Reviewer comments:

Reviewer 1

This manuscript is valuable as a follow-up study. However, method of the study and approach are open to discussion. Is the cohen technique a correct approach in unilateral VUR? The cohen technique is currently preferred primarily in bilateral VUR. This point should be emphasized more broadly in the discussion. Why was Subureteric injection not considered in grade II and grade III unilateral VUR? The differences in terms of gender should be more clearly stated in the postoperative follow-up period.

We thank the reviewer for this valuable comment. We would like to mention here that cohen technique is one of the most common techniques for correction of VUR for unilateral as well as bilateral cases. We have now mentioned this in the introduction. Regarding gender differences, we have now added in the limitations that since most of our patients were males, we have not done gender-wise analysis. The sample size is not sufficient to study that difference. We have focused on one technique of VUR correction in this study. Subureteric injections were not compared because the study was not designed to compare two techniques. Adding this group of patients would add heterogeneity to the study population and thus has to be planned at the beginning of the study.

Reviewer 2

1. How you grade the VUR or give a reference?

We have added the reference for the grading of VUR.

2. Personally, I think the data or information expressed in the figures in the manuscript is not more intuitive. On the contrary, it is more acceptable to present in the form of tables, such as all baseline data of patients.

We thank the reviewer for this comment and have removed the figures. The results contain the information conveyed in the results.