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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dai M et al. reported a case of acute pancreatitis as a complication of gastrointestinal endoscopy. They mentioned the possibility that excessive pressure of abdominal cavity caused by nausea and vomiting have induced acute pancreatitis. Considering the timing of the onset, the possibility that the endoscope was the cause cannot be denied, but it seems difficult to assert that this very common physiological phenomenon caused a very rare condition. Major comments: 1. Not surprisingly, gastrointestinal endoscopy is not uncommon for severe vomiting. Nevertheless, if there have been few reports of pancreatitis secondary to endoscopy, it should be very cautious to show their association. At the very least, the authors should mention the possibility of developing pancreatitis due to nausea and vomiting, including a review of the literature, in order to justify their claim. 2. Was this the first gastrointestinal endoscopy in this patient? What kind of measures are necessary if this case or cases with strong vomiting such as this case have to undergo endoscopy again? 3. What were the values of hepatobiliary enzyme and CRP in Laboratory examinations? In addition, there is a lack of items used to classify the severity of pancreatitis, such as serum calcium and arterial blood gas findings. 4. Has contrast-enhanced CT examination been performed in this case? Contrast-enhanced CT is considered useful in assessing the severity of pancreatitis, but if not performed, it is necessary to explain why. Minor comments; 1. In “Physical examination upon admission,” the description about Bowel sounds appears in two parts (page 4, line 14-16). It should be concisely described in one sentence.