xenotransplantation to evaluate ctDNA. No hTERT was detected at week 4, but hTERT was detected at week 8 (Figure 3). These results indicated that ctDNA was associated with tumor growth.
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Figure 2 Telomerase reverse transcriptase assay by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction for mouse plasma, liver tissue, TE11 cell and water. The presence of mouse telomerase reverse transcriptase (mTERT) and human TERT (hTERT) forms of the wild type TERT was analyzed by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction. A: The assay correctly detected mTERT in mouse plasma and liver tissue; B: hTERT was detected in the TE11 cell line. Neither mTERT nor hTERT was detected in water.

Figure 3 The dynamics of circulating tumor DNA in xenograft experiments. A: Two-site and four-site xenograft mice were sacrificed for circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) at week 4. Human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) was detected only in four-site xenograft mice, not in two-site xenograft mice; B: In both two-site xenograft mice sacrificed for ctDNA at week 8 and four-site xenograft mice sacrificed at week 6, hTERT was detected.

In four-site xenograft mice, the average tumor sizes at week 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 after xenotransplantation were 1.8, 4.0, 5.9, 7.1, 8.9, and 10.2 mm. The 8 wk evaluation planned for this group was revised to occur at week 6, because the tumor in one mouse had grown rapidly to cause thoracic invasion, and it was unlikely to survive to week 8. Four-site xenograft mice were sacrificed for ctDNA at week 4 and week 6. hTERT was detected both at week 4 and at week 6 in this group (Figure 3). These results indicated that ctDNA was associated with tumor growth as well as those of
two-site xenograft mice. There were no other unexpected adverse events.

Histopathology of tumors at week 4 showed no invasion in either the two-site or four-site xenograft group, while tumors showed invasion into muscle both at week 8 in the two-site xenograft mice ($P = 0.02$) and at week 6 in the four-site xenograft mice (Figure 4; $P = 0.03$). These results indicated that ctDNA was associated with tumor invasion.

The rates of tumor size increase were similar between the two-site xenograft group and the four-site group. Interestingly, the two groups showed similar tumor diameters ($P = 0.25$) and invasion at week 4 (Figures 3 and 4), but a clear difference in the ctDNA detection rate (Figure 3; $P = 0.02$). These findings showed that not only invasion but also tumor volume might be related to the rate of ctDNA detection.

**Resection experiments**

Resection experiments were designed to clarify responses of ctDNA to tumor resection. Tumors in the two-site and four-site xenograft groups were resected when the diameter exceeded 10 mm. cfDNA and ctDNA were examined at sacrifice. In these resection experiments, two mice were excluded from the evaluation: one mouse with rapid tumor growth and a tendency toward paraplegia before resection, and another mouse with high invasion who died after tumor resection and before evaluation.

In two-site xenograft mice, tumor resection was performed at week 7. The average tumor size in the control group was 10.3 mm at the time of resection, and the average tumor sizes measured 6 h, 1 d, or 3 d at the time of resection were 10.1, 10.3, and 10.2 mm, respectively ($P = 0.98$). We detected hTERT at resection (control), but hTERT had decreased by 6 h, and was undetectable 1 d or 3 d after resection (Figure 5). The control cfDNA concentration was 1.1 μg/mL at the time of resection, and was 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 μg/mL measured 6 h, 1 d, and 3 d after resection. Pathological autopsy confirmed the absence of macroscopic residual tumor at each evaluation in this experiment. Using data for the number of positive droplets measured 0 and 6 h after tumor resection in the two-site xenograft resection experiment, the half-life of ctDNA may be calculated from $y = 155e^{-0.368x}$. In our study, the half-life of ctDNA was estimated to be 1.8–3.2 h (Figure 6).

In four-site xenograft mice, tumor resection was performed at week 5. The average tumor size in the control group was 9.7 mm at the time of resection, while average tumor sizes measured 6 h, 1 d, or 3 d at the time of resection were 11.4, 10.6, and 10.2 mm, respectively ($P = 0.34$). In this experiment, hTERT was detected in all groups (Figure 5). The control cfDNA concentration was 1.3 μg/mL at resection and 1.2, 1.5, and 1.7 μg/mL measured 6 h, 1 d, and 3 d, respectively, after resection. Here, pathological autopsy revealed the presence of macroscopic residual tumor at each resection evaluation, with tumor invasion and intrathoracic metastasis in all mice. This experiment revealed that residual ctDNA was associated with incomplete resection and metastasis.

**DISCUSSION**

Because the TERT gene sequence differs between human and mouse, we were able to determine the origin and dynamics of ctDNA in a xenograft mouse model in which human-derived esophageal cancer cells were injected into the epidermis of mice. This model allowed assessment of ctDNA, which has traditionally been difficult to assess in the human body, due to tumor heterogeneity and the influence of other cells. In our experiment, tumor volume was involved in increases or decreases in ctDNA. In addition, if ctDNA was present over 1 d after resection, the presence of residual tumor is suspected.

Although studies of liquid biopsy using xenograft mouse model have been reported mainly in circulating tumor cells [11], we focused on ctDNA in this study. This model seems to be an ideal method because clinical samples contain a variety of cellular information as well as limitations such as ethical issues. Our report is also extremely valuable in providing direct evidence of the origin of plasma ctDNA, which we assessed in the xenograft mouse model by assaying mTERT and hTERT. Based on this ctDNA confirmation, other factors affecting ctDNA dynamics were examined. In our xenograft experiments, the average tumor sizes 4 wk after two-site and four-site xenografts were very similar (5.6 mm and 6.5 mm), and histology showed similar degrees of tumor invasion (Figure 4). However, ctDNA was detected in four-site xenograft mice but not in two-site xenograft mice. These findings revealed that tumor volume may influence ctDNA detection. In both groups, increasing ctDNA with tumor
Figure 4 Histopathology of xenograft mouse with TE11. A: Histopathology showed absence of invasion in tumors at week 4 in mice with two-site or four-site xenografts; B: Muscle invasions were observed in tumors at week 8 in two-site xenograft mice, and at week 6 in four-site xenograft mice.

Figure 5 The dynamics of circulating tumor DNA in resection experiments. A: Tumor resection was performed when tumor diameter xenograft mice exceeded 10 mm, at week 7 in two-site xenograft mice, or at week 5 in four-site xenograft mice. Human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was detected at resection (control), had decreased by 6 h, and was undetectable 1 d and 3 d after resection; B: On the other hand, in four-site xenograft mice, hTERT (ctDNA) was detected at resection (control), 6 h, 1 d, and 3 d after resection. cfDNA: Cell-free DNA.

Progression was confirmed at week 8 and week 6. The amount and detection rate of ctDNA correlated with tumor progression in a previous clinical study[6], and our results may support that finding. Although detailed studies on the association between tumor volume or invasion and ctDNA have not been conducted, ctDNA is assumed to be detectable in early cancer once the tumor reaches a certain volume.

The presence of ctDNA after surgical resection is observed in clinical samples from cancer patients, and evaluation during the perioperative period is useful for prediction of prognosis[12-14]. Detection of ctDNA after surgery suggests some residual disease [15]. However, these clinical studies may inevitably detect circulating DNA from
Figure 6 The half-life of circulating tumor DNA in resection experiments. To estimate half-life of circulating tumor DNA in two-site xenograft mice in the resection experiment, the number of positive droplets vs time after resection was fit to an exponential curve, $y = 155e^{-0.368x}$.

sources other than tumor cells, and there have been no reports to indicate when liquid biopsy should be used. Regarding this point, our resection experiments demonstrated reduced hTERT at 6 h and its absence 1 to 3 d after resection, indicating that ctDNA evaluation 1 d after resection might be useful to detect residual tumor in clinical cases. These experiments also revealed tumor volume was involved in the increase or decrease of ctDNA and that post-tumor resection evaluation requires an interval of one day or more after resection.

The half-life of ctDNA was reported as approximately 2 h in one study[16], but another study found the half-life to be 16 min[17]. The metabolism and excretion of cfDNA is affected by liver and kidney function[18], and ctDNA levels might be regulated by the same mechanism. In our study, we estimated the half-life of ctDNA 1.8–3.2 h, based on ctDNA levels measured 0 and 6 h after resection (Figure 6), which was similar to data from previous reports. Assuming a half-life of 3 h, ctDNA will decline by a factor of 28 after 1 d, and postoperative assessment of ctDNA should be evaluated after 1 d.

cfDNA is derived from apoptotic or necrotic cells[19,20], and its increase is considered to be caused by surgical manipulation, or perhaps cytokines, or cell proliferation in response to invasive therapy. Our results are consistent with these reports, indicating ctDNA decreased after complete resection, while cfDNA increased after resection.

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag) are biomarkers for esophageal cancer. However, the usefulness of these biomarkers in the early diagnosis of esophageal cancer has not been established. Currently, upper endoscopy is the most useful examination to pick up early-stage esophageal cancer. However, since this examination is invasive, the development of non-invasive methods such as liquid biopsy is eagerly awaited. The combination of this method with conventional methods may lead to the next generation of diagnosis.

Our study had the following limitations. First, the artificial implantation of tumor under the skin in the xenograft model differs from the physiology of actual tumor development. Second, individual mice exhibit differences in tumor growth rates, and therefore, our comparative analyses in the present study used the average values for four animals per group. Third, regarding residual tumor, although pathological autopsies were performed on all mice, complete certainty with respect to residual disease is impossible. Forth, TE11 cell line alone is not necessarily sufficient, other cell lines should be examined as well. Fifth, comparison with conventional biomarkers such as CEA and SCC-Ag needs to be shown.

CONCLUSION

We clarified the origin and dynamics of ctDNA in the xenograft mouse model. We showed that tumor volume was an important factor in ctDNA, and that if the tumor volume was sufficiently large, ctDNA can be detected even in early-stage or superficial
cancers. We also found that, upon complete tumor resection, ctDNA disappeared after at least 1 d, unless residual tumor remained. These findings may indicate future clinical uses of liquid biopsy.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**
The clinical application of liquid biopsy is becoming more widespread. However, it remains unclear which factors, such as tumor volume and tumor invasion, influence circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), and the origin of ctDNA in liquid biopsy is always problematic.

**Research motivation**
It will be very important to address the origin and dynamics of ctDNA for further clinical application of liquid biopsy.

**Research objectives**
A xenograft mouse model was used to assess the origin of ctDNA, clarify the dynamics of ctDNA levels, assess ctDNA levels after treatment, and determine whether tumor volume and invasion are related to ctDNA levels.

**Research methods**
Tumor xenotransplants were established by inoculating BALB/c-nu/nu mice with the TE11 cell line (esophageal squamous cell carcinoma). Analysis of ctDNA was performed by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction, using the human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene.

**Research results**
Mice given two-site xenografts were sacrificed for ctDNA at week 4 and week 8. No hTERT was detected at week 4, but it was detected at week 8. However, in four-site xenograft mice, hTERT was detected both at week 4 and week 6. These experiments revealed that both tumor invasion and tumor volume were associated with the detection of ctDNA. In resection experiments, hTERT was detected at resection, but had decreased by 6 h, and was no longer detected 1 and 3 d after resection. The half-life of ctDNA was estimated to be 1.8-3.2 h.

**Research conclusions**
We clarified the origin and dynamics of ctDNA, showing that not only tumor invasion but also tumor volume was an important factor. Also, ctDNA could be measured at 1 d after tumor resection to evaluate the residuals.

**Research perspectives**
In the clinical application of liquid biopsy, early-stage cancers could be targeted, and post-treatment monitoring should be performed 1 d after treatment.
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